1	Environmental Quality Board
2	Proposed Permanent Rules Relating to Environmental Review Program
3	4410.0200 DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS.
4	[For text of subpart 1, see M.R.]
5	Subp. 1a. [See repealer.]
6	[For text of subps 2 to 9a, see M.R.]
7	Subp. 9b. Connected actions. Two projects are "connected actions" if a responsible
8	governmental unit determines they are related in any of the following ways:
9.	A. one project would directly induce the other;
10	B. one project is a prerequisite for the other and the prerequisite project is not
11	justified by itself; or
12	C. neither project is justified by itself.
13	[For text of subps 10 to 68, see M.R.]
14	Subp. 69. Protected Public waters. "Protected Public waters" has the meaning given
15	public waters in Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.005.
16	Subp. 70. Protected Public waters wetland. "Protected Public waters wetland" has the
17	meaning given public waters wetland in Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.005,
18	subdivision 15a.
19	[For text of subps 70a to 80, see M.R.]
20	Subp. 81. Sewered area. "Sewered area" means an area:
21	A. that is serviced by a wastewater treatment facility or a publicly owned or
22	homeowner owned, operated, or supervised centralized septic system servicing the
23	entire development; or
24	[For text of item B, see M.R.]

[For text of subps 82 to 91, see M.R.]

Subp. 92. **Wastewater treatment facility.** "Wastewater treatment facility" means a facility for the treatment of municipal or industrial waste water. It includes on-site treatment facilities:

[For text of subps 92a to 96, see M.R.]

4410.1000 PROJECTS REQUIRING AN EAW.

[For text of subps 1 to 4, see M.R.]

Subp. 5. Change in proposed project; new EAW. If, after a negative declaration has been issued but before the proposed project has received all approvals or been implemented, the RGU determines that a substantial change has been made in the proposed project that or has occurred in the RGU's circumstances, which change may affect the potential for significant adverse environmental effects that were not addressed in the existing EAW, a new EAW is required.

4410.1100 PETITION PROCESS.

[For text of subps 1 to 5, see M.R.]

Subp. 6. **EAW decision.** The RGU shall order the preparation of an EAW if the evidence presented by the petitioners, proposers, and other persons or otherwise known to the RGU demonstrates that, because of the nature or location of the proposed project, the project may have the potential for significant environmental effects. The RGU shall deny the petition if the evidence presented fails to demonstrate the project may have the potential for significant environmental effects. In considering the evidence, the RGU must take into account the factors listed in part 4410.1700, subpart 7. The RGU shall maintain, either as a separate document or contained within the records of the RGU, a record, including specific findings of fact, of its decision on the need for an EAW.

[For text of subps 7 to 9, see M.R.]

4410.1200 EAW CONTENT.

[REVISOR] CKM/DI RD3622

01/18/06

The EAW shall address at least the following major categories in the form provided on the worksheet:

[For text of items A to E, see M.R.]

F. known governmental approvals, reviews, or financing required, applied for, or anticipated and the status of any applications made, including permit conditions that may have been ordered or are being considered; and

G. if the project will be carried out by a governmental unit, a brief explanation of the need for the project and an identification of those who will benefit from the project; and

H. an assessment of the compatibility of the project with approved plans of local units of government.

4410.1400 PREPARATION OF AN EAW.

The EAW shall be prepared as early as practicable in the development of the proposed project. The EAW shall be prepared by the RGU or its agents.

When an EAW is to be prepared, the proposer shall submit the completed data portions of the EAW to the RGU. The RGU shall promptly determine whether the proposer's submittal is complete within 30 days or such other time period as agreed upon by the RGU and proposer. If the RGU determines that the submittal is incomplete, the RGU shall return the submittal to the proposer for completion of the missing data. If the RGU determines that the submittal is complete, the RGU shall notify the proposer of the acceptance of the submittal within five days. The RGU shall have 30 days from notification to add supplementary material to the EAW, if necessary, and to approve the EAW for distribution. The RGU shall be responsible for the completeness and accuracy of all information.

4410.1500 PUBLICATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF AN EAW.

A. The RGU shall provide one copy of the EAW to the EQB staff within five days 4410.1500

ስ1	11	Ø.	/06	
$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{I}}$	/ 1	Ο.	/ UO	

[REVISOR] CKM/DI

after the RGU approves the EAW. This copy shall serve as notification to the EQB staff
to publish the notice of availability of the EAW in the EQB Monitor. At the time of
submission of the EAW to the EQB staff, the RGU shall also submit one copy of the
EAW to:
[For text of subitems (1) to (6), see M.R.]
(7) the Environmental Conservation Library state archaeologist;
(8) the Indian Affairs Council;
(8) (9) the Legislative Reference Environmental Conservation Library;
(9) (10) the regional development commission and regional development library
for the region of the project site;
(10) (11) any local governmental unit within which the project will take place;
(11) (12) the representative of any petitioners pursuant to part 4410.1100; and
(12) (13) any other person upon written request.
[For text of items B and C, see M.R.]
4410.1700 DECISION ON NEED FOR EIS.
[For text of subps 1 and 2, see M.R.]
Subp. 2a. Insufficient information. If the RGU determines that information necessary
to a reasoned decision about the potential for, or significance of, one or more possible
environmental impacts is lacking, but could be reasonably obtained, the RGU shall
either:
A. make a positive declaration and include within the scope of the EIS appropriate
studies to obtain the lacking information; or
B. postpone the decision on the need for an EIS, for not more than 30 days or such
other period of time as agreed upon by the RGU and proposer, in order to obtain the
lacking information. If the RGU postpones the decision, it shall provide written notice of
4440 4770

its action, including a brief description of the lacking information, within five days to the project proposer, the EQB staff, and any person who submitted substantive comments on the EAW.

Subp. 3. Form and basis for decision. The RGU's decision shall be either a negative declaration or a positive declaration. If a positive declaration, the decision shall include the RGU's proposed scope for the EIS. The RGU shall base its decision regarding the need for an EIS and the proposed scope on the information gathered during the EAW process and the comments received on the EAW.

[For text of subps 4 to 9, see M.R.]

4410.2100 EIS SCOPING PROCESS.

-26

[For text of subps 1 to 3, see M.R.]

Subp. 4. **Scoping period for some discretionary EIS's.** If the EIS is being prepared pursuant to part 4410.2000, subpart 3, item A, the following schedule applies:

A. At least ten days but not more than 20 days after notice of a positive declaration is published in the EQB Monitor, a public meeting shall be held to review the scope of the EIS. Notice of the time, date, and place of the scoping meeting shall be published in the EQB Monitor within 15 days of receipt of the proposer's scoping cost payment pursuant to part 4410.6500, subpart 1, item A, and a press release shall be provided to a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the project is proposed. All meetings shall be open to the public.

B. Within 30 15 days after the positive declaration is published in the EQB Monitor of the public scoping meeting, the RGU shall issue its final decision regarding the scope of the EIS. If the decision of the RGU must be made by a board, council, or other similar body which meets only on a periodic basis, the decision may be made at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the body following the scoping meeting but not more than 45 days after the positive declaration is published in the EQB Monitor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

[For text of subps 5 to 7, see M.R.]

Subp. 8. Amendments to scoping decision. After the scoping decision is made, the RGU shall not amend the decision without the agreement of the proposer unless substantial changes are made in the proposed project that affect the potential significant environmental effects of the project or substantial new information arises relating to the proposed project that significantly affects the potential environmental effects of the proposed project or the availability of prudent and feasible alternatives to the project. If the scoping decision is amended after publication of the EIS preparation notice, notice and a summary of the amendment shall be published in the EQB Monitor within 30 days of the amendment. The notice may be incorporated into the notice of the availability of the draft or final EIS.

Subp. 9. **EIS** preparation notice. An EIS preparation notice shall be published within 45 days after the scoping decision is issued RGU receives the proposer's cash payment pursuant to part 4410.6410, subpart 3, or 4410.6500, subpart 1, item B. The notice shall be published in the EQB Monitor, and a press release shall be provided to at least one newspaper of general circulation in each county where the project will occur. The notice shall contain a summary of the scoping decision.

[For text of subps 10 to 12, see M.R.]

4410.3100 PROHIBITION ON FINAL GOVERNMENTAL DECISIONS.

Subpart 1. Prohibitions. If an EAW or EIS is required for a governmental action under parts 4410.0200 to 4410.6500, or if a petition for an EAW is filed under part 4410.1100 that complies with the requirements of subparts 1 and 2 of that part, a project may not be started and a final governmental decision may not be made to grant a permit, approve a project, or begin a project, until:

[For text of items A to C, see M.R.]

D. a variance is granted under subparts 3 to 7 or the action is an emergency under subpart 8.

To start or begin a project includes taking any action within the meaning of "construction," as defined in part 4410.0200, subpart 10.

[For text of subps 2 to 9, see M.R.]

4410.3610 ALTERNATIVE URBAN AREAWIDE REVIEW PROCESS.

Subpart 1. Applicability. A local unit of government may use the procedures of this part instead of the procedures of parts 4410.1100 to 4410.1700 and 4410.2100 to 4410.3000 to review anticipated residential, commercial, warehousing, and light industrial development and associated infrastructure in a particular geographic area within its jurisdiction, if the local unit has adopted a comprehensive plan that includes at least the elements in items A to C. For purposes of this part, "light industrial development, facility, or project" includes a development, facility, or project engaged in the assembly of products from components that are not produced at the site, but does not include any development, facility, or project, including an assembly development, facility, or The procedures of this part may not be used to review any project, meeting the requirements for a mandatory EAW in part 4410.4300, subparts 2 to 13, 15 to 17, 18, item B or C, or 24, or a mandatory EIS in part 4410.4400, subparts 2 to 10, 12, 13, or 25. The local unit of government is the RGU for any review conducted under this part.

[For text of items A to C, see M.R.]

Subp. 2. Relationship to specific development projects. The prohibitions of part 4410.3100, subparts 1 and 2, apply to all projects for which review under this part substitutes for review under parts 4410.1100 to 4410.1700 or 4410.2100 to 4410.3000. The prohibitions terminate upon the adoption by the RGU of the environmental analysis document and plan for mitigation under subpart 5. Upon completion of review under this part, residential, commercial, warehousing, and light industrial development projects and associated infrastructure within the boundaries established under subpart 3 that are consistent with development assumptions established under subpart 3 are

exempt from review under parts 4410.1100 to 4410.1700 and 4410.2100 to 4410.3000 as long as the approval and construction of the project complies with the conditions of the plan for mitigation developed under subpart 5.

If a specific residential, commercial, warehousing, light industrial, or associated infrastructure project, that is subject to an EAW or EIS, is proposed within the boundaries of an area for which an alternative review under this part is planned but has not yet been completed, the RGU may, at its discretion, review the specific project either through the alternative areawide review procedures or through the EAW or EIS procedures. If the project is reviewed through the alternative areawide review procedures, at least one set of development assumptions used in the process must be consistent with the proposed project, and the project must incorporate the applicable mitigation measures developed through the process.

The prohibitions of part 4410.3100, subparts 1 to 3, apply to all projects for which review under this part substitutes for review under parts 4410.1100 to 4410.1700 or 4410.2100 to 4410.3000. These prohibitions terminate upon the adoption by the RGU of the environmental analysis document and plan for mitigation under subpart 5.

After an order for review has been adopted under subpart 3, the RGU may not remove a project from the alternative urban areawide review process without providing opportunity for public comment about the proposed removal. The RGU must provide notice of the intended removal and the reasons for the removal in the same manner as for distribution of an EAW under part 4410.1500, except that notice is not required to be published in the EQB Monitor. Agencies and interested persons have 15 days from the date of receipt of the notice to file comments about the proposed removal of the project from the review. If adverse comments are received, the RGU must consider the comments and determine whether to keep the project in the review or remove it from the review based on whether the project may have the potential for significant environmental effects, taking into account the interaction of the project with other

01/18/06

anticipated development in the alternative urban areawide review area. If no adverse comments are received within 20 working days of giving notice, the project may be removed from the review without further action by the RGU.

If a specific project will be reviewed under the procedures of this part rather than under the EAW or EIS procedures and the project itself would otherwise require preparation of an EIS under part 4410.4400 or will comprise at least 50 percent of the area covered by the alternative urban areawide review, the RGU must follow the additional procedures of subpart 5a in the review.

[For text of subps 3 and 4, see M.R.]

Subp. 5. **Procedures for review.** The procedures in items A to H must be used for review under this part.

A. The RGU shall prepare a draft environmental analysis document addressing each of the development scenarios selected under subpart 2 <u>3</u> using the standard content and format provided by the EQB under subpart 4. <u>A draft version of the mitigation plan as described under item C must be included.</u> The draft document must be distributed and noticed in accordance with part 4410.1500.

B. Reviewers shall have 30 days from the date of notice of availability of the draft environmental analysis in the EQB Monitor to submit written comments to the RGU. Reviewers that are governmental units shall be granted a 15-day extension by the RGU upon a written request for good cause. A copy of the request must be sent to the EQB.

Comments <u>must may</u> address the accuracy and completeness of the information provided in the draft analysis <u>and draft mitigation plan</u>, potential impacts that warrant further analysis, further information that may be required in order to secure permits for specific projects in the future, <u>and</u> mitigation measures or procedures necessary to prevent significant environmental impacts within the area when actual development occurs, and the need to analyze additional development scenarios.

Governmental units shall also state in their comments whether or not they wish to be notified by the RGU upon receipt of applications for specific development projects within the area.

[For text of item C, see M.R.]

D. The RGU shall distribute the revised environmental analysis document, including the plan for mitigation, in the same manner as the draft document and also to any persons who commented on the draft document and to the EQB staff. State agencies and the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities have ten days from the date of receipt of the revised document to file an objection to the document with the RGU. A copy of any letter of objection must be filed with the EQB staff. An objection may be filed only if the agency filing the objection has evidence that the revised document contains inaccurate or incomplete information relevant to the identification and mitigation of potentially significant environmental impacts, that the review has not analyzed sufficient development scenarios as required by this part, or that the proposed plan for mitigation will be inadequate to prevent potentially significant environmental impacts from occurring.

E. Unless an objection is filed in accordance with item D, the RGU shall adopt the revised environmental analysis document and, including the plan for mitigation, at its first regularly scheduled meeting held 15 or more days after the distribution of the revised document. The RGU shall submit evidence of the adoption of the document and plan for mitigation to the EQB staff and all agencies that have stated that they wish to be informed of any future projects within the area as part of their comments on the draft environmental analysis document. The EQB shall publish a notice of the adoption of the documents document and the completion of the review process in the EQB Monitor.

Upon adoption of the environmental analysis document and, including the plan for mitigation, residential, commercial, warehousing, and light industrial projects and

associated infrastructure within the area that are consistent with the assumptions of the document and that comply with the plan for mitigation are exempt from review under parts 4410.1100 to 4410.1700 and 4410.2100 to 4410.2800.

F. If an objection is filed with the RGU in accordance with item D, within five days of receipt of the objection the RGU shall consult with the objecting agency about the issues raised in the objection and shall advise the EQB staff of its proposed response to the objection. At the request of the RGU, the objecting agency, the EQB staff, and any other affected agency shall meet with the RGU as soon as practicable to attempt to resolve the issues raised in the objection.

Within 30 days after receipt of the objection the RGU shall submit a written response to the objecting agency and the EQB chair. The response shall address each of the issues raised in the objection. The RGU may address an issue by either revising the environmental analysis document or plan for mitigation, or by explaining why it believes that the issue is not relevant to the identification and mitigation of potentially significant environmental impacts refuting the comment.

[For text of item G, see M.R.]

H. If the matter is referred to the EQB under item G, the EQB shall determine whether the environmental analysis document and, including the plan for mitigation are, is adequate, conditionally adequate, or inadequate. If the EQB finds the documents document conditionally adequate or inadequate, the EQB shall specify the revisions necessary for adequacy. The EQB shall only find the documents document inadequate if it determines that they contain it contains inaccurate or incomplete information necessary to the identification and mitigation of potentially significant environmental impacts, that the review has not analyzed sufficient development scenarios as required by this part, or that the proposed plan for mitigation will be inadequate to prevent the occurrence of potentially significant environmental impacts.

If the EQB finds the documents document adequate or conditionally adequate, the RGU shall adopt the documents document under item E. If the documents were document is found conditionally adequate by the EQB, the RGU shall first revise the documents document as directed by the EQB. If the EQB finds the documents document inadequate, the RGU has 30 days to revise the documents document and circulate them it for review in accordance with items D to H.

Subp. 5a. Additional procedures required when certain specific projects are reviewed. The procedures in this subpart must be followed in addition to those in subpart 5 if a specific project will be reviewed under the procedures of this part rather than under the EAW or EIS procedures and the project itself would otherwise require preparation of an EIS under part 4410.4400 or will comprise at least 50 percent of the ground area covered by the alternative urban areawide review.

A. Prior to the approval of the order for review under subpart 3, the RGU must conduct a public comment process to assist it in identifying appropriate development scenarios and relevant issues to be analyzed in the review. The RGU shall prepare a draft order for review and distribute it and provide notice of its availability in the same manner as for an EAW under part 4410.1500. The draft order for review must include the information specified in subpart 3.

B. Government units and interested persons shall participate in the public comment process according to part 4410.1600, except the comments shall address suggested additional development scenarios and relevant issues to be analyzed. Comments may suggest additional development scenarios, including development at sites outside of the proposed alternative urban areawide review boundary, if the additional scenarios would likely minimize or avoid potentially significant environmental impacts that may result from development of the scenarios based on or incorporating the plans for the specific project or projects that require use of the procedures of this subpart. The comments must provide reasons why a suggested additional scenario is potentially environmentally superior.

C. The RGU must consider all timely and substantive comments received when
finalizing the order for review. The RGU shall apply the criteria for excluding an
alternative from analysis found in part 4410.2300, item G, in determining if a suggested
alternative scenario should be included or excluded. If the RGU excludes a suggested
additional development scenario, it must document its reasons for excluding the
scenario in a written record of decision.
D. The RGU shall adopt the final order for review within 15 days of the end of the
comment period. A copy of the order and the RGU's record of decision for the order's
adoption must be sent within ten days of the decision to the EQB and to anyone who
submitted timely and substantive comments.
[For text of subps 6 to 8, see M.R.]
4410.3800 GENERIC EIS.
[For text of subps 1 to 4, see M.R.]
Subp. 5. Criteria. In determining the need for a generic EIS, the EQB shall consider:
[For text of items A to G, see M.R.]
H. the regional and statewide significance of the impacts and the degree to which
they can be addressed on a project-by-project basis; and
I. the degree to which governmental policies affect the number or location of such
projects or the potential for significant environmental effects;
J. the degree to which the cost of basic information ought to be borne by the public
rather than individual project proposers;
K. the need to explore issues raised by a type of project that go beyond the scope of
review of individual projects; and
L. the need to understand the long-term past, present, and future effects of a type
of action upon the economy, environment, and way of life of the residents of the state.

[For text of subps 6 and 7, see M.R.]

Subp. 8. Relationship to project-specific review. Preparation of a generic EIS does not exempt specific activities from project-specific environmental review. Project-specific environmental review shall use information in the generic EIS by tiering and shall reflect the recommendations contained in the generic EIS if the EQB determines that the generic EIS remains adequate at the time the specific project is subject to review.

[For text of subp 9, see M.R.]

4410.4300 MANDATORY EAW CATEGORIES.

[For text of subps 1 to 14, see M.R.]

Subp. 15. Air pollution. Items A and B designate the RGU for the type of project listed:

A. For construction of a stationary source facility that generates 100 250 tons or more per year or modification of a stationary source facility that increases generation by 100 250 tons or more per year of any single air pollutant after installation of air pollution control equipment, the PCA shall be the RGU.

B. For construction of a new parking facility for 2,000 or more vehicles, the PCA shall be the RGU, except that this category does not apply to any parking facility which is part of a project reviewed pursuant to part 4410.4300, subpart 14, 19, 32, or 34, or part 4410.4400, subpart 11, 14, 21, or 22.

[For text of subps 16 and 17, see M.R.]

Subp. 18. **Wastewater systems.** Items A to C designate the RGU for the type of project listed:

A. For expansion, modification, or replacement of a municipal sewage collection system resulting in an increase in design average daily flow of any part of that system by 1,000,000 gallons per day or more <u>if the discharge is to a wastewater treatment</u> 4410.4300

facility with a capacity less than 20,000,000 gallons per day or for expansion, modification, or replacement of a municipal sewage collection system resulting in an increase in design average daily flow of any part of that system by 2,000,000 gallons per day or more if the discharge is to a wastewater treatment facility with the capacity of 20,000,000 gallons or greater, the PCA shall be the RGU.

B. For expansion or reconstruction of an existing municipal or domestic wastewater treatment facility which results in an increase by 50 percent or more and by at least 50,000 200,000 gallons per day of its average wet weather design flow capacity, or construction of a new municipal or domestic wastewater treatment facility with an average wet weather design flow capacity of 50,000 200,000 gallons per day or more, the PCA shall be the RGU.

[For text of item C, see M.R.]

Subp. 19. **Residential development.** An EAW is required for residential development if the total number of units that may ultimately be developed on all contiguous land owned or under an option to purchase by the proposer, and that is zoned for residential development or is identified for residential development by an applicable comprehensive plan or annexation agreement, equals or exceeds a threshold of this subpart. In counting the total number of ultimate units, the RGU shall include the number of units in any plans of the proposer; for land for which the proposer has not yet prepared plans, the RGU shall use as the number of units the product of the number of acres multiplied by the maximum number of units per acre allowable under the applicable zoning ordinance or, if the maximum number of units allowable per acre is not specified in an applicable zoning ordinance, by the overall average number of units per acre indicated in the plans of the proposer for those lands for which plans exist. If the total project requires review but future phases are uncertain, the RGU may review the ultimate project sequentially in accordance with part 4410.1000, subpart 4.

4410,4300

5.

CKM/DI RD3622 [REVISOR]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

If a project consists of mixed unattached and attached units, an EAW must be prepared if the sum of the quotient obtained by dividing the number of unattached units by the applicable unattached unit threshold, plus the quotient obtained by dividing the number of attached units by the applicable attached unit threshold, equals or exceeds one.

The local governmental unit is the RGU for construction of a permanent or potentially permanent residential development of:

[For text of items A to D, see M.R.]

[For text of subps 20 to 26, see M.R.]

Subp. 27. Wetlands and protected public waters. Items A and B designate the RGU for the type of project listed:

A. For projects that will change or diminish the course, current, or cross-section of one acre or more of any protected public water or protected public waters wetland except for those to be drained without a permit pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, chapter 103G, the local government unit shall be the RGU.

B. For projects that will change or diminish the course, current, or cross-section of 40 percent or more or five or more acres of types 3 through 8 wetland of 2.5 acres or more, excluding protected public waters wetlands, if any part of the wetland is within a shoreland area, delineated flood plain, a state or federally designated wild and scenic rivers district, the Minnesota River Project Riverbend area, or the Mississippi headwaters area, the local government unit shall be the RGU.

[For text of subps 28 to 30, see M.R.]

Subp. 31. Historical places. For the destruction, in whole or part, or the moving of a property that is listed on the National Register of Historic Places or State Register of Historic Places, the permitting state agency or local unit of government shall be the RGU, except this does not apply to projects reviewed under section 106 of the National

01/1	8/06	REVISOR	l CKM/DI	RD3622

Historic Preservation Act of 1966, United States Code, title 16, section 470, or the federal policy on lands, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and historic sites pursuant to United States Code, title 49, section 303, or projects reviewed by a local heritage preservation commission certified by the State Historic Preservation Office pursuant to Code of Federal Regulations, title 36, sections 61.5 and 61.7. This subpart does not apply to a property located within a designated historic district if the property is listed as "noncontributing" in the official district designation or if the State Historic Preservation Office issues a determination that the property is noncontributing.

[For text of subp 32, see M.R.]

Subp. 33. **Communications towers.** For construction of a communications tower equal to or in excess of 500 feet in height, or 300 feet in height within 1,000 feet of any protected public water or protected public waters wetland or within two miles of the Mississippi, Minnesota, Red, or St. Croix rivers or Lake Superior, the local governmental unit is the RGU.

[For text of subps 34 to 37, see M.R.]

4410.4400 MANDATORY EIS CATEGORIES.

[For text of subps 1 to 4, see M.R.]

Subp. 5. **Fuel conversion facilities.** Items A and B designate the RGU for the type of project listed:

[For text of item A, see M.R.]

B. For construction or expansion of a facility for the production of alcohol fuels which would have or would increase its capacity by 50,000,000 or more gallons per year of alcohol produced if the facility will be in the seven-county Twin Cities metropolitan area or by 125,000,000 or more gallons per year of alcohol produced if the facility will be outside the seven-county Twin Cities metropolitan area, the PCA shall be the RGU.

[For text of subps 6 to 13, see M.R.]

Subp. 14. Residential development. An EIS is required for residential development if the total number of units that the proposer may ultimately develop on all contiguous land owned by the proposer or for which the proposer has an option to purchase, and that is zoned for residential development or is identified for residential development by an applicable comprehensive plan or annexation agreement, equals or exceeds a threshold of this subpart. In counting the total number of ultimate units, the RGU shall include the number of units in any plans of the proposer; for land for which the proposer has not yet prepared plans, the RGU shall use as the number of units the product of the number of acres multiplied by the maximum number of units per acre allowable under the applicable zoning ordinance, or if the maximum number of units allowable per acre is not specified in an applicable zoning ordinance, by the overall average number of units per acre indicated in the plans of the proposer for those lands for which plans exist. If the total project requires review but future phases are uncertain, the RGU may review the ultimate project sequentially in accordance with part 4410.2000, subpart 4.

The RGU may review an initial stage of the project, that may not exceed ten percent of the applicable EIS threshold, by means of the procedures of parts 4410.1200 to 4410.1700 instead of the procedures of parts 4410.2000 to 4410.2800. If the RGU determines that this stage requires preparation of an EIS under part 4410.1700, it may be reviewed through a separate EIS or through an EIS that also covers later stages of the project.

If a project consists of mixed unattached and attached units, an EIS must be prepared if the sum of the quotient obtained by dividing the number of unattached units by the applicable unattached unit threshold, plus the quotient obtained by dividing the number of attached units by the applicable attached unit threshold, equals or exceeds one.

The local governmental unit is the RGU for construction of a permanent or potentially permanent residential development of:

1	[For text of items A to D, see M.R.]
2	[For text of subps 15 to 19, see M.R.]
3	Subp. 20. Wetlands and protected public waters. For projects that will eliminate a
4	protected public water or protected public waters wetland, the local government unit
5	shall be the RGU.
6	[For text of subps 21 to 25, see M.R.]
7	4410.4600 EXEMPTIONS.
8	[For text of subpart 1, see M.R.]
9	Subp. 2. Standard exemptions. The following projects are standard exemptions:
10	[For text of items A to C, see M.R.]
11	D. projects for which a substantial portion of the project has been completed and
12	an EIS would not influence remaining implementation or construction; and
13	E. projects for which environmental review has already been initiated under the
14	prior rules completed or for which environmental review is being conducted pursuant
15	to part 4410.3600 or 4410.3700.
16	[For text of subps 3 to 18, see M.R.]
17	Subp. 19. Animal feedlots. The activities in items A to $\in \underline{D}$ are exempt.
18	A. Construction of an animal feedlot facility with a capacity of less than 1,000
19	animal units or the expansion of an existing animal feedlot facility to a total cumulative
20	capacity of less than 1,000 animal units, if all of the following apply:
21	(1) the feedlot is not in an environmentally sensitive location listed in part
22	4410.4300, subpart 29, item B;
23	(2) the application for the animal feedlot permit includes a written commitment
24	by the proposer to design, construct, and operate the facility in full compliance with
25	DCA foodlat rules and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(3) the county board holds a public meeting for citizen input at least ten business days prior to the PCA or county issuing a feedlot permit for the facility, unless another public meeting for citizen input has been held with regard to the feedlot facility to be permitted.

B. The construction of an animal feedlot facility of less than 300 animal units or the expansion of an existing facility by less than 100 animal units, no part of either of which is located within a shoreland area; delineated flood plain; state or federally designated wild and scenic rivers district; the Minnesota River Project Riverbend area; the Mississippi headwaters area; an area within a drinking water supply management area designated under chapter 4720 where the aquifer is identified in the wellhead protection plan as vulnerable to contamination; or 1,000 feet of a known sinkhole, cave, resurgent spring, disappearing spring, Karst window, blind valley, or dry valley.

B. C. The construction or expansion of an animal feedlot facility with a resulting capacity of less than 50 animal units regardless of location.

€. D. The modification without expansion of capacity of any feedlot of no more than 300 animal units if the modification is necessary to secure a Minnesota feedlot permit.

[For text of subps 20 to 27, see M.R.]

4410.5200 EQB MONITOR PUBLICATION REQUIREMENTS.

Subpart 1. Required notices. Governmental units are required to publish notice of the items listed in items A to P R in the EQB Monitor, except that this part constitutes a request and not a requirement with respect to federal agencies.

A. When a project has been noticed pursuant to item D, separate notice of individual permits required by that project need not be made unless changes in the project are proposed that will involve new and potentially significant environmental effects not considered previously. No decision granting a permit application for which

01/18/06	[REVISOR]	CKM/DI	RD3622
01/10/00		CXXIII/ DI	1110022

notice is required to be published by this part shall be effective until	30 days following
publication of the notice.	

[For text of subitems (1) to (4), see M.R.]

(5) For special local need registration for pesticides, Minnesota Statutes, section 18A.23, and parts 1505.0870 to 1505.0930, the MDA is the permitting authority.

[For text of items B to O, see M.R.]

- P. Notice of the availability of a draft alternative urban areawide review document.
- Q. Notice of the adoption of a final alternative urban areawide review document.
 - R. Notice of other actions that the EQB may specify by resolution.

[For text of subps 2 and 3, see M.R.]

4410.5600 COST AND DISTRIBUTION.

[For text of subpart 1, see M.R.]

Subp. 2. Distribution. The EQB may further provide at least one copy to the Print Communications Division for the mailing of the EQB Monitor to any person, governmental unit, or organization if so requested. The EQB may assess reasonable costs to the requesting party. Ten copies of each issue of the EQB Monitor, however, shall be provided without cost to the Legislative Reference Library, ten copies to the State Law Library, and at least one copy to designated EQB depositories Monitor may be published by electronic means, including by posting at the EQB Internet Web site and by electronic mail to persons who have registered with the EQB to receive the EQB Monitor.

4410.6100 DETERMINING EIS ASSESSED COST.

Subpart 1. **Proposer and RGU agreement.** Within 30 days after the EIS preparation notice RGU's scoping decision has been published issued, the RGU shall submit to the EQB proposer a written draft cost agreement signed by the proposer and the RGU. The

-14

agreement shall include the EIS estimated cost and a brief description of the tasks a	and
the cost of each task to be performed by each party in preparing and distributing	the
EIS. Those items identified in part 4410.6200 may be used as a guideline in determin	ing
the EIS estimated cost. If an agreement cannot be reached, the RGU or The propo-	ser
shall so notify the EQB may request changes in the cost agreement. If, within 30 d	ays
after the proposer receives the draft cost agreement, the RGU and proposer have	not
signed a cost agreement, either party may refer the matter to the EQB according to p	<u>oart</u>
4410.6410. If the RGU and proposer sign the cost agreement, the RGU shall subm	<u>it a</u>
copy to the EQB.	
[For text of subps 4 and 5, see M.R.]	
4410.6200 DETERMINING EIS COST.	
Subpart 1. EIS cost inclusions. In determining the reasonable cost of preparing	and
distributing an EIS, the following items shall be included:	
A. the cost of the RGU's staff time including direct salary and fringe benefit co	sts,
unless the RGU elects to waive these costs;	
[For text of items B and C, see M.R.]	
D. indirect costs of the RGU not to exceed the RGU's normal operating overh	ıead
rate, unless the RGU elects to waive these costs;	

19 [For text of items E and F, see M.R.]

[For text of subps 2 to 5, see M.R.]

4410.6500 PAYMENT OF EIS COST.

Subpart 1. **Schedule of payments.** The proposer shall make all cash payments to the RGU according to the following schedule:

A. The proposer shall pay the RGU for the full cost estimated by the RGU to be necessary for the scoping of the EIS not later than the date of submission by the

proposer of the completed data portions of the scoping EAW or within five days of issuance of a positive declaration. The RGU shall not proceed with the scoping process until this payment is made. Upon issuance of the scoping decision, the RGU shall provide the proposer with a written accounting of the scoping expenditures. If the payment made by the proposer exceeds the expenditures, the balance shall be credited against the cash payments required from the proposer for preparation of the draft EIS. If the RGU's reasonable expenditures for scoping exceed the cash payment received, the proposer shall pay the balance before the RGU commences preparation of the draft EIS.

[For text of items B to D, see M.R.]

Subp. 6. Prohibition on state agency permits until notice of final payment. Upon receipt of final payment from the proposer, the RGU shall promptly notify the EQB of receipt of final payment, unless the EIS cost is in dispute under part 4410.6410. Upon notice of receipt of the final payment by the proposer, the EQB shall notify each state agency having a possible governmental permit interest in the project that the final payment has been received.

Other laws notwithstanding, a state agency shall not issue any governmental permits for the construction or operation of a project for which an EIS is prepared until the required cash payments of the EIS assessed cost for that project or that portion of a related actions EIS have been paid in full.

REPEALER. Minnesota Rules, part 4410.0200, subpart 1a, is repealed.

Office of the Revisor of Statutes Administrative Rules



TITLE: Proposed Permanent Rules Relating to Environmental Review Program

AGENCY: Environmental Quality Board

MINNESOTA RULES: Chapter 4410

The attached rules are approved for publication in the State Register

Cindy K. Maxwell Senior Assistant Revisor