1	STATE OF MINNESOTA
2	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
3	FOR THE
4	MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY
MINNESOTA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD 5	MINNESOTA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD
6	
7	
8	
9	In the Matter of:
10	Proposed Amendments to Rules Governing
the Environmental Review Program, 11 Minnesota Rules Chapter, 4410	Minnesota Rules Chapter, 4410
12	Revisor's ID Number R-04157
13	
14	
15	OAH Docket No. 80-9008-35532
16	VOLUME I
17	The Public Rulemaking Hearing in the
18	above-entitled matter came on before Administrative
19	Law Judge LauraSue Schlatter, taken before
20	Susan M. Strom, a Notary Public in and for the
21	County of Dakota, State of Minnesota, taken on
22	the 31st day of May, 2019, at 520 Lafayette Road,
23	Room 100, St. Paul, Minnesota, commencing at
24	approximately 1:30 p.m.
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	MINNESOTA ENVIRONMENTAL EQUITY BOARD:
4	DENISE WILSON: Director, Environmental Review Program
5	ERIK CEDARLEAF DAHL: Planning Director
6	Rulemaking
7	NUR A. IBRAHIM, Esquire
8	Assistant Attorney General Minnesota Attorney General's Office
9	445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
10	St. Iddi, Himmesota SSIOI
11	
12	I N D E X
13	Page
14	INTRODUCTION OF EXHIBITS:
15	Ms. Ibrahim
16	BOARD PRESENTATION:
17	
18	Ms. Wilson
19	
20	PUBLIC COMMENTS:
21	Carol Overland
22	Rita Chamblin 48
23	Sherry Couture
24	Debra Topping
25	Nancy Beaulieu

1	PUBLIC COMMENTS: Page
2	Jo Haberman 60
3	Levi Gregg
4	Connor Lange
5	Jesse Peterson
6	Dan Wilm
7	George Crocker
8	Carol Andrews
9	Dan Sauve
10	Susan Schubert
11	John Munter
12	Kathy Hollander
13	Robert Tammen
14	Andy Pearson
15	Sierra Erickson
16	Willis Mattison
17	Brian Pastarr
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

2.1

THE JUDGE: Good afternoon, everybody.

My name is LauraSue Schlatter and I'm an

Administrative Law Judge with the Minnesota Office of Administrative Hearings. I thank you all for taking the time to be here today to participate in this rulemaking process.

Today is May 31, 2019. It is approximately 1:30 p.m., and we are here for a public hearing in the Matter of the Proposed Amendments of the Environmental Quality Board Rules Governing Environmental Review. These are Minnesota Rules 4410.0200, .0500, .4300, .4400, .4600, .5200, .7904, .7906 and .7926. And this matter is referred to as Office of Administrative Hearings Docket Number 80-9008-35532.

This is the first of two public hearings in this docket. The second hearing will be on June 26th in St. Cloud, Minnesota starting at 5:30 p.m. There is information about the location for that public hearing on the table outside the door in the hallway.

Please put the docket number that I have just given you in the subject line of any

correspondence or comments you submit to my office, so that anything you submit to us can be properly routed to me. Again, that docket number is 80-9008-35532. That way, we will be sure that the information, anything you write, any exhibits come to me and not to another judge working on another rule.

Yes, there is a question?

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This paper says comments may not be submitted by e-mail.

THE JUDGE: So comments can be submitted electronically on our website, but not e-mailed. So you can go to our website and submit comments electronically. You can submit comments by U.S. Mail. We used to have an e-mail box for comments, but we don't have that e-mail box anymore. We accept comments on our eComments website instead. So the information about how to do that is on that sheet that you have. Those are the two options for submitting comments to our office. You can mail it or you can submit the comment on our website.

Mr. Cedarleaf Dahl, did you have something?

MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Thank you, Your

Honor. You may submit it -- we had allowed them to submit it e-mail to Denise and I. Is that

1 allowable? 2 THE JUDGE: So the Agency apparently has 3 a -- the EQB apparently has an e-mail address for I quess, if you want, you could e-mail 4 themselves. 5 it to the EQB and then -- but what they are going 6 to do is also file those eComments on our eComment 7 website. As well, I want you to know that anything that gets mailed to us, I believe -- let me check 8 with you, Ian, actually. Any mailed comments, do 10 those also go up on the website? Yeah. 11 matter how you submit them, they are all going to 12 wind up in the same place, which is on the eComment 13 website in our office so that people know what 14 other people are saying. So, Ms. Overland, did you have a question? 15 MS. OVERLAND: A short one. 16 website, can you do an attachment to that? 17 18 THE JUDGE: Yes. 19 MS. OVERLAND: Okay. Thank you. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, would 2.1 you repeat the docket number. 22 THE JUDGE: I'm going to get there. 23 have a whole bunch of things to say here. 24 repeat the docket number for you. It's

80-9008-35532. And for your information, the

25

Revisor's number for this rule is R-4157.

2.1

Also, just a little bit of housekeeping. If anyone needs a restroom, those are out the door. You make a left and then a right down the hallway past the entrance to the end of the hall and you make a left and they are on your right. It's really very straightforward, even though I said all those lefts and rights. You are basically going straight with a couple of little jogs.

The Office of Administrative Hearings is independent of the Environmental Quality Board.

I'm going to refer to the Environmental Quality

Board here as the EQB, which is the agency that is proposing to adopt these rules that are the subject of today's hearings. It's also independent of any groups or individuals that are participating in today's hearing.

The role of our office is to provide

hearings that are like this that are fair to all

the participants. In Chapter 14 of the Minnesota

Statutes, the legislature directs that rulemaking

hearings be conducted so that members of the public

can be heard as part of the rulemaking process.

I'm here to ensure that there is procedural fairness, to ensure that everybody is courteous to

each other, and so that all interested parties can be heard and to draw out information from as many voices as possible.

2.1

2.4

In the interest of that, I am asking that nobody film or record this hearing, please, so that people who are here to speak can feel free to speak without the chilling effect of thinking that they might be being filmed. If you want to interview somebody about what they have said during the hearing, you are welcome to talk to them out in the hallway. But please don't be filming during this public hearing.

An underlying assumption of this process is that we rely on the wisdom of the group. The EQB and I appreciate that you are contributing your thoughts, experiences and expertise to the formation of these rules.

There is a handout on the table entitled

OAH Rule Hearing Procedures. If you don't have a

copy, please feel free to take a moment to pick one

up, because it describes the procedures that are

set up by the legislature for hearings like this.

And I'm going to cover some of those highlights

now.

The hearing is part of a process by which

rules are adopted under the Minnesota 1 2 Administrative Procedure Act. During this proceeding, the EQB is required to do three things: 3 It has to document its statutory authority to adopt 4 5 the proposed rules; it has to demonstrate that it 6 has fulfilled all of the relevant, legal and 7 procedural requirements of the law; and it has to demonstrate the need for and reasonableness of each 8 portion of the proposed rules with an affirmative 10 presentation of the facts.

Those are the three big issues that I'm required to review as part of this proceeding. I know that many of you are here to express thoughts or views that you have on various rules. And that is certainly very helpful to me, to the EQB and to the process.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My job is not to rewrite the rules based upon the views of the participants, or to select one set of proposed rules over another set of proposed rules. My job is to ensure that the statutory requirements are met for rulemaking.

So the roadmap for this hearing is as follows: After I complete my introductory remarks about the hearing procedures, I'm going to introduce Assistant Attorney General Ibrahim who is

representing the EQB and she will introduce the panel here from the EQB.

2.1

2.4

Next, the EQB will summarize the exhibits that it's wishing to introduce into the hearing record and will summarize those exhibits so everyone has some idea what's going into the hearing record.

There are copies of all those exhibits in the hallway on the table. Feel free to look at them. Please return them to the table. They are not here for you to take away. They are here for you to look at, but return them to the table. And you should also know that they are available online on the EQB's website and also on our rulemaking website. So you can take a look at them online as well.

MR. MATTISON: Your Honor?

THE JUDGE: Yes.

MR. MATTISON: Excuse me for the interruption. You are making references to documents that are not available. I'm wondering if staff can provide us with additional copies, such as the hearing procedures you described that are not available out on the --

THE JUDGE: Sure. We will get more

1 copies made. I think there are more people here than were expected. Is there anything else that's 2 3 not there that you are aware of? MR. MATTISON: I think the table is 4 5 empty. So whatever was supposed to be there, it's 6 not. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The SONAR. MR. MATTISON: The SONAR is not. 8 9 THE JUDGE: We will get additional 10 copies made. 11 MR. MATTISON: Thank you. 12 THE JUDGE: And the SONAR should be available with the hearing exhibits. It is in that 13 14 notebook. But we will have staff make additional 15 copies. 16 MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Would you like us to do that now? 17 18 THE JUDGE: I would say during the 19 introductions. But I don't know if you have other 20 people who can do that. 2.1 MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: We don't. 22 THE JUDGE: You don't. So I'm going to 23 take probably another 5, 10 minutes, so if you can get started. Then Ms. Ibrahim will also take a 24

couple of minutes. There are more of you I think

25

than were expected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

After summarizing the exhibits, the EQB will make an oral presentation of the proposed rule amendments and the reasons and the need for them.

Most of the hearing time today has been allotted for statements and questions from members of the public. And that's the key reason we are here today. In order to make sure we have an accurate record of the number of people attending the hearing, I would like everybody to sign the hearing register which is located on the registration table. If you wish to submit a written statement today, you must sign the hearing register. And if you wish to speak at the hearing today, you must sign the hearing register. And, please, if you wish to speak, put a checkmark in the appropriate column, which is the first column after name, address, telephone number, those columns. Please, place a checkmark in that column on the hearing register so that I know to call on you.

If anyone who wishes to speak or ask questions is under time constraints today, please let Ms. Wilson who is sitting here at the table or Mr. Dahl who just went to make copies, so you can't

let him know right now, but let one of them know your time constraints and they will let me know so that I can bump you to the front of the speaking line. Okay? I want to make sure that everybody gets a chance to speak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I will call you generally in the order listed on the hearing register. When your name is called, please come up to the table in front so that I can hear you and the court reporter can hear If you are in one of the overflow rooms when I call you, please come into this room so that we can see you and so that you are speaking directly to me. If you are at one of the remote locations, you will pop up on the screen and we will be able to see you and you will be able to see us as well. What I will do is alternate between people here and people at the remote locations, so that they are not put at a disadvantage for being at remote locations. We have five remote locations this afternoon. So I will be working with them as well.

When you begin, this is important, please state and please spell your name so the court reporter has your name properly spelled in the record. Please, either state your full address or at least the town that you come from. And if you

are representing someone other than yourself, if you are representing a group, please identify the group that you are representing here today.

2.1

I do expect to have time for everyone to be heard, but it will be helpful if you organize your remarks and if you focus on the highlights of your remarks. You can enter any written comments you wish into the record as an exhibit by bringing it up to the table after your remarks and I will mark it as an exhibit and take a copy and that will be posted as part of the hearing record. Otherwise, again, you can submit written comments after the hearing. And I will be giving dates for deadlines for written comments.

I do want to ensure that everyone today has time to speak and that everyone who wants to be heard is heard. Given the number of people who at this point I know want to speak, at this time I'm going to allow 5 minutes per each person who wants to speak. You cannot cede your time to a friend. I do know of one set of people who want to speak together who are doing kind of a dual presentation. And I have told them that they can combine their time. So I will allow them 10 minutes because they are speaking together. But you can't just give

your time to someone else and say that person has 10 minutes and you are not going to speak at all.

2.1

If everyone who wants to speak gets a turn and we have time left, we can give people a chance to come back and speak again. If everyone has spoken and there is time left, I will also ask if you didn't sign up to speak but you have decided that you want to speak, I will give an opportunity for people to change their minds and come back and say if they want to speak.

This is really a fairly informal process. I am really here to ensure, as I said, that we are courteous to each other and that the process runs smoothly. As you make your comments, please keep these things in mind. A rule hearing is really like a legislative hearing or a meeting of a local board or a city council. It's not like a trial.

So a speaker may ask questions of the panel and also the panel can ask questions of a speaker. I can ask questions, other people present can ask questions of the speaker.

The Board panel is permitted, but it's not required, to answer questions during the meeting.

The Board is ultimately required to answer your questions in some way. It may be in writing during

the comment period. So if they don't answer your questions today, that's okay. It's up to them to decide. But you will have to look for them in written comments for answers later. But because this is not a court hearing, you don't need to make your point by asking questions. And often the most direct way to make your point is simply by stating the point you want to make and moving on.

2.1

It's most helpful to me if you can be specific with your comments. Tell me which rules or rule parts you object to or you support and tell me why. My rule report is organized as a rule-by-rule analysis. So it's a really big help to me if your comments are made in reference to specific rule parts. The record we make today is going to be reviewed by other people later and we want to make sure that the matter you are addressing is also clear to them.

Again, because of the number of people who want to speak today, each person is going to have 5 minutes to speak initially. Again, if there is time, you may have another chance to speak later.

I'm reminding you that the hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter, and because we must keep an accurate record it is important when

speaking to remember to speak clearly, slowly and loud enough to be heard, to make all statements and responses audible as opposed to a nod of the head or a gesture, to spell all proper names and technical terms the first time you use them, explain what acronyms stand for the first time you use it. Don't assume that I know anything technical. Assume that I don't. So, again, spell those technical terms, tell me what acronyms stand for. And, please, only one person speak at a time.

2.1

I might interrupt a speaker from time to time to ask for a spelling or to ask some other question if something is unclear to me. I apologize in advance. I ask you not to take offense. I am not doing it to disrespect or to distract you. I am only doing it to ensure that we have an accurate record of what you are saying and that I understand what you are saying.

If you have a written copy of your remarks that you want to leave here as an exhibit, please do so. That's often helpful to me so that I can go back and read what you have said. Again, I will enter that as an exhibit. I will number it. It will get posted.

Now I want to talk about the written comment

period. Minnesota Statutes Section 14.15, subdivision 1 provides that the administrative law judge may, by order, keep the hearing record open for up to 20 days after the end of the public hearing. And I am going to issue that order now after discussing this question with the Board.

2.1

In this case, there are two public hearings for the rulemaking. And, again, the second public hearing is on June 26th in St. Cloud. Because this is the first of two public hearings, the record shall be extended to remain open for comment until 20 days after the second of the two public hearings. So there will be 20 calendar days after June 26th for the initial submission of posthearing written comments. That means you have until July 16, 2019 at 4:30 p.m. to submit initial written comments.

Again, on the handout, you have the address to submit your comments to to be sure that I receive them. You can send them by mail. I think you can actually fax them as well. You can e-file them on our rule comment website, you can e-mail them to the EQB. They must be received by 4:30 on July 16th to be considered.

Our office will post all the comments we

receive to the rulemaking website for all to review. So they are not -- these comments are public. You need to know that. The EQB, again, also has a rulemaking website. And their website is linked to ours. Again, please include the OAH Docket Number 80-9008-35532 in the subject line of any comments you make so that the comments get directed to me and not another judge or another rule file.

2.1

After July 16, 2019, there is a 5 working day rebuttal period to respond to comments filed during the initial comment period. That 5 day period is meant to respond to comments which have already been made. It is not a time for introducing new matters or new evidence. And, again, you can look at Minnesota Statutes Section 14.15 regarding that.

We will begin accepting rebuttal comments for posting on Wednesday, July 17, 2019 and will continue to accept those rebuttal comments through Tuesday, July 23, 2019 at 4:30 p.m. Again, the rebuttal comments can only respond to comments that were submitted by the end of the day on July 16, 2019.

After July 23, 2019, I will prepare a report

that contains my conclusions about whether the EQB 1 2 has met its statutory burdens in this matter. First and foremost, I will focus on whether the 3 Board has documented its authority to enact the 4 rules, whether the Board has fulfilled all of the 5 6 required procedures and whether the Board has 7 demonstrated the need and reasonableness for each 8 portion of the proposed rules. You can expect my report approximately 30 days after the last rebuttal deadline, unless for 10 11 some reason an extension is necessary. If you want 12 to receive a copy of my report, please indicate 13 that on the sign-in sheet. If you provide an e-mail address, we will e-mail you and we will see 14 15 that you receive notice when the report is available and how to obtain a copy. We will ensure 16 17 that it gets to you and are eager for you to have a 18 copy of the report. 19 There are now enough handouts, I hope on 20 the --2.1 MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: They are coming 22 down. 23 THE JUDGE: They are coming down. 24 MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: We are making 20

25

more of each.

THE JUDGE: So there are handouts coming 1 2 to the table that again go into more detail about 3 the process and contain the address information you are going to need to submit written comments. 4 5 Please, keep a copy of that for your records. 6 I'm going to say one more time the docket number, 7 because sometime people don't get it the first couple of times. 80-9008-35532. 8 9 Are there any other questions about the procedures that I have reviewed here? 10 11 questions from any of the remote locations? 12 (No response.) 13 THE JUDGE: Okay. And if there is 14 anybody in the overflow room who has a question, 15 feel free to pop in here and let me know. 16 MR. MATTISON: (Indicating). 17 THE JUDGE: Yes. 18 MR. MATTISON: Your Honor, I have a 19 rather detailed, complex question, but it does have 20 impact with regard to the scope of issues that are 2.1 at issue today, and a decision from your 22 perspective would be helpful in knowing my own 23 ability to comment as well as I believe some of the 24 people in the room. Are you willing to hear that 25 question at this moment?

THE JUDGE: Sure, go ahead. We will see 1 2 if I can answer it. 3 MR. MATTISON: Okay. The EQB staff has made an assertion under the Administrative 4 5 Procedures Act that they are exempt from making any 6 finding of whether or not their proposed rules 7 demonstrate superior achievement of the objectives of the MAPA rules. And they claim that because 8 they are not a regulatory, they need not provide 10 any such meaning or statement of a superior 11 objective. And I believe that's an incorrect 12 interpretation. THE JUDGE: So I think this sounds to me 13 like a comment that you would make during the 14 course of the hearing. You're welcome to -- I 15 don't know whether you signed up to speak or not. 16 I did. 17 MR. MATTISON: 18 THE JUDGE: Okay. That's something that 19 you can talk about during your comment time.

MR. MATTISON: My reason for raising it now is that staff have told me that I'm limited to submitting comments on the specific rule changes themselves rather than going beyond that to oversight. As the Administrative Procedures Act suggests, citizens have this oversight ability to

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

point out things they should have changed but did not. So it's that clarity with which I seek some quidance from Your Honor.

2.1

of my review here is limited to what the Board has done, not to what they should have done. However, again, when you make your comment, you are welcome to briefly make that statement. I would encourage you if you have those concerns to make them in more detail in writing and I can look at them in more detail in writing. And that's what I will tell you when you make the comment. I hope that is helpful to you and anyone else who is going to raise those concerns.

MR. MATTISON: Thank you, Your Honor.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I may have missed this. I was stepping in and out of one of these overflow rooms. I would like to respectfully request that people who drove at least over 120 miles or 100 miles be given preference to comments. And I would like to take that a step further by requesting that members of tribal nations be given preference with that group.

THE JUDGE: Well, I did say that anyone who has time limitations or concerns should let

```
Mr. Cedarleaf Dahl or Ms. Wilson know, and I'm
1
2
    happy to accommodate folks who have time
    limitations. Are you already signed up to speak?
3
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I will talk to
4
5
    them now, if that's okay.
6
               THE JUDGE: Sure. That's fine.
7
     (At this time a discussion was held off the record.)
               THE JUDGE: Anything else before we
8
9
    continue? So I gather from the two people who just
    spoke, or at least one of them, that they don't
10
11
    actually want to go first, first, is that right?
12
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
               THE JUDGE: Now, before we continue, we
13
    do have the Agency to hear from. So I would like
14
15
    to introduce Assistant Attorney General Nur Ibrahim
    who is representing the EQB and invite her to
16
17
    introduce the Board staff here today and continue
18
    with the introduction of exhibits. Thank you.
19
               MS. IBRAHIM:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
20
    Again, I'm Nur Ibrahim. I'm the Assistant Attorney
2.1
    General here on behalf of the EQB. I am joined by
    Denise Wilson, the EQB Director of the
22
23
    Environmental Review Program and Erik Cedarleaf
24
    Dahl, the Director of Rulemaking for EQB.
25
          At this time, Your Honor, I would like to
```

introduce the exhibits into the record. These exhibits comprise the record that EQB relied upon for the rulemaking amendment. The exhibits are in three parts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The first section of the exhibits are the documents required for the record according to Minnesota Rules 1400.2220, subpart 1. And that includes the request for comments that were published in the State Register, the proposed rules, the statement of need and reasonableness and their related exhibits. That also includes the notices of hearing, certificate of mailing, certificate of giving additional notice pursuant to the additional notice plan. That section also includes the 159 comments that were received during the open comment period that ran from November 13, 2018 to February 4, 2019, and it also includes documents pertaining to compliance with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act. And that includes the certificate of compliance with Minnesota statutes regarding farming operations, submission of the SONAR and consultation with the Minnesota Management and Budget Office.

The second part of the exhibits includes

Exhibit L, which refers to draft modifications to 1 2 the proposed rule amendment. And this is a proposal in response to the comments that were 3 received during the dual notice comment period. 4 5 And part two also includes the rationale for these 6 proposed changes. 7 And the third section is really just a placeholder for any of the exhibits that are received 8 today. And so I would like to offer the exhibits into 10 the record, Your Honor, at this time. 11 THE JUDGE: The exhibits are received. 12 Thank you. 13 MS. IBRAHIM: Thank you. MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Good afternoon, 14 15 Your Honor and members of the public. I am Erik Cedarleaf Dahl and this is Denise Wilson. We are 16 17 before you today to present an overview of the

We are going to give a little background of the EQB and then we are going to talk about the environmental review background and purpose and then our statutory authority. And then we are going to give a very brief summary of the proposed rule changes, especially the revisions that we are

mandatory categories of rulemaking, Revisor ID

R-4157 and OAH Docket Number 80-9008-35532.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

proposing today based on comments received, and talk a little bit about rulemaking engagement and we can answer any questions, if necessary.

2.1

So first a little background on the EQB.

The membership of the EQB is made up of nine state agencies and the Met Council as a non-voting member and eight citizen members representing districts throughout Minnesota. Currently, we only have six citizen members. We mostly have eight. And Denise and I are going to switch off back and forth here.

MS. WILSON: Thanks, Erik. So one of the primary responsibilities of the Environmental Quality Board is the administration of the environmental review program. The Minnesota environmental review program is implemented through Minnesota statutes and rules. Board responsibilities and authorities for administering the environmental review program are identified in Minnesota Statute 116D and Minnesota Rules Chapter 4410. On behalf of the Board, staff initiate and implement updates and changes to Minnesota Rule Chapter 4410.

As I mentioned, the Board has the responsibility for administration of the environmental review program. And this includes

ensuring that the program objectives are met. The environmental review program objectives are described in Minnesota Rules Chapter 4410.0400 and include the requirement to provide usable information to project proposers, government decisionmakers and the public concerning the primary environmental effects of a proposed project. Another objective is to provide the public with a systematic access to decisionmakers, which helps to maintain public awareness of environmental concerns and encourage accountability in public and private decisionmaking.

2.1

While the EQB has responsibility for administrating the environmental review program, they delegate the authority and responsibility for environmental review to the governmental unit most closely involved in the project. This is referred to in rule as the responsible governmental unit or RGU.

Some of the changes that we are going to talk about today are being proposed in order to meet the objective in this subpart for reducing delay and uncertainty in the environmental review process and eliminating duplication.

So now I'm going to walk through the

environmental review process at a high level and just give a brief overview. The environmental review process begins with a project that requires both a governmental approval and has the potential to either directly or indirectly impact the environment.

2.1

The project proposer considers the requirements in Minnesota Rule Chapter 4410 to determine if their project needs to be evaluated through the environmental review program. These rules describe the categories of project types, the thresholds for those project types, and the state or local unit of government that is responsible for completing the process.

In addition to the thresholds and the categories of projects, the rules also require that the project proposer consider if it is part of a past or future phase or is connected to another project within a three-year timeframe.

The rules also determine the types of process that is required. The most common processes are the environmental assessment worksheet or EAW and the environmental impact statement or EIS. Even if there isn't a mandatory requirement, it is possible that a project will be

required to undergo review if a government agency uses their discretionary authority or if a government agency orders review as a result of a citizen petition.

2.1

Once it is determined that environmental review rules apply to the project, a project proposer completes an environmental assessment worksheet form with the information that is necessary for that government agency to understand what the project is and how it's being proposed and what the project proposer feels are the important potential environmental effects, and they submit that form to the government agency identified in the rules for that category.

So now I'm going to briefly walk through the most commonly used review process, the environmental assessment worksheet process or EAW, again, at a high level. After the project proposer submits their information on the EAW form to the designated governmental agency or RGU, that government agency evaluates the information for accuracy and completeness and adds any additional information based on their expertise. The government agency is ultimately responsible for identifying what information is included as well as

how much information to include on the EAW form.

2.1

The environmental review program rules require that the government agency publish a notification to the public and send copies to other government agencies for a 30-day public comment period.

Once the public comment period ends, the government agency or RGU considers any additional information they received from the public. They are also required to respond to anyone that submitted a comment as well as create a record of decision.

For an EAW, the decision is either that the information considered does not indicate the potential for significant environmental effects and that project moves on to the permitting and approval processes, or for projects that have the potential for significant environmental effects, they order a more in-depth environmental impact statement.

The environmental review process does not approve or deny a project. Rather, it is meant to provide information that can then be used to inform those approval decisions.

The environmental impact statement process

is required for projects that have the potential 1 2 for significant environmental effects. The EIS process may either be required because the project 3 exceeds the mandatory threshold in Minnesota rules 4 or as a result of the decision on an EAW. 5 6 has a narrower focus than an EAW and identifies 7 only those potentially significant issues. compares the potentially significant impacts of the 8 proposal with those of other reasonable 10 alternatives to the proposed project and discusses 11 environmental, economic, employment and 12 sociological impacts. The EIS process is more 13 complex and typically takes longer than the EAW. The procedures also require multiple opportunities 14 15 for the public to provide input throughout the 16 process. 17 Thanks, Denise. MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: 18 just wanted to mention to everyone that there are 19 more copies now out there of both the rules, the proposed revisions and the SONAR. There should be 20 2.1 about 20 copies. I will just pause for 20 seconds 22 and make sure everyone can get back. 23 THE JUDGE: Thank you. 24 MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: So here is our 25 statutory authority. It's in Exhibit D in the

hearing exhibit the SONAR pages 62 and 63. It provides a reference to EQB statutory authority to conduct this rulemaking on Minnesota Rules Chapter 4410. The Board statutory authority to adopt the rule amendment will be given in the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act, Minnesota Statutes 116D.04, subdivision 2a(b) and 5a and Minnesota Statutes 116C.04.

2.1

Under these provisions, the Board has the necessary statutory authority to adopt the proposed rule amendments. In particular, Minnesota Statutes 116D.04, subdivision 2a(b) directs the Board to establish mandatory categories for EAWs, EISs and exemptions by rule.

This rulemaking will also include the adoption of the Silica Sand project thresholds in accordance with the authority provided in the laws of Minnesota 2013, Chapter 114, Article 4, Section 91. The Board's authority to establish thresholds for different types of recreational trails that require preparation of an EAW established in the 2015 legislative session, Laws of Minnesota 2015, Chapter 4, Article 5, Section 33.

The proposed amendments to Minnesota Rules Chapter 4410 are needed to fulfill the

recommendations found in the 2013 Mandatory
Environmental Review Categories Report, which is in
Exhibit D1; streamline environmental review through
both technical and housekeeping changes, and adopt
thresholds specific to Silica Sand projects and to
amend thresholds specific to recreational trails as
directed by the Minnesota Legislature in 2013 and
2015.

The desired outcome is to make environmental review more efficient by adding clarity and specificity and thereby reducing ambiguous or confusing application of the environmental review rules. The proposed changes are needed both to increase certainty for project proposers, RGUs -- responsible government units, sorry -- and the public and to assure that certain proposed projects are receiving environmental review.

Before we begin going over the proposed draft rules, and we are going to go over those very briefly, I would like to describe the types of changes that are included in this rulemaking.

The first type of change includes edits to improve grammar and language. These edits do not change how the rule is applied, but are intended to change language so that it will be more clear to

the public, responsible government units and project proposers how the rules should be interpreted.

2.1

The second type of change will update

Chapter 4410 with other statutory and regulatory

requirements. And, finally, some of the rules are

being updated because there is more information

available now than when the category was originally

created.

Here is a complete list of all the parts included in this rulemaking package. The last four parts only contain changes to improve the language for interpreting the rule but don't change how the rule is currently being applied. Therefore, we are only planning to walk through the proposed changes in the first five parts. If you have specific questions, just let us know and we can include these in other parts in the discussion.

As we walk through the proposed changes, it is important to note that the changes were a result of an extensive public engagement process and also reflect input from responsible governmental units based on lessons learned from their experience and expertise over time with implementing these rules.

This slide is the engagement. The EQB took

the following steps to develop the draft rules and notified interested parties about the draft rules and solicited their input on the rule language. A Silica Sand rule advisory panel with 15 members met from January 2014 to February 2015. A request for comments prior to the rule language occurred in 2013, 2015 and 2016. This is all contained in the hearing exhibits. The EQB established a rulespecific web page. We held informational meetings March 18th, 21st and 22nd in 2016. Preliminary language was released and an informal comment period was held June 2016 to August 2016. rulemaking open house was held on June 28, 2016. Preliminary rule language was presented at a public EQB board meeting on August 15, 2018. And the draft rules and SONAR were presented, which is the statement of need and reasonableness, at the public EQB board meeting on September 19, 2018. A comment period was held on the draft rules from November 13, 2018 to February 4, 2019. And a notice of hearing which changed the dates was on December 31, 2018 and then on February 25, 2019. And then we added an additional hearing and comment period which was added to June 26th in St. Cloud. And we added a comment period from May 20th to June 21st.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We are going to start with part 0200. This part includes subparts with the definitions and abbreviations. These definitions are used to help interpret all of Chapter 4410 and, more specifically, to help determine when environmental review is needed in the mandatory EAW, environmental assessment worksheet, and EIS, environmental impact statement, categories.

2.1

2.4

The page numbers relate to the Revisor's certified rule language page numbers and in the handout that's on the table over there or in Exhibit C in the hearing exhibits.

We are not going to go through each of these rules, as we would like to hear from the public on comments. But the subparts highlighted in green mean they are aligning and/or referring to another rule part. And all of this is detailed in the SONAR that is available there or we can look at the actual rule language. The subparts highlighted in purple mean they are aligning with statutory definitions or a code of federal regulation definitions. And the blue ones we are going to go through, but not now, unless anyone would like us to.

Here are some examples of the first two

types of changes. Changes intended to improve clarity include the addition of new definitions. The definitions are used in the mandatory categories to help clarify when an environmental review is required.

2.1

Another example of these types of changes are the recommendations we received from the Revisor's Office. In this example, the meaning is the same, but the words are intended to improve grammar.

The second example aligns Chapter 4410 with the way terms are used in other state regulatory processes. And that section is a compost facility. To allow Chapter 4410 to stay current and RGUs and the public and project proposers will have an easier time understanding information, especially when environmental review documents and permits are co-noticed.

We are going to talk about one part before we get into the EAW and EIS categories.

MS. WILSON: So I'm going to talk a little bit about the changes that are being proposed to 4410.0500, subpart 6. Subpart 6 is used when there is a designated RGU but a request is made typically by that designated RGU to select

a different RGU. Again, an RGU is a responsible governmental unit, a government agency with delegated authority to implement that environmental review program.

2.1

In practice, these are usually requested based on greater expertise or across jurisdictional issues. On average, this may happen one to two times per year. Their requests are not usually controversial and are typically supported by both RGUs. Adding our EQB chair will not prevent Board members and EQB staff from requiring the decision to be considered by the full Board and publishing a request will also allow the public to make the same request directly to Board members. The five-day timeline is removed because it is inconsistent with the operating procedures of the Board process. In practice, it is not possible to meet that five-day timeline.

So the next two slides include all of the subparts in the proposed rulemaking for the EAW mandatory categories. The subparts highlighted in orange only include changes that are recommended for improving clarity.

The categories highlighted in red are proposed revisions to the draft rule language based

on comments received during the comment period of November 2018 through February 2019. Here are the remaining EAW mandatory categories included in this proposed rulemaking.

2.1

MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: So we are just going to talk about the ones that are in red. So the first one is the electric generating facilities. And we are just going to talk about part D, the proposed revision to the draft rule language published on November 13, 2018. It's in red.

The environmental review and permitting threshold noted in Minnesota Rules 4410.4300, subpart 3 for wind energy conversion systems should be 5 megawatts. This threshold has been established by the legislature in Minnesota Statutes 216F. Minnesota Rule 4410.4300, subpart 3 should be edited to ensure consistency with the statute. The threshold included in the Revisor's draft of the proposed mandatory category rules of 25 megawatts was a scrivener's or clerical error.

MS. WILSON: The proposed changes to
Minnesota Rule 4410.4300, subpart 7 are being
removed from consideration. The proposed changes
were intended to add greater understanding for when

environmental review is required. However, based on the comments received, more discussion is warranted on the potential impacts of the proposed changes. The withdrawal of the proposed change will result in the language reverting back to the current language in Minnesota Rules.

2.1

MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: I'm just going to again talk about the part in red here, which the proposed revision to the draft rule language published in November 13, 2018 is in red. The changes that are proposed for this subpart are intended to provide greater understanding for when environmental review is required and update the requirements to better align with descriptions in the Wetland Conservation Act.

During the public comment period, we received information from government agencies that have the responsibility and expertise for evaluating these projects. They indicated that the proposed changes may now include projects that don't have the potential for significant environmental effects.

As a result of this information, we are now proposing to add a sentence to be clear that these types of projects do not apply to this subpart by

referencing projects described in Minnesota Rules
Chapter 4410.4600. Because the change is
highlighting information already in the rule and
aligns with our intent for the original amendments,
we don't consider this a substantive change.

2.1

MS. WILSON: So here are the mandatory environmental impact statement categories that are included in this rulemaking and where we are proposing changes. And I'm just going to go through those so that we can move on.

MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Again, we are only going to talk about the red one again, which is the proposed revisions to the language published on November 13th. For subpart 8, metallic mineral mining and processing and the sub item is now not going to be deleted and the remaining sub items have been relabeled in red. The existing rule envisioned the potential for projects involving extraction of radioactive minerals to occur. Although thought to be possible when originally enacted, the rule is now obsolete -- oh, sorry. Reading the wrong -- the proposed changes are to remove it based on -- were to give it greater clarity and understanding for when environmental review is required. However, based on comments

received, more discussion is warranted on the potential impacts of the proposed changes. The withdrawal of the proposed change will result in the language reverting back to the current language in red in Minnesota Rule Chapter 4410.4300.

2.1

And then here is the exemptions. We are not going to go over these. This is just there so you can see which page number they are on in the Revisor's certified rules.

And then we just wanted to acknowledge that EQB received comments supporting parts of the proposed draft rules and also received comments opposing specific parts of the proposed rules and neutral comments seeking information. The EQB will respond to all comments received during the posthearing comment period.

Then here is just an informational slide on when the next hearing is and the address of the hearing. It's also available on the table as well as on our website. If there aren't any copies, let me know and I can have more copies made. And then how to submit a written comment at OAH's website or mail or you can e-mail us or submit a written comment at today's hearing. And here is the rulemaking web page and our e-mails. Thank you

very much. 1 THE JUDGE: Thank you. Is that all from 2 3 the Board at this time? MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Yes. Thank you. 4 5 THE JUDGE: Okay. So we are ready to 6 start taking public testimony at this time. 7 Ms. Overland, you are first up. Mr. Cedarleaf Dahl, if you could check on 8 9 where we are with commenters both here and in the 10 other locations. 11 Ms. Overland, I'm reminding you and I will 12 remind others to please state and spell your name. MS. OVERLAND: Good afternoon. I'm 13 Carol Overland, C-a-r-o-l O-v-e-r-l-a-n-d. 14 Legalectric, L-e-g-a-l-e-c-t-r-i-c. And I have 15 16 provided the court reporter with my card with my 17 the with my address, et cetera. 18 Well, first, an important thing is I think 19 that this room is too small and I would think, 20 given the number of comments, that the Agency 2.1 should have booked us downstairs. This is not 22 adequate. 23 Now, looking at specific comments. First, 24 regarding Silica Sand. I was, I believe, the first

one to put in a request for an advisory panel on

25

And they did form an advisory panel. that. were a number of people. But under the statute the purpose of an advisory panel is to review the proposed rules ahead of the formal rulemaking And what happened through this is that there were all these meetings held where people were just yammering and yammering about Silica Sand and environmental impacts, but there were no rules to review and the committee did not fulfill the statutory mandate of reviewing rules. not rules provided until September. The group started meeting in January 2014 and rules, a draft was not provided until September of 2014. There is just no excuse for that. It's a waste of people's time to show up at these meetings that last, like, two hours or more and not to deal with specific rules and not be able to offer comments on what those rules should be and how they should be changed. I raised that issue many times and it didn't change. Next, the part regarding wind at 4410.4300. There is a handout guide to tracking modifications in this document. And that shows at page 707.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

That has that rule. It's also in the -- these

don't have titles on them. But the mandatory

1 categories of proposed rules from the Revisor. 2 There is also something in the SONAR about it. But the issue is 4410.4300, subpart 3 it says A in 3 this page 707, but it's D in the rules here. 4 5 says: For construction of a wind energy conversion 6 system, as defined in Minnesota Statutes Section 7 216F.01, designed for and capable of operating at a capacity of 25 megawatts or more, the PUC is the 8 RGU and environmental review must be conducted 10 according to Chapter 7854.

There is no environmental review for wind projects. That's in the statutes. Where did that come from? Look at Chapter 7854. I mean, I have submitted how many petitions for rulemaking for wind. Two to the EQB, both -- I mean, two to the PUC, both rejected. Another to the MPCA.

Rejected. There is no environmental review for wind. Shouldn't this just say there is no environmental review for wind under 216F? This is misleading. Totally inappropriate, because there is no environmental review for wind. And that is a repeated problem.

And then on Transmission, subpart 6. Just a minute.

THE JUDGE: Subpart 6 of?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
MS. OVERLAND: Of 4410.4300, subpart 6.
1
2
    It looks to me like this requires a petition to get
3
    an EAW on this. It's not clear. Because in the
    SONAR it says that, well, the PUC could do it on
4
5
    its own or it requires a petition. I think the
6
    language ought to be clearer in that subpart 6 that
7
    it's not assumed and that it does require an act of
8
    the PUC or a petition to get that done. And that
    is all I have at this time.
                                 Thank you.
10
               THE JUDGE: Okay. Thank you very much.
11
    I believe we have someone in Duluth? Is that
12
    correct?
13
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Someone in
14
    Brainerd.
15
               THE JUDGE: I'm sorry. I'm going to
    switch this up here. I understand someone in
16
17
    Brainerd has a time issue, so I'd actually like to
18
    call the person in Brainerd.
19
               MR. TUMMINELLO: Hello, Your Honor.
20
    you hear me? This is Giuseppe Tumminello with the
2.1
    Environmental Quality Board.
22
               THE JUDGE: I can.
                                   I'm trying to figure
23
    out -- there you are.
                           Okay.
24
               MR. TUMMINELLO: Should I also state and
25
    spell my name?
```

No, we have got your 1 THE JUDGE: 2 You are with the EQB, right? spelling. 3 MR. TUMMINELLO: Correct. 4 THE JUDGE: There is a person who would like to comment there, though, is that correct? 5 6 MR. TUMMINELLO: That is correct. 7 joined by Rita. I will let her take over. 8 THE JUDGE: And if you could state and 9 spell your name, please. 10 MS. CHAMBLIN: You bet. Rita Chamblin. 11 Spelled R-i-t-a. Last name is C-h-a-m, as in Mary, b, as in boy, l-i-n, as in Nancy. 12 13 THE JUDGE: Ms. Chamblin, I'm going to also remind you, I'm not sure if you heard 14 15 everything that has happened up to this point, but I am limiting comments to 5 minutes. So, please 16 17 proceed. MS. CHAMBLIN: 18 Thank you. My first 19 comment is a question on 4410. Well, it's not a question. A comment on 4410.0500, subpart 6. And 20 2.1 I'm in opposition to the language that is proposed 22 in terms of changing who can change the RGU for 23 environmental review. That to add the EQB chair to be able to act independent of the Board is 24 25 inappropriate. The chair is appointed by the

Governor and the entire Board really should be involved in the process.

2.1

The time limit is also an issue. I understand that the 5 days doesn't coincide with the timing of the Board meetings. It would be more appropriate I think to add a 30-day timeframe to that to be more consistent with the Board meetings rather than eliminate the timeframe entirely. But I do think it's important that we not change the rule and let the entire Board decide.

Secondly, on mandatory EAW categories on 4410.4300, subparagraph 4. We really need a stronger rule here. Any expansion of a petroleum refinery these days should require or initiate an EIS. And in 4410.4400, subparagraph 4 the language should also include major rebuilds and expansion of capacity.

Just using the Superior refinery fire as an example, wouldn't we want an EIS on the rebuild and be able to review continued use of hydrogen fluoride there.

On the hazardous materials storage facilities rule 4410.4300, subparagraph 10B, C and D, I think it's important to keep the phrase "designed for or capable of storing" before the

```
1
    quantity of a 1,000,000 gallons. Otherwise, a new
2
    facility with a slated design of less than a
3
    1,000,000 but capable of storing more could bypass
    an EAW altogether. And what about a facility with
4
    less than a 1,000,000 gallons of hazardous
5
6
    materials, it seems like it should still require an
7
    EAW.
           I would also say in subparagraph G, any
8
9
    expansion of facilities and increasing storage
10
    tanks should require a mandatory EAW or EIS as
11
    well. And those are my notes. I'm good. Thank
12
    you.
13
               THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. I am
14
    going to move now to one of the folks here who had
    asked to speak early, due to time constraints. And
15
16
    I believe this is Nancy Beaulieu. Do I have the
17
    right name?
18
               MS. BEAULIEU: I'm still kind of just
19
    gathering my thoughts.
20
               THE JUDGE: Okay. I can wait. I will
2.1
    come back to you then. Is this Sherry --
22
               MS. COUTURE: Couture.
23
               THE JUDGE: Couture. Would you like to
24
    speak now?
25
               MS. COUTURE: I'm good.
```

THE JUDGE: Please spell your name. 1 2 MS. COUTURE: Just my last? 3 THE JUDGE: First and last. 4 MS. COUTURE: S-h-e-r-r-y C-o-u-t-u-r-e. 5 THE JUDGE: Thank you. 6 MS. COUTURE: I see a lot of state rules 7 in here. 77 pages. Water quality standards. Right now, the water quality standards of Fond du 8 Lac are not in here and, right now, those can't be passed. And those go directly to congress. And we 10 are currently trying to reinforce those water 11 12 quality standards as well. Our EIS, right now, 13 can't pass that. So I'm wondering why those are 14 not in here. 15 Impact on local government ordinances and rules. Let's see, that would be the 1854 Treaty. 16 17 That's a sovereign nation. I think that might be a 18 little bit above government ordinances and rules. 19 I mean, that's just the 1854 Ceded Treaty 20 territory. So the EIS of Fond du Lac cannot be 2.1 passed right now. Isn't in here. The water 22 quality standard, that cannot be passed right now. 23 In fact, they came to Fond du Lac to see if we 24 would lower that. The 1854 Treaty for governance 25 isn't in here. And nowhere either is the 1826 --

1825, actually, Treaty that is specifically on my notes. So I'm kind of wondering where all these things are. Because as a Minnesota resident and a sovereign nation I would probably expect that they would both be in here, since these mines want to come into my 1854 Treaty territory, which I have supreme law of the lands. I have two treaties that And that was before Minnesota was back that up. even a state. So I'm a little confused why those things are not in here. Because although you guys might say that separately you deal with the Fond du Lac Reservation, the Minnesota public should maybe know that right now that according to our standards and our 1854 Treaty the water qualities and the EIS right now cannot be passed. So I'm wondering what all the other regulations and all the -- it doesn't really matter when you look at it on a sovereign. And those are federal. Those water quality reports go straight to congress. So I'm wondering why those are not in here. Maybe you guys need to answer me that question, if you would like to. THE JUDGE: Does the Board have a response at this time? MS. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you for the comment. I think we would like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

to spend a little more time talking to you, if you 1 are available, to better understand and see where 2 the opportunities might be to include that 3 information. You are right, it's not in the 4 5 current rulemaking, but there is opportunity going 6 forward to consider your thoughts that you are 7 bringing forward. MS. COUTURE: Would that be the 1826 and 8 9 1854 Treaty as well as the water quality standards that we have that we can't pass? Air quality, we 10 do have air quality on Fond du Lac, too, that you 11 12 guys --13 MS. WILSON: Okay. I think that, like you said, it's not necessarily called out in the 14 15 current rulemaking as it's proposed to Minnesota Rules Chapter 4410. But that's something we could 16 17 continue to have conversations and work through. 18 THE JUDGE: Thank you. And then the 19 third person who asked to speak early was 20 Ms. Topping. Debra Topping. Are you here now? 2.1 MS. TOPPING: Since it's a little warm 22 in here, I figure I better go. Otherwise, I will 23 be snoring. 24 THE JUDGE: Again, if you could spell 25 your name to start with and say where you are from.

MS. TOPPING: My name is Debra Topping.

D-e-b-r-a T-o-p-p-i-n-g. I'm from Nagaajiwanaang,

Fond du Lac. So we are here to talk about the
environment. And one of the biggest concerns I

have is the Husky Refinery explosion, the hydrogen
fluoride that is there within two and a half miles
of the world's -- 10 percent of the world's

population. Best water in the world. Right? Lake

Superior. So that's a huge environmental concern.

2.1

I have been to their public comment. I have told them it should not be anywhere 100 miles near that freshwater. That hydrogen fluoride should not be anywhere near. Was that clear?

The Rights of Nature -- I don't know if anybody has been over there. I have done a toxic tour. I lived there. That's where I'm from. You can't drink the water. We talk about the Treaty of 1854. That is right there where -- where they signed that treaty is right there on the river. I have pictures that you cannot drink, you cannot eat the fish that are in that water. So is that what my ancestors, is that what they wanted for us?

When they looked for seven generations, that's what they wanted for us, for their decendents not to be able to drink that water, not to be able to eat

1 that fish? Because that's not what I want for my 2 future generations. I asked Husky. They said, oh, the water is okay, the water is okay. Just like 3 Enbridge does. Let's go drink it. If you drink 4 5 it, I'll drink it. Let's drink it. Will you drink 6 it, any of your attorneys? I'm willing to put my 7 life on the line right here, right now. If it's so good for us, let's go drink it. Because you know 8 what, them frogs have to drink it and them fish have to drink it, those migratory birds, those 10 geese, they all have to drink that. And who eats 11 12 that? Who -- you know, we're all -- why do I need 13 to feel like I have to repeat myself. For the last 10 years, I've had to sit here and say this. 14 15 Right? Really? And here I am again, for God's sakes, trying to defend the water and the wild 16 17 rice, the food and the water that we eat. It's 18 time to get your shit together. We don't have 19 time. Thank you for listening. 20 THE JUDGE: Thank you. 2.1 MS. BEAULIEU: Your Honor, I feel a little bit more comfortable now. 22 23 THE JUDGE: Okay. You were the person I 24 was going to call next. So come on forward. 25 Again, please spell your name.

MS. BEAULIEU: Thanks for this opportunity. I'm Nancy Beaulieu, B-e-a-u-l-i-e-u. I'm a citizen of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe and I reside on Leech Lake. I'm here to not only speak for myself, but for my people. And, you know, just like my ancestors, a lot of this legal stuff it's not always easy to understand. So I'm going to go back to what my sisters were speaking about, the EIS.

2.1

Our words fall on deaf ears all the time.

And that's kind of what brings us to these
hearings. Because we hope that some day soon
someone is going to pay attention to what we have
to say.

So I, too, am also a mother and a grandmother, and I'm also worried about the future, not just for us but for you guys, too. So what brings me here is I want to make sure that there is an adequate environmental impact study.

When I talk about the environment, we are people of the water. We are the environment. So I would hope that you guys would take a little bit of time to really consider being a little bit more thorough on who this really effects.

And what I do know is -- I'm going to read

something out of this treaty book. This comes from GLIFWC. It's the Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission. It's a pretty black and white explanation of treaties. And I hope to leave a copy for you guys when we're done. But here, James Williams Junior, he's the board of commissioners for the GLIFWC, the Great Lakes Indian Fishing & Wildlife Commission, and he's also a chairman. He quotes, "Treaties are at the heart of understanding tribal governments and the unique political status tribes and Indian people have in comparison to others. Treaty rights are not a handshake or a handout. They are binding, reciprocal commitments between two sovereigns. Powers lawfully vested in an Indian tribe through treaties are not delegated by congress, but rather inherent powers of the sovereign which have never been extinguished."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So our treaties are a government-togovernment relationship, and never once in any of
our treaties did we surrender our right to protect
our water. Never did we surrender our right to be
at the table. That's what those treaties were
designed for. It's a two-party agreement. You
guys have a responsibility to those treaties. And
as a board, I think you need to be a little bit

more inclusive of the people that it's going to impact the most.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Again, I'm going to tell you, our words fall on deaf ears. We just came from a hearing and how we were treated at the Minnesota PUC. So it's been a busy day, but we make a lot of sacrifices because we care about the feature, not just for us but for you guys.

So what I'm thinking is that you guys really don't have the authority to make any decisions on ceded or reservation lands because are treaties are a government-to-government agreement, not a state agency to a tribal agreement. So I think there is a little -- you guys don't have this authority to make these decisions, especially without us being at the table. You might want to go speak to the people, not the elected counsels. Our elected That's the chairman, the counsels are five people. secretary/treasurer and three reps. They don't have general assemblies. They don't have referendum votes. So also unheard. And it's oppression when we are not even heard by our own people. We are not heard by our elected governments because they have background deals with Enbridge and PolyMet and they don't want us to know

about it and so we are left out. It's environmental and racial injustice to think that these treaties don't exist. Because they are very much alive as the day they were signed. We are still here. We have college degrees. Don't let the cover, you know, fool you. I'm a nursing student. I went to school for business. I went to school for metalsmithing. We work hard, too. when you guys think you can show up and tell us and consult for us -- failure to consult is not consent. And taking Enbridge and allowing them or PolyMet to come show up and get three out of five votes, that's not the people. That's not the The people constitute the tribe. tribe. guys, nor five elected officials. Sovereignty belongs to the people. We need to be included. I appreciate today and I want to leave this treaty book with you guys for a little education. These are legal and binding. I will also leave you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Tappreciate today and I want to leave this treaty book with you guys for a little education.

These are legal and binding. I will also leave you a United Nations declaration. These are nonlegal binding, but they are kind of like a code to be good to your neighbor. So I think it would serve a good purpose. I appreciate your time today. And I just want to make sure that our people are truly represented and we are not going to stay in the

1 dark no more. Thank you. 2 THE JUDGE: Thank you. Could you bring 3 those up to the table and I will mark them and put them in the record. And you are Ms. Beau -- tell 4 5 me how to pronounce your name. 6 MS. BEAULIEU: Beaulieu. You're getting 7 there. Those are just a brief overview. They're a 8 good start. That's a good start. 9 THE JUDGE: So I'm going to put the United Nations Declaration as P1, Exhibit P1, and 10 the treaty rights discussion as Exhibit P2. Just 11 12 one second while I mark these. 13 I have got a note stating that there are 14 some dogs in a bus outside barking and there is 15 concern that because of the heat they may not be doing okay. So if anybody has some dogs outside, 16 you might want to go check on them. Thank you. 17 18 There are two people in Duluth? Is that 19 correct? 20 MS. CALE: Yes. That's correct. Wе 2.1 have two commenters. 22 THE JUDGE: Let's start with the first 23 Duluth commenter.

Jo Haberman, J-o H-a-b-e-r-m-a-n. And I live here

MS. HABERMAN: Thank you. My name is

24

25

in Duluth. And I have two comments on part 4410.4300, subpart 37. And then I want to make an additional comment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Regarding item A, the change to mandatory review of any route from a 10-mile threshold to a 25-mile threshold is a 150 percent increase in the threshold limit. It is in stark and direct conflict with the 1982 statement of needs and reasonableness restated in the 2004 SONAR under Historical Intended Purposes which reads, and I quote, "As stated in the 1982 SONAR, linear projects usually entail greater impact as a function of increased length. Specifically for recreational trails, while different types of trails or trail uses vary in their potential for impacts such as ecological damage, runoff and erosion, damage to water resources, and noise, the potential for these impacts will tend to increase with the length of the project simply because, all else being equal, a longer trail has more likelihood of encountering sensitive resources of whatever kind."

To increase the length from 10 miles to 25 miles is to intentionally increase the potential risk to resources as stated by the EQB itself in

these SONARs in 1982 and 2004. Administrative streamlining financial operation and the duration of procedural process concerns just supersedes specific established and environmentally justified environmental protection thresholds is counter to the very purpose of creating the Environmental Quality Board and the establishment of the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act and is counter to environments and public self-interest and therefore public policy.

2.1

Regarding the environmental impacts of item B. Categorically adding recreational motorized traffic to any already motorized road without first considering the existing natural resources and wildlife along it and the impacts of adding additional motorized traffic volume is not an environmentally sustainable approach to creating motorized vehicle trails. Many current motorized roads were created long before environmental awareness and road ecology existed.

As one of the nearly 2 million visitors to Cook County each year, I spend as much time as possible in quiet beauty, hiking, berry picking, observing wildlife, swimming, or just enjoying the calming back country.

In Cook County, there are examples of unpaved roads crossing exceptional streams and tributaries leading into those streams, passing along wetlands and protected wild rice lakes all with very minimal buffer zones. It is well known that crossings on unpaved roads are sediment and fugitive dust polluters to waterways. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has attributed the lack of degradation of exceptional waters situated on motorized unpaved roads to low population density and historically low traffic use. It is wrong to conclude that because a road has motorized traffic it does not have environmental impacts to natural resources and wildlife. Adding new recreational traffic uses to roads that already have environmental impacts would exacerbate and multiply these impacts. All new created recreational routes must be reviewed for environmental impact and alternative options considered when environmental impacts to waters, wildlife and vegetation are determined. So thank you for listening to those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So thank you for listening to those comments. And the additional comment that I just wanted to make is as I was hearing the earlier commenters, I want to add my voice to Debra Topping

and Rita Chamblin, their very important comments about the Husky Refinery here in the Twin Ports area and the stated interest of Husky to continue using hydrogen fluoride.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My granddaughter was evacuated. neighbors were evacuated when the explosion occurred. I was within a couple of blocks of the evacuation process and chose to evacuate voluntarily with my granddaughter. absolutely unbelievable to me that we would not have a mandatory EAW/EIS process for the Husky Refinery expansion, and it's very critical to question and evaluate hydrogen fluorides continued use in this highly populated area. Thousands of people in Superior, thousands of people in Duluth have lost their lives or have been permanently harmed and damaged because of the explosion that it could have been the hydrogen fluoride that Thank you. exploded.

THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. Okay.

Mr. Gregg. Levi Gregg. Mr. Gregg, you have a

partner here, is that right?

MR. GREGG: Yes.

THE JUDGE: I would say we have many more commenters than we did when we started, so to

the extent that you can take less than 10 minutes, 1 2 I would ask you to really please try and take less time today. 3 MR. GREGG: Yes. We don't intend to 4 5 take that long. 6 THE JUDGE: And, please, each of you 7 introduce yourselves and spell your names. MR. GREGG: Your Honor, members of the 8 9 Board, thank you for this opportunity. My name is 10 Levi Gregg. That is spelled L-e-v-i G-r-e-g-g. 11 MR. LANGE: I'm Connor Lange. My name 12 is spelled C-o-n-n-o-r L-a-n-g-e. 13 THE JUDGE: Thank you. We support the Environmental 14 MR. GREGG: 15 Quality Board's purposed changes to Rule 4410.4300, 16 subpart 27, item B. Especially in its lowering of the threshold for an environmental assessment to 17 18 include projects impacting one or more acres of 19 wetland. However, we believe the use of the definition of "impact" will result in unintended 20 2.1 consequences, allowing projects to be done to 22 wetlands types 6 through 8 without environmental 23 assessment. "Impact" is defined as the loss in the 24 25 quantity, quality or biological diversity to a

wetland caused by draining or filling of wetlands wholly or partially or by the excavation either permanently and semi-permanently flooded areas of types 3, 4 or 5 wetlands as defined in subpart 75 and in all wetland types if the excavation results in filling, draining or conversion to non-wetland.

2.1

The problem with this definition is that projects that result in the loss of quantity, quality or biological diversity but do not result in wetlands filling, draining or conversion to non-wetland will not require an environmental review if done to types 6, 7 or 8.

We propose that the Board change the definition of "impact" to read: Impact means the loss in the quantity, quality or biological diversity of a wetland caused by draining or filling of wetlands wholly or partially or by the excavation of either permanently and semi-permanently flooded areas of types 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 wetlands as defined in subpart 75 and in all wetland types if excavation results in filling, draining or conversion to non-wetland.

MR. LANGE: So wetlands types 6, 7 and 8 include shrubs, swamps, wooded swamps and bogs, each of which are particularly vulnerable to losses

in biological diversity. As a consequence of the 1 2 proposed rule change, a project can remove peat moss from the surface of a bog without an 3 environmental review so long as it did not actually 4 5 result in the draining or filling of the bog. 6 THE JUDGE: Mr. Lange, could you just 7 slow down a little bit for our court reporter here. 8 Thank you. 9 MR. LANGE: Do you want me to repeat 10 that? 11 THE JUDGE: She's okay. 12 MR. LANGE: Bogs and wooded swamps are 13 critically important ecosystems with species found 14 nowhere else on earth. They provide habitat for species of dragonflies and amphibians that are 15 16 essential to the natural regulation of misquote and 17 horsefly populations. Furthermore, all wetlands 18 provide natural erosion control and help to filter 19 toxins from water before water reaches large 20 aquifers. Bogs are also a major driver of 2.1 ecotourism in Minnesota and provide Minnesotans with a valuable resource for environmental 22 23 education. 24 MR. GREGG: We also have a 25 recommendation for the Board to make it easier for

citizens to understand the rule changes and to understand the rules themselves. We realize that the Environmental Quality Board's proposed changes are intended to streamline environmental reviews and to clarify rules. However, while these changes will make the rules more easily understood by corporations and local governments, they do little to make the Environmental Quality Board's policies more clear to average citizens. To improve this, we have created a list of recommendations that we believe would allow concerned citizens to become involved in supporting Minnesota's environment.

2.1

We recommend that the Environmental Quality
Board includes a summary of the potential
environmental impacts of rule changes when
applicable. We understand that the statement of
need and reasonableness already includes a
statement of economic and regulatory impacts. And
we ask that the Environmental Quality Board
includes a statement of environmental effects of
rule changes as well.

We recommend that the Environmental Quality
Board makes easily accessible guides explaining the
function and implementation of the Environmental
Quality Board's existing rules such as Rule 4410

and explain how the rules will benefit the environment.

2.1

We recommend that whenever rules refer to definitions, the Environmental Quality Board either writes out the definitions or clearly links them to the rules document.

We recommend that the Environmental Quality
Board clearly states the impetus for rule changes.
And we recommend that whenever a rules document
refers to other policy rules or laws the
Environmental Quality Board links that policy to
the rules document and provides a summary of that
policy's pertinent information.

We recognize that the Environmental Quality
Board has attempted to make some of these changes
already and we appreciate the Environmental Quality
Board's continued efforts to encourage and
facilitate citizen involvement. Furthermore, we
applaud all the work that the Environmental Quality
Board does to ensure that Minnesota remains a
leader in environmental protection and sustainable
development. Thank you for your time.

THE JUDGE: Thank you very much.

MR. GREGG: We also have written copies of our recommendations.

Thank you. I will mark them 1 THE JUDGE: as exhibits and enter them into the record. 2 3 MR. PETERSON: Your Honor, I was with the folks going back to Duluth and they would like 4 it if I could testify or speak sooner if possible. 5 6 They are attempting to leave. 7 THE JUDGE: Let me just handle these exhibits and I will talk about that in a second. 8 So Levi Gregg is P3. And Mr. Lange is P3. Did you sign up to speak? 10 11 MR. PETERSON: Yes. 12 THE JUDGE: Are they all ready to leave? 13 MR. PETERSON: Yes, they are trying to take off without me right now, but I'm determined. 14 15 THE JUDGE: And what was your name? MR. PETERSON: Jesse Peterson. 16 THE JUDGE: Did you say Jesse Peterson? 17 18 MR. PETERSON: Yes. 19 THE JUDGE: Okay. Why don't you come on 20 up then. 2.1 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. PETERSON: 22 THE JUDGE: Again, please state your 23 name for the record and spell it. 24 MR. PETERSON: May name is Jesse 25 Peterson. J-e-s-s-e P-e-t-e-r-s-o-n. I just want

to reiterate some of the concerns of the people who came before me, that they are expressing a feeling across reservations that's been stated. T can't speak for people. More people should be consulted, so that folks like me aren't trying to wing it doing some advocacy. But it does not seem that any document is complete that doesn't have some sort of land acknowledgment and treaty acknowledgment and that the Environmental Quality Board and any board that can decide who regional government organizations are I think is in dire need to clarify how treaty laws are interpreted and how the use of rights are interpreted, because some of the scope of impact of things that come before various agencies could negatively impact people's treaty rights to hunt, fish, gather and possibly even mineral rights are not being appropriately acknowledged and that these -- as it's written over and over again, as my friends have stated who are more appropriate to talk on this in all honesty, it is considered the supreme law of the land, and the three treaties -- three of the treaties at least are older than the state of Minnesota. You know? So when Wisconsin tribes have been hard pressed to use their treaty rights, typically the federal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

government you will see in that GLIFWC book states over and over again that they don't have to work with Minnesota. You know, I know there is 1988 agreements with the state of Minnesota, but I'm pretty certain that that's kind of a voluntary thing. They still can't supersede the initial treaty rights. So, like, anything here that doesn't mention that, any opportunity to change and rectify that is advisable for the Board. And I'm greatly concerned that they have decided to withdraw a proposed change.

2.1

I'm to understand if you guys can decide who governs, like, the crude oil pipelines and stuff, we've had quite a calamity, and Enbridge being allowed to lobby the PUC for many millions of dollars. There's a lot to understand here, and I think the two people before me were very correct to advise that there be greater clarity provided to people what their rights are and how they can participate in the process. At this point I think in the twentieth century, we should be getting a guide every year in the mail as a citizen or something equivalent.

But I see this stuff in red. And my initial response is that it was ill advised to withdraw it

because the -- maybe not. I don't know. I think maybe more agencies should give oversight, though, with more citizen oversight, not less. I don't think it should be streamlined or quickened for the people applying for their projects. I would be curious to see if it's stated anywhere in the mission statement the Environmental Board to accommodate the people applying for their projects or if it's to represent the people and the citizenry and to give them a voice in the process.

2.1

And I would also like to speak on behalf of the brothers and sisters across Minnesota that are within the vicinity of your refineries and say that there should be a more rigid review process for the refineries and any modifications. That there should be some oversights that can take into consideration their impact on the region in terms of climate change, in terms of air quality and so on and so forth, and that it should always be more rigid and that careful consideration one way or another in the language should be given to having oversight over chemicals that they use on sites such as hydrogen fluoride gas.

To the best of my knowledge, no region in Minnesota nor the Duluth/Superior region which was

impacted by the refinery explosion had adequate 1 2 evacuation standards and people are given mixed messages by various levels of government of whether 3 or not they should evacuate or remain in place. 4 5 And then people don't know the standards for 6 hydrogen fluoride gas for remaining in place or any 7 process that can expose to the public the chemicals that are used and their danger and give us a chance 8 to do greater oversight would be desirable. 10 think there should be environmental review, an EAW 11 for altering the plants and that it should be more 12 strict, not less. 13 THE JUDGE: Okay. Thank you very much 14 for your statement. Is there another person 15 waiting in Brainerd? 16 MR. TUMMINELLO: Yes, Your Honor, we 17 have one more person here in Brainerd who would 18 like to make a comment for the record. 19 THE JUDGE: Why don't we move to that 20 person in Brainerd then. 2.1 MR. WILM: Are we ready to start, Your Honor? 22 23 THE JUDGE: Yes, I think we are. If you 24 could state your name and spell it, please.

MR. WILM: My name is Dan Wilm, D-a-n

W-i-l-m. My first question would be on line 17.10 1 2 of subpart 37, Recreational Trails. It says if a 3 project listed in items A through F will be built on state-owned land. Does this also include county 4 5 tax court wetlands, which are actually state lands 6 held in trust by the county? Can anybody answer 7 that question? THE JUDGE: Mr. Cedarleaf Dahl, are you 8 9 able to answer that? MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: 10 Thank you, Your 11 Honor. I would need to consult with some of our 12 technical experts. I can check and get back to 13 you. Thank you. 14 MR. WILM: Okay. I want to say, first of all, you know, 1:30 p.m. on a Friday 15 afternoon seems like a silly time to have this. 16 17 Especially with the busy summer season upon us. 18 But I also would like to say that it shows how 19 serious and interested people are that there are so 20 many people that are attending today. 2.1 There has been a lot of talk about the definition of a recreational trail. Now I'm not 22 23 speaking today as a DNR forester, even though I am 24 a retired DNR forester of 34 years. My definition

of a recreational trail is this. Definition of a

trail is vague. It should be defined as this. A trail is defined by it being specifically constructed for use. Simple use by OHVs does not define a trail.

2.1

Item line 17.15, Constructing a trail at least 25 miles long, in other words, increasing exponentially from the 10 miles, there is significant impacts that have not been addressed. Invasives, disrupting nesting and hatching bird species. If anything, it should be left as is or decreased.

You know, when I retired from the DNR in 2011, we had been given foot brushes, high-pressure hot water heaters, and the logging community was asked to work on trying to minimize the spread of invasive species. Yet the OHV, the ATV, the ORV crowd has not. So why is it that one is asked to make a difference to try to and the other is ignored. It's, like, yeah, we can't do anything about it. That's puzzling to me.

Again, on line 17:20, designating at least 25 miles of an existing trail for a new motorized recreational use other than snowmobiling. Again, invasive, nesting and hatching disruptions. This should be held to a much lower distance than 25

1 | miles.

2.1

And, in summary, I thank you for the honor to speak today. To the two younger gentlemen who spoke about how Minnesota has been a leader in the environment, we used to be a leader. We used to be a leader. We are far from being a leader anymore. It is not unreasonable to demand and expect our precious environment to be protected. You can and you should be able to say no. Please progress, not regress, and quit treating our environment like it's a cat's litter box. Thank you.

THE JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Wilm. I believe we are at Mr. Crocker. George Crocker.

MR. CROCKER: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is George Crocker, C-r-o-c-k-e-r. I'm the executive director of the North American Water

Office which is located in Lake Elmo, Minnesota.

I'm here today to talk about part 4410.4400, subpart 8, metallic mineral mining and processing. Staff is to be commended for withdrawing this proposed change. The reason they withdrew it in significant part was because of comments from the North American Water Office documenting beyond doubt that there is, in fact, significant uranium mineral in Minnesota, therefore, they withdrew the

proposed change. However, what this does is point 1 2 out a desperate need for adequate protection, 3 considering that the state of Minnesota, people in Minnesota are now about to embark on the extraction 4 of the metallic mineral. The metallic mineral is 5 6 laced with the uranium. They are commingled. 7 are in the same deposits. Which means that when you are site extracting the metallic minerals you 8 will be releasing radionuclides. Which means that 10 there must be rules promulgated. And it should not 11 be up to citizens to do this. It should be part of 12 state government at a very minimum to establish the 13 rules that identify the proper monitoring and 14 isolation of radioactive materials that are extracted in the course of metallic mineral mining. 15 Thank you. 16 17 Thank you. I think we have THE JUDGE: 18 one person left in Duluth, is that correct? 19 there anyone in any of the other locations at this time? 20 2.1 (No response.) 22 THE JUDGE: Why don't we go to the 23 person in Duluth. Good afternoon. MS. ANDREWS: Good afternoon. Carol 24 25 Andrews. My last name is spelled A-n-d-r-e-w-s.

The first name is C-a-r-o-l, without an E. I'm a registered professional engineer currently working as an environmental project manager at the St. Louis County Public Works Department.

2.1

I'm speaking today on behalf of the

St. Louis County Public Works Department headed by
county engineer Jim Foldesi as well as the

Minnesota County Engineers Association for which
county engineer Foldesi is the chair of the
environmental committee.

The part of the rule that I am addressing today is part 4410.4300, subpart 27, item B. And that's the mandatory EAW wetland category. The existing rule before the modifications had very confusing language as to what the threshold is, at which point it had to prepare an EAW. It refers to the trigger being impacts of 40 percent or more or five or more acres --

THE JUDGE: Ms. Andrews, could you go back and say the number of acres. The court reporter couldn't hear you.

MS. ANDREWS: And this is where my main point is that the existing rule is very confusing. It says the trigger threshold is 40 percent or more or five or more acres of types 3 through 8 wetland

1 of 2.5 acres or more. And then it goes on to say 2 in certain areas like shorelands and floodplains. And my point is to support the change to this 3 4 category, because if anybody can understand what 5 that existing rule meant here, probably as the rest of us, basically it can be interpreted different 6 7 And that doesn't work well with the rule. ways. 8 So the county engineers concurs that it is an improvement to clarify the rule. Can the 10 clarification changes it to being one acre of all the wetlands other than the public water wetlands 11 12 that are covered under item A. So it goes to one acre across the board. 13

That reduction from the range basically of one to five acres of the thresholds as stated in the statement of need and reasonableness will increase the number of projects requiring an EAW.

And there is a significant potential for some of those additional projects to be highway projects.

And so the county engineers are following this closely. We support preparing environmental assessment documentation for projects where it serves a benefit.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I'm working on one such project right now with a two-mile road realignment where it's been

very beneficial to go through the depths of considering all the possible impacts and the outreach. So that's been useful. But we want to make sure that the change results in preparing EAWs only in cases where it provides benefit. Where the existing process that we go through of working with the Department of Natural Resources, the wetland regulatory agencies, adjacent landowners, where that does not already cover the alternatives and the impacts. We just want to make sure it's focused to get the most benefit out of that. Otherwise, essentially, we currently support the rule that's on the docket before you today for this matter. THE JUDGE: Thank you. It is 3:30, by the clock in here. We are going to take a 10-minute break and give the court reporter a chance to rest her hands and everybody a chance to stretch. We will come back in 10 minutes. (At this time a brief recess was taken.) THE JUDGE: We are going to go ahead and get started. The next person on my list -- I just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

seats available, about a half dozen seats or even a

want to say if there is anybody in the overflow

room who wants to move in here, we do have some

```
1
    little more. Maybe nine or ten seats available in
2
    here, if you want to come into the main hearing
3
    room at this point. The next person on my list is
    Alan Muller, M-u-l-l-e-r.
4
5
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: It appears he is
6
    not here.
7
               THE JUDGE: He is not in the other room?
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: Folks also said he
8
9
    did travel with Carol.
10
               THE JUDGE: So Ms. Overland left, as far
11
    as you know? Okay. Then I'm going to assume that
12
    he is not going to be speaking. Dan -- I think
13
    it's S-a-u-v-e maybe?
14
               MR. SAUVE:
                           Yes.
15
               THE JUDGE: Okay. And I will let you
    pronounce that. But I have already spelled it.
16
17
                           You spelled it correctly.
               MR. SAUVE:
18
    My name is Dan Sauve.
                           French word. Thank you for
19
    letting me talk. I don't need to spell it again,
    do I?
20
2.1
                                 Thank you.
               THE JUDGE:
                           No.
22
               MR. SAUVE:
                           I represent Clearwater
23
    County, who is an LGU and a local government.
24
    I'm the county engineer there. Our big concern is
25
    the wetland issue. I did write a letter back in
```

September. I don't know if that gets entered into 1 2 the record. Or should I give it to you now? THE JUDGE: If it's already been filed, 3 4 it's probably already in the record. 5 MR. SAUVE: I did see it on the thing, 6 so it's there. So I'm not going to read that 7 letter. So I'm just going to talk a little bit. 8 THE JUDGE: Okay. 9 MR. SAUVE: Also, I want to refer to Carol Andrews in St. Louis County, her testimony. 10 11 I will mimic that. Just so you can put that in. 12 Whatever she said, I would also go for. I'm not 13 going to repeat what she said either, to save some time here. 14 15 You know, the big thing I look at is, you know, thank you for making the changes on part 16 4410.4300, subpart 27, the wetlands and adding 17 18 item B. I might make one more suggestion on that is, you know, where you say item B does not apply 19 20 to projects exempted, but part 4410.4600, subpart 2.1 14, can you add "or projects below the threshold in 22 part 4410.4300, sub part 22." 23 You know, as a highway engineer, most of my 24 projects are just grade widening existing roads and

it's pretty rare that we rebuild a road and not

impact wetlands. I would say most of our projects impact one to five acres, and the change by lowering the standard could cause a lot more of our projects having to write an EAW. Actually, I've never wrote one for Clearwater County. always fell under that threshold. But to change that threshold is going to have quite a change to us. We are a really small county. It would be hard for us to implement and writing those and it would be costly for us. And I don't believe it would cause any more information to be presented. Right now, we do get wetland permits, the Corps of Engineers. And I know the Corps of Engineers they go through the MEPA process on our permitting. Most of our projects fall under the general permit, and that already has had an EAW done, so there would be no reason for us to do another EAW. This falls under a permitting process that already had one done for a general permit. I saw one of the criteria was to eliminate duplication, and I think this would be a good opportunity for you to eliminate duplication. I would contend that the whole wetland section, subpart 27, could be eliminated because of the other regulatory conditions that apply. We have the Corps of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
1
    Engineers that are covering it all. And it's quite
2
    extensive. Most of the time it takes maybe a year
3
    to get a permit. We also have BWSR looking at it.
    We have the LGU looking at it and other regulatory
4
5
    people.
6
               THE JUDGE: Could you just say what BWSR
7
    is.
8
               MR. SAUVE: The Board of Soil & Water
9
    Conservation.
10
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: The Board of Water
11
    & Soil Resources.
12
                           Thank you.
               THE JUDGE:
13
               MR. SAUVE:
                           Thank you. I'm so used to
    just saying the acronym.
14
               THE JUDGE: I know.
15
16
               MR. SAUVE: Then we also have the DNR
17
    permitting if we are in the public waters. We have
18
    the watershed districts. So we are highly
19
    regulated and highly looked over. Do you have any
20
    questions of me?
2.1
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL:
                                    No.
22
               MR. SAUVE: Okay. Then I will be done.
23
               THE JUDGE:
                           Thank you. Okay.
24
    Fairman. Ms. Fairman. Is Ms. Fairman in the
25
    overflow room? Oh, I'm sorry, no, she did not --
```

1 Kathy Hollander.
2 UNIDENTI

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kathy is walking those dogs that were mentioned earlier. She said when she is back she would love to testify, but not right now.

THE JUDGE: And I called Ms. Fairman accidentally. I will come back to Ms. Hollander. So the next person is Susan Schubert, I think.

MS. SCHUBERT: It's Susan, S-u-s-a-n, Schubert, S-c-h-u-b, as in boy, e-r-t, as in Tom.

I'm talking about Rule 4410.4300, subpart 37,

Recreational Trails.

Thank you, Your Honor, for this opportunity to respond to the proposed changes in the rules for mandatory review regarding motorized recreational trails.

In the 1982 statement of need and reasonableness, general rulemaking authority is given to the Environmental Quality Board. The concept of the environmental review was and is to implement environmental protection as a matter of public policy.

In this same 1982 SONAR of need and reasonableness under the history of environmental review in Minnesota --

THE COURT REPORTER: Could you slow down, please.

2.1

MR. SCHUBERT: Oh. I was trying to get my time in. The intent of the environmental review is to prevent environmental degradation by wise and informed decisions. The statement of general reasonableness given by the EQB for the current proposed changes of items A and B under recreational trails is that because the legislature has requested these changes be made three separate times to support review efficiency and streamline the environmental process they are generally reasonable.

I do not agree and do not think this
justifies the changes proposed in items A and B.
Proposed changes in items A and B would facilitate
the degradation of the environment, waters,
wildlife and not protect them. This does not
fulfill the spirit, intent or reason or purpose for
the creation of the Minnesota Environmental Review
program and the Environmental Quality Board to
protect our natural resources.

The solution the EQB has proposed -
THE JUDGE: Ms. Schubert, really, slow

down a little bit. You sped up again.

MS. SCHUBERT: I'm sorry. I'm such a quick reader. The solution the EQB has proposed is not appropriate. I believe the proposed changes of items A and B regarding recreational trails are not feasible because they are in direct conflict with the Environmental Quality Board's responsibility and the stated purpose of environmental policy in the 2017 Minnesota Statutes Chapter 116D to promote efforts to prevent or eliminate environmental damage under 116D.01. And in 116D.03, action by a state agency, subdivision 1, Requirement --

2.1

(Brief interruption to fix microphone.)

MS. SCHUBERT: And in 116D.03, action by state agency, subdivision 1, Requirement, the legislature authorizes and directs that to the fullest extent practical the policies, rules and public laws of the state shall be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in sections 116D.01 to 116D.06.

I also take issue with the statement of need for these proposed changes regarding recreational trails. The stated need and desired outcome of these current proposed rule changes is to provide clarity and specificity, to reduce ambiguous and confusing application of the environmental rules.

In my view, the language in item B remains vague and too broad. Quote, "When designating an existing motorized trail or existing corridor in current use by motor vehicles, the designation does not contribute to the 25 mile threshold under this item." This language is not specific and not clear. What exactly compromises an existing corridor in current use by motor vehicles? Does this mean that any public roadway could be used? A public road could be defined as an existing corridor in current use by motor vehicles. language regarding road types in item B needs to be very specific and precise to not allow interpretation by the proposer to take advantage of this proposed vague wording and use roads that are known to be more environmentally damaging than other road types, such as all logging roads and unmaintained United States Forest Service Operational maintenance level 2 roads without requiring mandatory environmental review. proposed language does not establish a standard to control and guide administrative officers, but leaves the door wide open for any project manager to completely disregard environmental concerns in choosing roads types.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

The other stated need for the current proposed changes to a mandatory rule is that changes are needed to streamline the environmental review because the majority of the EAW and the EIS categories were established in the 1980s and 1990s and do not reflect the modern regulatory system or project types.

2.1

Regarding motorized recreational trails, I would argue this stated need is not applicable. The environment, waters and wildlife demand the same protection from recreational trail impacts that they did when the environmental review program was created in 1973. In fact, they demand even more environmental protection and review and oversight today due to the dramatic increase in the off-road vehicle industry, commerce and ridership and the concurrent significant budget cuts of oversight agencies such as the Department of Natural Resources and the United States Forest Service, which itself has seen a 60 percent cut in its road maintenance since 2000.

In item B, the proposed rule changes
historically established the mileage rule
application with the potential to add significant
unaccounted mileage to a route without an

environmental review simply because the road already has motorized use. Item B states that any already motorized route should not count towards the mileage threshold as long as it does not expand the width. This assumes established motorized roads have no environmental impacts and therefore should not be counted in the proposed threshold. This is not true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My own road of Pine Mountain in Cook County, Minnesota is a perfect example. It was started in 1875, completed by 1916, and widened in the early 1930s to accommodate a fire lookout tower. those eras, there were no concerns that this unpaved road runs right by what we now know is an exceptional stream, the highest ranking possible by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, or right by the tributary that directly feeds this exceptional stream, or by wetlands, or by a now protected wild rice lake. To add another motorized use to a road like this with historically low traffic volume would expose these resources to increased fugitive dust, pollution and sedimentation that could have significant detrimental environmental impacts and even extricate sensitive cold water species that are pollution intolerant from streams such as brook

trout.

2.1

I strongly believe that today's science in road ecology and its material environmental advocates dictate that an added motorized use to such roads now demands an environmental review to protect natural resources. Therefore, I strongly believe all mileage for a new motorized use should count towards a threshold.

In conclusion, Your Honor, I strongly feel that items A and B should remain unchanged regarding the requirements for motorized recreational trails and mandatory review. This is in direct conflict with the 2017 Minnesota statute of environmental policy's stated purpose and the stated requirement of action by state agencies in Chapter 116D.

The purpose of environmental review is to provide the necessary information to government units to make wise and informed environmentally sensitive decisions in the best interest of the public, rather than making the current proposed changes which would base rulemaking for motorized recreational trails on administrative streamlining and category updating directed by the legislature. Neither of which are germane to the motorized

recreational trail use category and its 1 2 environmental issues and needs, nor are they in the best interest of the environment or of the public. 3 4 Thank you for your time. 5 THE JUDGE: Thank you. Is Kathy 6 Hollander back? 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not yet. THE JUDGE: Ms. Foushee? 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think she has chosen not to comment. Yes, she has left. 10 11 THE JUDGE: Thank you. Then John 12 Munter. MR. MUNTER: J-o-h-n M-u-n-t-e-r. 13 Ι would like to first join the chorus that we should 14 have harmonization between Fond du Lac's water 15 quality standards and the laws of the state of 16 17 Minnesota and the 1800s treaties. And maybe we can 18 do more update on the 1988 law that was mentioned. 19 But mainly I would like to talk about Number 20 9, the performance-based rules, mentioned proposed 2.1 rules, such that we shouldn't be, quote, "making 22 rules that emphasize the achievement of an agency's 23 regulatory objectives." And then farther down it talks about "environmental review is not a 24 25 regulatory program, and hence the EQB has no

regulatory objectives in this rulemaking." What?

I'm incredulous. Are we just making useless information like that last EIS for the line 3? In the end, the decisions were made for pressure from the legislature and from industry and wanting to push the line farther north and not going farther south through white communities. So information is not an end in itself. What about MEPA? Shouldn't we be adhering to MEPA? Doesn't the government, the state government have any responsibility for climate change and for maintaining certain standards?

2.1

I would like to also echo the need for -the whole basis of this rule change is economy and
efficiency. These shouldn't be the guiding
principles here. So I think we should have
mandatory EISs for oil facilities and wind
facilities, because we are going to have a lot more
wind facilities, as well as for Husky, and also
rules for monitoring and isolation uranium. I also
want to add in we should have a mandatory EIS for
natural gas facilities as well.

Fossil fuels, these natural gas facilities some scientists say are more fossil fuel intensive than coal burning because of the leakage happening

at the point of production and transfer and also 1 2 because of the importance of calculating its effect on the atmosphere over a 12 or 20-year period 3 instead of over a 100-year period. Which is what 4 5 EPA and other agencies have been doing. So in this 6 regard, we need a social cost of methane standard 7 in Minnesota along with our social cost of carbon. I would like to ask if the Attorney 8 9 General's Office might possibly request a rule 10 change for a social cost of methane standard in 11 Minnesota maybe under the Department of Commerce rubric there? 12 13 THE JUDGE: So, Mr. Munter, I want to say that's really outside the scope of this 14 15 hearing. You are welcome to contact the Attorney General's Office and request that or the Department 16 17 of Commerce or whoever, your legislature. But it's 18 really outside the scope of this hearing. 19 MR. MUNTER: Thank you. That is the end 20 of my comment. Thank you. 2.1 THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kathy Hollander 23 is here now. 24 THE JUDGE: Ms. Hollander. 25 MS. HOLLANDER: Thank you for waiting.

I was outside trying to de-escalate a situation so we didn't have to have the police and angry people.

We could just talk about dogs and how we all love dogs. So I'm back. Thank you very much for giving us a chance to comment on the rules. As you know,

I have a few comments. I've never met you, Erik.

Nice to meet you in person. I just only know

Denise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My first comment is that when I read through a lot of these documents -- I spent hours and hours, but, anyway, when I read through the SONAR and I clicked on the links, which you conveniently provided to the statutes you were citing, I agree with you -- I saw on the slide that there was one statute about recreational trails, there was another statute about Silica Sand and then you cited 2015 special statute -- special session law. And then when I clicked on that, I noticed that it was an appropriation rather than a statute citation. It was a one-time appropriation in 2015 to supply the EQB board with about \$500,000 for streamlining environmental review. That's what it said, the environmental review process. And my question before you for the Administrative Law Judge is what is the wording in connection with a

one-time appropriation? Does that convey the same power as the statute law? Is that codified in law? Because I believe it is not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So when I was reading the SONAR, there were at least six references to the words "streamlining" or that specific reference to the special session law in 2015. Again, which was, when I click on it, on the article and the chapter and everything, was simply a one-time appropriation. Which I'm just saying how does that apply in 2019 when it was a 2015 appropriation? Are we running biennium, so presumably that would apply to 2016 and 2017, but it wouldn't have the force of law now? So I questioned the underlying basis for this concept of we need to streamline environmental review. Because it's not based soundly in statute. Or at least it wasn't documented in statute in the SONAR. So that's my first comment.

My other comment is I would like to talk a little bit more about the refineries. I was out for a while, so I don't know what was all said about the refineries. I know several people mentioned the Husky Refinery, but I would like to point out that one of our representatives discovered that the same kind of hydrogen fluoride

is used at one of our refineries here in the Twin Cities area at St. Paul Park. So the people who actually lived through that experience of the Husky Refinery blowing up, that same thing could be happening here at the St. Paul Park Refinery. So I would advocate for stronger language in the petroleum refinery section, which is 4410.4300, subpart 4. The Pollution Control Agency is the RGU for expansion of a petroleum refinery of 10,000 barrels or more per day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I would also point out that there is new information now. We have an IPC report about the urgency of climate change. We know that today parts per million carbon dioxide in the atmosphere are approaching 415. We know that 350 is a safe level for humankind. So I would say that there is new evidence on the books that no longer supports the idea that a refinery increasing their capacity by 10,000 barrels per day should only be an EAW. think it should be an EIS. And, also, I would like to say that new evidence is that when a refinery blows up and has a major problem and there is tanks of this hydrogen fluoride nearby, which we didn't know about as citizens before, that also now should create a new type of thing in this rule. The rule

just talks about construction of a new refinery is an EIS or expansion is an EAW. So I think we need to have another category called major alteration, major rebuilds. And people smarter than I can define that as either being installation of a new cracker or a distillation unit or however you want to make it more specifically defined. But certainly a rebuild of a refinery should require an EIS in this day and age. And, obviously, the Pollution Control Agency then could look at hydrogen fluoride.

2.1

I would also like to point out in the rule on hazardous materials storage 4410.4300, subpart 10B, C and D, again, I support keeping the language that exists there existing. The language is "designed for or capable of storing." Instead it's been substituted for "designed storage capacity." And my concern is that if someone puts on an EAW, well, it only has a designed storage capacity of 999,000, which is less than the 1,000,000 threshold but it actually has a larger storage capacity, that unless somebody is tracking very carefully that storage facility of hazardous materials could easily fall out of the category of the EAW.

Also, I think if the public knew -- my last

comment -- that a facility holding less than a 1 2 1,000,000 gallons of hazardous materials does not have to have environmental review, I think they 3 would be pretty shocked. And I'm going to do all I 4 5 can to help make sure the public knows that 6 currently in our rules that that would be the case. 7 And I forgot to spell my name. Sorry. you need that? K-a-t-h-y H-o-l-l-a-n-d-e-r. I am 8 a volunteer with Minnesota 350. And I will be submitting more comments to you in writing. Thank 10 11 you very much. 12 THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. Robert Tammen. 13 MR. TAMMEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 I'm 15 Robert Tammen. R-o-b-e-r-t T-a-m-m-e-n. My wife Pat and I live in Soudan, Minnesota, home of 16 Minnesota's first iron mine. And I worked in 17 18 several of the mines in northern Minnesota as well as upper Michigan, Montana, North Dakota, Wyoming. 19 20 I've been around in my life and I'm familiar with 2.1 mining economies, and I'm surprised by the lack of 22 healthy economies of mining areas. 23 I know we are here talking about 24 environmental regulations. I think we understand

we are balancing environmental protection against

economic returns from the mining industry. And I would like to make a point that Minnesota is approaching the point where we have no net benefit from hard rock mining in Minnesota. And I base my opinion on data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis Department of Commerce. Periodically they publish a gross domestic product. They break it down by state and by industry. And these are the numbers for 2018, the last full year we have. Minnesota has a pretty good size economy. \$368 billion. Mining contributes 2.386 billion. Round it up to 2.4 and you can do the math in your head. It's way less than 1 percent. Mining is maybe two-thirds of a percent of Minnesota's economy, according to these official numbers. And I would like to point out that these numbers do not include the externalities. babies born in northern Minnesota with elevated mercury are roughly 10 percent, my fellow miners that are dying at elevated rates from mesothelioma

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

built over the Rochleau pit for the benefit of the mining industry, the production taxes we rebated over the last 20 years approaching again \$250 million.

and other lung diseases, the \$250 million bridge we

1 And so that's the main point I want to make 2 today that when we have these debates about 3 balancing environmental protection and the economic benefits of mining, the economic benefits are 4 dwindling away. It's time for us to look for 5 6 diversification in northern Minnesota, to realize that mining has had its day and for us to go on to 7 better things. 8 I will submit this little download of 10 Minnesota's economy for the record. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 THE JUDGE: Thank you. And I'm going to mark this as Exhibit P4 for Mr. Tammen. 13 The next 14 person is Sierra Erickson. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think Sierra 15 16 left. 17 MS. HOLLANDER: No, she has to be 18 outside with the dogs. 19 The JUDGE: I will call someone else in 20 the meantime. That would be Andy Pearson. Please 2.1 state and spell your name. 22 MR. PEARSON: Your Honor, my name is 23 Andy Pearson, A-n-d-y P-e-a-r-s-o-n. I'm speaking 24 today from the organization Minnesota 350, which

Kathy Hollander was also representing. So my

comments are in addition to hers.

2.1

We represent around 20,000 climate concerned members across the state of Minnesota and are grateful for the chance to comment here today. I'm also impressed technically by having a meeting that's happening in about six rooms at once here today. So a note of appreciation for that.

We also support the decision to drop many of the proposed revisions to the pipeline rules and are grateful for the thoughtfulness that staff exercised in making that decision in response to the initial round of comments.

Part of my comments today are informed by a recent meeting with the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board. It was a retrospective conversation with many of the original authors of the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act or MEPA. And one of the issues that was raised by these original authors, who are more familiar -- I would venture more familiar than anybody else with the intention of the laws that the EQB is charged with implementing, was an overreliance on EAWs in lieu of EISs. We were shown a breakdown of the preponderance of EAWs and very few EISs in reviewing state projects. And we were told very clearly by the people who had

written the laws that the intention was to be in the other direction, that there should be more environmental impact statements, that should be the norm with the environmental assessment worksheet as a possibility in certain cases, but not the default.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So it gets down to a question of implementation. Right? Which is the point of rules, to implement the law as written and as And so that's the main point I'm wanting to make today. Because we should be increasing the mandatory EIS categories across the board. But the specific places I want to limit my commentary to is fossil fuel infrastructure. Like I said, Minnesota 350, we are a climate change organization, a climate justice organization. is our main concern. And, as we know, one of the major differences between an EIS and an EAW procedurally is the identification of alternatives and an analysis of ways that you could do it differently, rather than just whether or not the project on the table should proceed or not. alternatives analysis is very valuable. Also, an EIS gives more consideration of major impacts such as climate change. Which is, obviously, a very,

very major concern of our times, and water quality, species loss, other associated effects.

2.1

To get to specific sections. Two sections I wanted to call out. 4410.0500, subpart 4, Refinery Expansion. I will second the comments that Kathy made around that we should make sure that those types of projects of refinery expansion actually need mandatory EISs not EAWs.

One of the points there is the ripple effects of expanding oil infrastructure usually go far beyond just the physical footprint of the infrastructure that's being expanded as we think about material flows into the refinery and out. We also think about the climate impacts. Which is, of course, usually an externality, since we are not incorporating it right now into market systems. But it means that the impact is again often larger than the footprint there.

And the same concern would apply to that same Section 4410.0500, subpart 7 on pipeline infrastructure with the same proposed change. To make that a mandatory EIS rather than a mandatory EAW category. Again, looking beyond the physical footprint of the infrastructure into the larger intent of protecting Minnesota's natural resources

and the need to be conscious, increasingly so, of how our interaction with the world's climate systems that is so well shown by science at this point demands that we take a closer look at maybe things that we didn't have to previously.

2.1

So I just want to close with saying I'm glad for the chance to speak here today. I appreciate that. And the full room, very full room plus overflow room at the start of the day I think is encouraging. I think that around these questions of infrastructure and environment in the times we are in, we may be seeing more full rooms like this and look forward to spaces that can have everybody in one place where we can maybe all see each other and not have to go into overflow. I think we may see the crowds for these kinds of conversations getting larger. Thank you.

THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. I just wanted to let people in here know that the front doors automatically lock at 4:30, but there is somebody out there to let people in if they knock. If you know anybody who is going to be showing up after 4:30, text them, let them know that they can knock and they will be let in. If people are going in and out with dogs, you know, knock and you can

be let in. I just wanted to give you all that note 1 2 for folks who are here at 520 Lafayette. 3 So, Ms. Erickson. MS. ERICKSON: Hello. For the record, 4 it's S-i-e-r-r-a E-r-i-c-k-s-o-n. 5 So just for the 6 record, dogs are fine, buses, they are sitting 7 outside with plenty of water. 8 THE JUDGE: Thank you. 9 MS. ERICKSON: So I would just first 10 like to take a moment to say my appreciation for 11 having this open comment period. It is very 12 critical that we make this space and the time for 13 the public to come and share their thoughts and 14 feelings about the laws that are being adjusted or 15 put into place revolving infrastructure, fossil 16 fuels, mining, any of that, water quality, wetlands. 17 18 And so one of my first thoughts is that, you 19 know, any body of water or wetlands should have the 20 what is it, EIS --2.1 THE JUDGE: ETS. 22 MS. ERICKSON: -- in my opinion, because

water is a basic human right. And if you look in a lot of places, there isn't good quality water. We are very fortunate here in Minnesota to have some of the most pristine water around, available, and we need to -- we need to lead the way in making sure that it stays that way. That people have that right to clean water.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

In my opinion, and I know a lot of people would agree, there should be a law that enforces the rights of nature. That enforces the rights of water specifically. Because that is a human right. It's a divine birthright. We all need it to survive. So that should be at the top of the platform for laws. I would really encourage you that make these laws, that secure these laws, that that is something that is looked at as being the utmost concern. Because we can't drink oil. cannot drink poisonous water that's contaminated with fluoride. It's just not possible. And the animals, they drink it, too. So those of us that can speak need to stand up and speak for the animals. And that's why I'm here. It's not just us that rely on it. And I'm seeing more and more fossil fuel industry mining that all is jeopardizing some of the cleanest water that we have.

I went out to the West Coast a couple of years ago and I was completely appalled at how

dried up some of their rivers are. You know, you 1 2 don't see them flowing like they used to. And why? It's because industry is coming in and they are 3 contaminating them. They are pulling water and 4 5 bottling it and selling it back to the public. 6 That's not okay. We all have this right. 7 You know, I would really encourage looking 8 into coming up with some laws that are going to give the rights of nature the rights that we as 10 humans have. Because that's important if we are 11 going to continue as a species. You know, this 12 climate crisis is -- it's now. We need to focus on 13 it now. And some of these proposed corporations that are coming in and trying to put in mines or 14 15 pipelines, that threatens not just our livelihood, but -- you know, science tells us we have 10 years. 16 17 If certain infrastructures are put out that's 18 quarantying 50, 60 years of this, we are not going 19 to last. So, anyway, I was getting a little sidetracked. 20 2.1 Yes, I would support that we make sure that 22 it is not just one person changing the laws. 23 forget which piece that is. 2.4 THE JUDGE: The Board versus the chair. 25 MS. ERICKSON: Yes. Yes. I would be in full support of keeping it in the Board allowing, you know, for public comment. Because that is really important. I am also in support of the wetlands. The -- what is it? The 1 -- or 5 to 1 for the wetlands. I think that it all needs to be assessed.

2.1

And then I would also encourage and fully support and stand in solidarity with my indigenous brothers and sisters that the treaty rights get, you know -- some kind of law in there or just bringing them to the table and really consulting with them. Not just consulting, but really hearing what they have to say. Because, again, consultation is not consent. So I would be very appreciative of that and I know many others would be too.

You know, a lot of us are just here standing up and speaking up for nature and for our water. I know you guys know that. But coming from somebody who is a little younger, you know, I've got to stand up. And you are seeing a lot more young kids that are in grade school, in high school standing up and speaking for our water. And that's because they are seeing the law is not doing it for us anymore. And it needs to. Something has got to

change. We need to give nature the rights. We need to give the wild rice rights.

Again, thank you so much for opening up this space and allowing us time to express our opinions and our thoughts and our feelings. I'm grateful for that.

THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. Are there people in any remote locations at this point who want to speak?

(No response.)

2.1

THE JUDGE: Okay. I will continue on this list. Is it Mr. Mattison?

MR. MATTISON: Thank you, Your Honor.

My name is Willis Mattison. W-i-l-l-i-s. Mattison is M-a-t-t-i-s-o-n. I'm going to depart a little bit from the peer remarks because of the comments that were made here before.

I'm a professional ecologist and I want to underscore some impressions the young people have given here, especially the folks from Mn 350 and the Native Americans, with regard to the continuing degradation of our climate and our water resources and natural ecosystems. This is in spite of MEPA, this is in spite of the environmental quality rules in place, this is in spite of the agencies that

carry out these rules. My point earlier that I made that the EQB apparently wants to exempt itself from having to demonstrate exemplary improvement or achievement by the administration of its laws as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act, the SONAR makes no mention of whether or not the proposed changes will result in superior attainment of the objectives stated in MEPA. MEPA has very clear instructions to all state agencies in terms of the duties and responsibilities. By MEPA, I'm referring to the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act. The EQB is pretending -- excuse me, that's a rather confrontive word, and I don't mean to do that -- proposes to operate just within 116D.04 and 4410, but the statute, in fact, instructs all agencies to comply with the provisions from 116D.01 through 06. In 01, 02 and 03 are listed specific goals, responsibilities and duties which I believe translate directly into objectives that must be attained in a superior way any time the Agency revises its rules. Your hearing here today is a unique

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Your hearing here today is a unique opportunity for citizens to point out the Agency's failures to achieve those objectives. We have raised, I have and my fellow citizens have raised

numerous times to the agencies and to the EQB in general the issues of climate change and ecosystem degradation to no avail. We see these rules not addressing, these proposed rule changes not addressing those at all. So when the proposed rules came out, many people asked for a contested case hearing not so much to talk about the proposed changes, but as I mentioned before, the proposed changes not being made that are sorely needed. There is no other venue, Your Honor, for a citizen to come and have an independent person such as yourself look at the instruction of MEPA and the objectives that are there and then look at the proposed rules and show that there is a dysjunction, a disconnect, a failure to be responsive to changing conditions that are well known. None of the agencies that are members of the EQB would deny that climate change is rapidly advancing and it is an existential threat. Yet do you see in any of the proposed rules anything that would be commensurate with the threat of climate change? No. That's unacceptable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

As an ecologist, I can tell you and I will submit with my written comments documentations from the United Nations Panel on biodiversity that is

confirmed by the Department of Natural Resources that we are experiencing a crash in species on existence and extinction around the globe. Right here in Minnesota it's going on as well. Yet do you see anything in the proposed rules that is in any way commensurate with the urgency of that threat? You will see the urgency of that threat, like the IPC pointed out, that we have 10 years to turn the corner on climate change. We have even less time to turn the corner on species extinction. Yet, there is somehow a disconnect that the EQB seems that it is unaware of or has no authority to address those issues.

2.1

We plead with Your Honor to admonish the Agency to be more responsive to what's the emerging science when it proposes these rule changes. They can't just be doing housekeeping anymore. We need to do housekeeping out there in the environment, not here in the bureaucracies and in the halls of the agencies.

So I will submit additional evidence to support the contention of the urgency of the changes and that the EQB must commence immediately rulemaking or changes in administration of their laws such that they will begin to address these

urgent problems. Thank you.

THE JUDGE: And thank you. Brian

3 | Pastarr.

2.1

MR. PASTARR: B-r-i-a-n. Pastarr is
P-a-s-t-a-r-r. I would like to say thanks for
having agencies like the EQB and the Pollution
Control Agency and to kind of further to what was
just said is say that agencies like that need to
go -- we need you to go further. We need you to be
champions for the environment. And I don't think
you have done that. That Intergovernmental Panel
on Climate Change that came out did state the
urgency that we are down to the last 10 or 11 years
reversing this climate change stuff. And with
that, I think we need to do more than just rule
changes. We need to kind of really get to the
urgency that was just talked about.

And one of those agencies that's not listed here is PHMSA, which is the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Agency. An agency that is charged with taking care of -- making sure that pipelines are safe and are not leaking. And we have an existing line 3 that actually is leaking. And I don't see much being done about that except Enbridge using that to leverage getting a new

pipeline. So I would like something in the rules that deal with something like that and strengthen an agency like PHMSA so they actually work.

2.1

I would also like to further what Sherry

Couture and Nancy Beaulieu talked about and really recognizing the treaties that are out there.

Article 6 of the constitution says that those are binding articles, the 1826 and 1854 treaties. And to even go further, EQB, you know, I know you guys designate what is an RGU, what is a Responsible Governmental Unit. I think that we have got to look at the indigenous people as an RGU. They should be a recognized and Responsible Governmental Unit and recognized as such in all these things that are written now.

Okay. The rule that I'm here to look at is 4410.0500, subpart 6. And that is the one that basically says two things. It says the EQB chair would be the one that would single handedly designate what is the RGU for a project. And the second thing is, you know, the time period of five days would be eliminated. And I propose we go to letting the whole Board make the decisions on things rather than just one person. Because the EQB chair is appointed by the governor. So when

there is a change in governor, you know, there could be a change in political atmosphere and we could get somebody who is not so good with the environment. We've seen that with some of the administrations we have nationally.

2.1

And the SONAR document says that any change in the RGU would be published in the EQB Monitor for a week. So the public does have contact, you know, with a board member to make a request, but the public doesn't often know the board members. And how could a public member effectively even challenge a rule once it's in place anyway. It's a rule, but rules basically have the force of law.

And, also, why eliminate that time period?

You know, perhaps you could make the time period 30 days so it matches the board meetings. And I would like to finally add that there were 44 comments out of the 190 that asked for this change to be discarded.

Again, thanks to the EQB and agencies like the Pollution Control Agency, but we need you to step forward and do even more and really be champions for the environment. Thank you.

THE JUDGE: Thank you very much. And 25 Mysti Babineau?

```
(At this time a discussion was held off the record.)
1
2
               MR. CEDARLEAF DAHL: She's not here.
3
               THE JUDGE: I'm going to look through my
    list one more time here. Could you check one more
4
5
    time at the remote locations.
6
               MS. HOLLANDER: And I forgot to hand in
7
    things I wanted to for my testimony.
8
               THE JUDGE: One minute. I will be with
9
    you in a minute here.
10
               MR. TUMMINELLO: No people are remaining
11
    in Brainerd who wish to speak.
12
               THE JUDGE: Is there anyone waiting to
13
    speak in Duluth?
14
               MS. CALE: No, the remaining people here
15
    are just interested in listening. All the folks
    that wanted to comment had the opportunity to.
16
17
               THE JUDGE: What about Detroit Lakes?
18
    Nobody there. Okay. Rochester?
19
               MS. PRATT: There is no one in
20
    Rochester.
2.1
               THE JUDGE: Marshall?
22
               MS. KROGSTAD: No one in Marshall, Your
23
    Honor.
24
               THE JUDGE: Thank you. Is there anyone
25
    in this room who has signed up to speak and has not
```

```
had the opportunity to speak?
1
2
                (No response.)
3
               THE JUDGE: And is there anyone in this
    room who did not sign up to speak but who has
4
5
    decided that you would like to speak?
6
                (No response.)
7
               THE JUDGE: And is there anyone in this
    room who has already spoken and feels a strong need
8
9
    to speak again?
10
               (No response.)
11
               MS. HOLLANDER: I want to add to --
12
               THE JUDGE: Right, I understand you have
    exhibits. And we will do that.
13
                                      Then,
    Ms. Hollander, before I adjourn, I'm going to grab
14
    exhibits from you. And what do you have for me?
15
16
               MS. HOLLANDER: Copies of the pages from
17
    the SONAR that reference that 2015 special session
18
    that I referenced. And then also a copy of the
    special session bill that shows the one-time
19
20
    appropriation.
2.1
               THE JUDGE: We certainly have those.
22
    But, sure, I'll --
23
               MS. HOLLANDER: You also have a copy
24
    here now of the special session bill that was
25
    referenced in the SONAR. And then also an article
```

```
on hydrogen fluoride and the dangers.
1
               THE JUDGE: So I'm going to call those
2
3
    P5, P6 and P7.
4
               MS. HOLLANDER:
                               Thank you. Why are
    there three? I stapled them together.
5
6
               THE JUDGE: Oh. They are stapled
7
    together?
8
               MS. HOLLANDER:
                               Yes.
9
               THE JUDGE: Then I'm just going to call
10
    it P5. So this is P5, Kathy Hollander exhibit.
11
          I believe having heard from everybody who
12
    wants to speak, I'm reminding everybody that we do
    have another hearing on June 26th in St. Cloud.
13
    And I will also remind you that the comment period
14
    for initial comments ends July 16th.
15
                                           The rebuttal
16
    period will end July 23rd. The end of business on
17
    each of those days in our office is considered
18
    4:30. So anything received after 4:30 on those
19
    days will not be considered. So 4:30 on July 16th
    and 4:30 on July 23rd. Thank you for all of your
20
2.1
    comments. The hearing is adjourned.
22
23
            (Whereupon, at 4:47 p.m., May 31, 2019
24
            the Public Hearing was adjourned.)
25
```

# 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, SUSAN M. STROM, do hereby certify that I recorded in stenotype the Public Hearing on the 4 5 foregoing matter on the 31st day of May, 2019, at 6 St. Paul, Minnesota; 7 8 That I was then and there a Notary Public in 9 and for the County of Dakota, State of Minnesota; 10 11 I further certify that thereafter and on that 12 same date I transcribed into typewriting under my direction the foregoing transcript of said recorded 13 14 hearing, which transcript consists of the typewritten pages 1 through 121. 15 16 17 I further certify that said hearing 18 transcript is true and correct to the best of my 19 ability. 20 2.1 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 4th DAY OF 22 JUNE, 2019. 23 SUSAN M. STROM Court Reporter 24 25

\$	<b>13</b> [4] - 25:16, 36:20, 40:10, 41:10	36:5, 36:7, 96:17, 96:20, 97:7, 97:11,	4	6
<b>\$250</b> [2] - 101:21,	<b>13th</b> [1] - 42:14 <b>14</b> [2] - 7:20, 83:21	119:17 <b>2016</b> [6] - 36:7, 36:10,	<b>4</b> [10] - 25:17, 33:18,	<b>6</b> [13] - 38:23, 46:23,
101:24	<b>14.15</b> [2] - 18:1, 19:17	36:12, 36:13, 97:12	33:23, 36:20, 49:12,	46:25, 47:1, 47:6,
<b>\$368</b> [1] - 101:10	<b>1400.2220</b> [1] - 25:7	<b>2017</b> [3] - 88:8, 92:13,	49:15, 66:4, 66:19,	48:20, 65:22, 66:12,
<b>\$500,000</b> [1] - 96:21	<b>15</b> [2] - 36:4, 36:15	97:12	98:8, 105:4	66:19, 66:23, 116:7,
	<b>150</b> [1] - 61:6	<b>2018</b> [9] - 25:17,	<b>40</b> [2] - 79:17, 79:24	116:17
0	<b>159</b> [1] - 25:15	36:15, 36:18, 36:20,	<b>415</b> [1] - 98:15	<b>60</b> [3] <b>-</b> 3:2, 90:20,
	<b>16</b> [3] - 18:16, 19:10,	36:22, 40:2, 40:10,	<b>4300</b> [1] - 4:14	109:18
04 440.47	19:23	41:10, 101:9	<b>44</b> [2] - 2:21, 117:17	<b>62</b> [1] - 33:1
<b>01</b> [1] - 112:17	<b>16th</b> [3] - 18:24,	<b>2019</b> [16] - 1:22, 4:9,	<b>4400</b> [1] - 4:14	<b>63</b> [1] - 33:1
<b>02</b> [1] - 112:17	120:15, 120:19	18:16, 19:10, 19:19,	<b>4410</b> [14] - 1:11, 27:20,	<b>65</b> [1] - 3:3
<b>0200</b> [1] - 37:1	<b>17</b> [1] - 19:19	19:21, 19:24, 19:25,	27:22, 29:8, 33:4,	<b>66</b> [1] - 3:4
<b>03</b> [1] - 112:17	<b>17.10</b> [1] - 75:1	25:17, 36:20, 36:22,	33:25, 35:5, 37:4,	<b>67</b> [1] - 3:3
<b>0500</b> [1] - 4:14	<b>17.15</b> [1] - 76:5	40:2, 97:10, 120:23,	38:11, 38:14, 48:19,	
<b>06</b> [1] - 112:17		121:5, 121:22	53:16, 68:25, 112:15	7
	<b>17:20</b> [1] - 76:21	<b>20th</b> [1] - 36:25	<b>4410.0200</b> [1] - 4:14	
1	<b>1800s</b> [1] - 93:17	<b>216F</b> [2] - 40:17, 46:19	<b>4410.0400</b> [1] - 28:3	
<u>-</u>	<b>1825</b> [1] <b>-</b> 52:1		<b>4410.0500</b> [5] - 38:23,	<b>7</b> [5] - 40:23, 66:12,
	<b>1826</b> [3] - 51:25, 53:8,	<b>216F.01</b> [1] - 46:7	48:20, 105:4,	66:20, 66:23, 105:20
<b>1</b> [8] <b>-</b> 18:2, 25:7,	116:8	<b>21st</b> [2] - 36:10, 36:25		<b>70</b> [1] - 3:5
88:11, 88:14,	<b>1854</b> [8] - 51:16,	<b>22</b> [1] - 83:22	105:20, 116:17	<b>707</b> [2] - 45:23, 46:4
101:13, 110:4,	51:19, 51:24, 52:6,	<b>22nd</b> [1] - 36:10	<b>4410.4300</b> [17] - 40:13,	<b>74</b> [1] - 3:6
121:15	52:14, 53:9, 54:18,	<b>23</b> [2] - 19:21, 19:25	40:17, 40:23, 43:5,	<b>75</b> [2] - 66:4, 66:20
<b>1,000,000</b> [5] <b>-</b> 50:1,	116:8	<b>23rd</b> [2] - 120:16,	45:21, 46:3, 47:1,	<b>77</b> [2] <b>-</b> 3:7, 51:7
50:3, 50:5, 99:20,	<b>1875</b> [1] - 91:11	120:20	49:12, 49:23, 61:2,	<b>78</b> [1] - 3:8
100:2	<b>18th</b> [1] - 36:10	<b>24</b> [1] - 2:15	65:15, 79:12, 83:17,	<b>7854</b> [2] - 46:10, 46:13
<b>10</b> [13] - 11:23, 14:24,	<b>19</b> [1] - 36:18	<b>25</b> [8] - 36:22, 40:21,	83:22, 86:11, 98:7,	
15:2, 54:7, 55:14,	<b>190</b> [1] - 117:18	46:8, 61:24, 76:6,	99:13	<b>7904</b> [1] - 4:15
61:23, 65:1, 76:7,	<b>1916</b> [1] - 91:11	76:22, 76:25, 89:5	<b>4410.4400</b> [2] - 49:15,	<b>7906</b> [1] - 4:15
81:19, 101:19,	<b>1930s</b> [1] - 91:12	<b>25-mile</b> [1] - 61:6	77:18	<b>7926</b> [1] - 4:15
109:16, 114:8,	<b>1973</b> [1] - 90:13	<b>26</b> [1] - 2:17	<b>4410.4600</b> [2] - 42:2,	_
115:13	<b>1980s</b> [1] - 90:5	<b>26th</b> [5] - 4:20, 18:9,	83:20	8
<b>10,000</b> [2] <b>-</b> 98:9,		18:14, 36:24, 120:13	<b>445</b> [1] - 2:9	
98:19	<b>1982</b> [5] - 61:8, 61:11,	<b>27</b> [5] - 2:18, 65:16,	<b>4600</b> [1] - 4:14	9 m 40:44 65:00
<b>10-mile</b> [1] - 61:5	62:1, 86:17, 86:23	79:12, 83:17, 84:23	<b>48</b> [1] - 2:22	<b>8</b> [7] - 42:14, 65:22,
<b>10-minute</b> [1] - 81:17	<b>1988</b> [2] - 72:3, 93:18	<b>28</b> [1] - 36:13	<b>4:30</b> [9] - 18:16, 18:23,	66:12, 66:20, 66:23,
	<b>1990s</b> [1] - 90:5	<b>2a(b</b> [2] - 33:7, 33:12	19:21, 106:20,	77:19, 79:25
<b>100</b> [4] - 1:23, 3:13,	<b>1:30</b> [3] - 1:24, 4:10,	<b>Za(b</b> [2] - 33.7, 33.12	106:23, 120:18,	80-9008-35532 [7] -
23:20, 54:11	75:15		120:19, 120:20	1:14, 4:17, 5:4, 6:25,
100-year [1] - 95:4		3	<b>4:47</b> [1] - 120:23	19:6, 21:8, 26:19
102[1] - 3:14	2		4th [1] - 121:21	<b>82</b> [1] - 3:9
<b>107</b> [1] - 3:15		<b>3</b> [8] <b>-</b> 40:14, 40:17,		<b>86</b> [1] - 3:10
<b>10B</b> [2] <b>-</b> 49:23, 99:14	2 60.04 00.40	46:3, 66:4, 66:19,	5	
<b>11</b> [1] - 115:13	<b>2</b> [2] - 62:21, 89:19	79:25, 94:3, 115:23	J	9
<b>111</b> [1] <b>-</b> 3:16	<b>2.386</b> [1] - 101:11	<b>30</b> [2] - 20:9, 117:15		
<b>114</b> [1] - 33:18	<b>2.4</b> [1] - 101:12	<b>30-day</b> [2] - 31:5, 49:6	<b>5</b> [12] <b>-</b> 11:23, 14:19,	0 02.20
<b>115</b> [1] - 3:17	<b>2.5</b> [1] - 80:1	<b>31</b> [3] - 4:9, 36:21,	16:20, 19:10, 19:12,	<b>9</b> [1] - 93:20
116C.04 [1] - 33:8	<b>20</b> [7] - 18:4, 18:12,	120:23	33:23, 40:15, 48:16,	<b>91</b> [1] - 33:19
116D [3] - 27:19, 88:8,	18:13, 20:24, 32:21,		49:4, 66:4, 66:19,	<b>93</b> [1] - 3:11
92:16	101:24	31st [2] - 1:22, 121:5	110:4	<b>95</b> [1] - 3:12
<b>116D.01</b> [3] - 88:10,	<b>20,000</b> [1] - 103:2	<b>33</b> [1] - 33:23	<b>50</b> [1] - 109:18	<b>999,000</b> [1] - 99:20
88:19, 112:16	<b>20-year</b> [1] - 95:3	<b>34</b> [1] - 75:24	<b>51</b> [1] - 2:23	
<b>116D.03</b> [2] - 88:10,	<b>2000</b> [1] - 90:21	<b>350</b> [5] - 98:15, 100:9,	<b>520</b> [2] - 1:22, 107:2	Α
	<b>2004</b> [2] - 61:9, 62:1	102:24, 104:15,		
• •		111:20	<b>5200</b> [1] - 4:14	A
88:13	<b>2011</b> [1] - 76:13			
88:13 <b>116D.04</b> [3] - 33:7,		<b>37</b> [3] - 61:2, 75:2,	<b>53</b> [1] - 2:24	A-n-d-r-e-w-s [1] -
88:13 <b>116D.04</b> [3] - 33:7, 33:12, 112:14	<b>2011</b> [1] - 76:13 <b>2013</b> [4] - 33:18, 34:1, 34:7, 36:7	<b>37</b> [3] - 61:2, 75:2, 86:11	<b>55101</b> [1] - 2:9	78:25
88:13 116D.04 [3] - 33:7, 33:12, 112:14 116D.06 [1] - 88:19	<b>2013</b> [4] - 33:18, 34:1, 34:7, 36:7	<b>37</b> [3] - 61:2, 75:2,	<b>55101</b> [1] - 2:9 <b>56</b> [1] - 2:25	78:25 abbreviations [1] -
88:13 116D.04 [3] - 33:7, 33:12, 112:14 116D.06 [1] - 88:19 12 [1] - 95:3	<b>2013</b> [4] - 33:18, 34:1, 34:7, 36:7 <b>2014</b> [3] - 36:5, 45:12,	<b>37</b> [3] - 61:2, 75:2, 86:11	<b>55101</b> [1] - 2:9 <b>56</b> [1] - 2:25 <b>5:30</b> [1] - 4:20	78:25 <b>abbreviations</b> [1] - 37:3
88:13 116D.04 [3] - 33:7, 33:12, 112:14 116D.06 [1] - 88:19	<b>2013</b> [4] - 33:18, 34:1, 34:7, 36:7	<b>37</b> [3] - 61:2, 75:2, 86:11	<b>55101</b> [1] - 2:9 <b>56</b> [1] - 2:25	78:25 abbreviations [1] -

22:25, 121:19 able [9] - 13:14, 13:15, 45:17, 48:24, 49:20, 54:25, 75:9, 77:9 above-entitled [1] -1.18 absolutely [1] - 64:10 accept [2] - 5:17, 19:20 accepting [1] - 19:18 access [1] - 28:9 accessible [1] - 68:23 accidentally [1] - 86:7 accommodate 131 -24:2. 73:8. 91:12 accordance [2] -33:17, 88:18 according [4] - 25:6, 46:10, 52:13, 101:15 accountability [1] -28:11 accuracy [1] - 30:22 accurate [3] - 12:9, 16:25, 17:17 achieve [1] - 112:24 achievement [3] -22:7, 93:22, 112:4 acknowledge [1] -43.10 acknowledged [1] -71:18 acknowledgment [2] -71:8 acre [2] - 80:10, 80:13 acres [7] - 65:18, 79:18, 79:20, 79:25, 80:1, 80:15, 84:2 acronym [1] - 85:14 acronyms [2] - 17:6, 17:9 act [2] - 47:7, 48:24 Act [10] - 9:2, 22:5, 22:24, 25:19, 33:6, 41:15, 62:8, 103:17, 112:5, 112:12 action [3] - 88:10, 88:13, 92:15 actual [1] - 37:19 add [11] - 40:25, 41:24, 48:23, 49:6, 63:25, 83:21, 90:24, 91:19, 94:21, 117:17, 119:11 added [4] - 36:23, 36:24, 36:25, 92:4 adding [6] - 34:10. 39:10. 62:12. 62:16. 63:15. 83:17 addition [3] - 29:15, 38:2, 103:1

additional [13] -10:22, 11:9, 11:14, 25:13, 25:14, 30:22, 31:8, 36:23, 61:3, 62:16, 63:23, 80:19, 114:21 address [10] - 6:3, 12:18, 13:24, 18:18, 20:14, 21:3, 43:18, 44:17, 114:13, 114:25 addressed [1] - 76:8 addressing [4] -16:17, 79:11, 113:4, 113:5 adds [1] - 30:22 adequate [4] - 44:22, 56:19, 74:1, 78:2 adhering [1] - 94:9 adjacent [1] - 81:8 adjourn [1] - 119:14 adjourned [2] -120:21, 120:24 adjusted [1] - 107:14 administered [1] -88:18 administering[1] -27:17 administrating [1] -28.14 administration [4] -27:13, 27:24, 112:4, 114:24 administrations [1] -117:5 administrative [4] -18:2, 62:1, 89:22, 92:23 ADMINISTRATIVE[1] - 1.2 Administrative [11] -1:18, 4:5, 4:6, 4:16, 7:10, 9:2, 22:4, 22:24, 25:19, 96:24, 112:5 admonish [1] - 114:14 adopt [5] - 7:14, 9:4, 33:4, 33:10, 34:4 adopted [1] - 9:1 adoption [1] - 33:16 advance [1] - 17:14 advancing [1] -113:19 advantage [1] - 89:14 advisable [1] - 72:9 advise [1] - 72:18

advised [1] - 72:25

advisorv [4] - 36:4.

44:25, 45:1, 45:3

advocacy[1] - 71:6

advocate [1] - 98:6 advocates [1] - 92:4 afternoon [7] - 4:3, 13:20, 26:14, 44:13, 75:16, 78:23, 78:24 age [1] - 99:9 agencies [19] - 27:6, 31:5, 41:17, 71:15, 73:2, 81:8, 90:18, 92:15, 95:5, 111:25, 112:9, 112:16, 113:1, 113:17, 114:20, 115:6, 115:8, 115:18, 117:20 agency [16] - 7:13, 30:1, 30:3, 30:9, 30:13, 30:20, 30:21, 30:24, 31:3, 31:8, 39:2, 58:13, 88:11, 88:14, 115:20, 116:3 Agency [12] - 6:2, 24:14, 44:20, 63:8, 91:16, 98:8, 99:10, 112:20, 114:15, 115:7, 115:20, 117:21 **AGENCY** [1] - 1:4 **Agency's** [1] - 112:23 agency's [1] - 93:22 **ago** [1] - 108:25 agree [3] - 87:14, 96:13, 108:6 agreement [3] - 57:23, 58:12, 58:13 agreements [1] - 72:4 ahead [3] - 22:1, 45:4, 81:21 air [3] - 53:10, 53:11, 73:18 Alan [1] - 82:4 align [1] - 41:14 aligning [2] - 37:16, 37:20 aligns [2] - 38:11, 42:4 alive [1] - 59:4 allotted [1] - 12:6 allow [6] - 14:19, 14:24, 38:14, 39:13, 68:11, 89:13 allowable [1] - 6:1 allowed [2] - 5:24, 72:15 allowing [4] - 59:11, 65:21, 110:1, 111:4 **alteration** [1] - 99:3 altering [1] - 74:11 alternate [1] - 13:16 alternative [1] - 63:19 alternatives [4] -

32:10, 81:9, 104:19, 104:23 altogether [1] - 50:4 ambiguous [2] -34:11, 88:24 amend [1] - 34:6 amendment [3] - 25:3, 26:2, 33:5 amendments [4] -12:4, 33:11, 33:24, 42:4 Amendments [2] -1:10, 4:11 American [2] - 77:16, 77:23 Americans [1] -111:21 amphibians [1] -67:15 Analysis[1] - 101:6 analysis [3] - 16:13, 104:20, 104:23 ancestors [2] - 54:22, 56:6 AND [1] - 121:21 ANDREWS [2] - 78:24, 79:22 Andrews [4] - 3:8, 78:25, 79:19, 83:10 ANDY [1] - 102:23 Andy [3] - 3:14, 102:20, 102:23 **angry** [1] - 96:2 animals [2] - 108:17, 108:19 answer [8] - 15:23, 15:24, 16:1, 22:2, 27:3, 52:21, 75:6, 75:9 answers [1] - 16:4 anyway [3] - 96:11, 109:19, 117:12 apologize [1] - 17:14 appalled [1] - 108:25 applaud [1] - 69:19 applicable [2] - 68:16, 90:9 application [3] -34:12, 88:25, 90:24 applied [2] - 34:24, 35:14 apply [7] - 30:6, 41:25, 83:19, 84:25, 97:10, 97:12, 105:19 applying [2] - 73:5, 73:8 appointed [2] - 48:25, 116:25 appreciate [5] - 8:15, 59:17, 59:23, 69:16,

106:7 appreciation [2] -103:7, 107:10 appreciative [1] -110:15 approach [1] - 62:17 approaching [3] -98:15, 101:3, 101:24 appropriate [4] -12:17, 49:6, 71:20, 88:3 appropriately [1] -71:17 appropriation [6] -96:19, 96:20, 97:1, 97:9, 97:11, 119:20 approval [3] - 29:4, 31:17, 31:24 approve [1] - 31:22 aquifers [1] - 67:20 area [3] - 64:3, 64:14, 98:2 areas [4] - 66:3, 66:19, 80:2, 100:22 argue [1] - 90:9 article [3] - 97:8, 116:7, 119:25 Article [2] - 33:18, 33:23 articles [1] - 116:8 assemblies [1] - 58:20 assertion [1] - 22:4 assessed [1] - 110:6 assessment [8] -29:22, 30:7, 30:17, 37:7, 65:17, 65:23, 80:22, 104:4 Assistant [4] - 2:8, 9:25, 24:15, 24:20 associated [1] - 105:2 Association [1] - 79:8 assume [3] - 17:7. 17.8 82.11 assumed [1] - 47:7 assumes [1] - 91:5 **assumption** [1] - 8:13 assure [1] - 34:16 atmosphere [3] - 95:3, 98:14, 117:2 attachment [1] - 6:17 attained [1] - 112:20 attainment [1] - 112:7 attempted [1] - 69:15 attempting [1] - 70:6 attending [2] - 12:9, 75:20 attention [1] - 56:13 Attorney [7] - 2:8, 2:8, 9:25, 24:15, 24:20, 95:8, 95:15

38:20, 39:21, 39:24,

attorneys [1] - 55:6 attributed [1] - 63:8 ATV [1] - 76:16 audible [1] - 17:3 August [2] - 36:12, 36:15 authorities [1] - 27:17 authority [16] - 9:4, 20:4, 26:23, 28:15, 30:2, 32:25, 33:2, 33:4, 33:10, 33:17, 33:19, 39:3, 58:10, 58:14, 86:18, 114:12 authorizes [1] - 88:15 authors [2] - 103:16, 103:18 automatically [1] -106:20 avail [1] - 113:3 available [12] - 10:13, 10:21, 10:24, 11:13, 20:16, 35:8, 37:18, 43:19, 53:2, 81:25, 82:1, 108:1 average [2] - 39:7, 68:9 aware [1] - 11:3 awareness [2] - 28:10, 62:20

#### В

B-e-a-u-l-i-e-u [1] -**B-r-i-a-n** [1] - 115:4 babies [1] - 101:18 Babineau [1] - 117:25 background [4] -26:20, 26:22, 27:4, 58:24 balancing [2] -100:25, 102:3 barking [1] - 60:14 barrels [2] - 98:10, 98:19 base [2] - 92:22, 101:4 based [11] - 9:17, 27:1, 30:23, 35:23, 39:6, 39:25, 41:1, 42:23, 42:25, 93:20, 97:16 basic [1] - 107:23 basis [2] - 94:14, 97:14 **Beau** [1] - 60:4 Beaulieu [5] - 2:25, 50:16, 56:2, 60:6, 116:5 BEAULIEU [4] - 50:18,

19:18, 34:18, 114:25 begins [1] - 29:3 behalf [4] - 24:21, 27:20, 73:11, 79:5 belongs [1] - 59:16 below [1] - 83:21 beneficial [1] - 81:1 benefit [6] - 69:1, 80:23. 81:5. 81:11. 101:3. 101:22 benefits [2] - 102:4 berry [1] - 62:23 best [5] - 54:8, 73:24, 92:20, 93:3, 121:18 bet [1] - 48:10 better [4] - 41:14, 53:2, 53:22, 102:8 between [4] - 13:16, 57:14, 93:15, 104:18 beyond [4] - 22:23, 77:23, 105:11, 105:23 biennium [1] - 97:11 big [4] - 9:11, 16:13, 82:24, 83:15 biggest [1] - 54:4 bill [2] - 119:19, 119:24 billion [2] - 101:11 binding [4] - 57:13, 59:19, 59:21, 116:8 biodiversity [1] -113:25 biological [4] - 65:25, 66:9, 66:15, 67:1 bird [1] - 76:9 birds [1] - 55:10 **birthright** [1] - 108:9 bit [13] - 7:2, 27:2, 38:22, 51:18, 55:22, 56:22, 56:23, 57:25, 67:7, 83:7, 87:25, 97:20, 111:16 black [1] - 57:3 blocks [1] - 64:7 blowing [1] - 98:4 blows [1] - 98:22 **blue** [1] - 37:22 Board [52] - 4:12, 7:11, 7:13, 15:22, 15:24, 18:6, 20:4, 20:5, 20:6, 23:5, 24:17, 27:13, 27:20, 27:23, 33:4, 33:9, 33:12, 39:10, 39:12, 39:14, 39:16, 44:3,

55:21, 56:1, 60:6

beauty [1] - 62:23

become [1] - 68:11

begin [4] - 13:21,

66:13, 67:25, 68:14, 68:19, 68:23, 69:4, 69:8, 69:11, 69:15, 69:20, 71:9, 72:9, 73:7, 85:8, 85:10, 86:19, 87:21, 103:15, 109:24, 110:1, 116:23 BOARD[3] - 1:4, 2:3, 2:16 board [13] - 15:17, 27:16, 36:15, 36:18, 57:6, 57:25, 71:9, 80:13, 96:21, 104:13, 117:9, 117:10, 117:16 **Board's** [7] - 33:19, 65:15, 68:3, 68:8, 68:25, 69:17, 88:6 **body** [1] - 107:19 **bog** [2] - 67:3, 67:5 bogs [2] - 66:24, 67:20 Bogs [1] - 67:12 book [3] - 57:1, 59:18, 72:1 booked [1] - 44:21 **books** [1] - 98:17 **born** [1] - 101:18 bottling [1] - 109:5 **box** [3] - 5:15, 5:16, 77:11 boy [2] - 48:12, 86:10 Brainerd [7] - 47:14, 47:17, 47:18, 74:15, 74:17, 74:20, 118:11 break [2] - 81:17, 101:7 breakdown [1] -103:23 Brian [2] - 3:17, 115:2 bridge [1] - 101:21 Brief [1] - 88:12 brief [4] - 26:24, 29:2, 60:7, 81:20 briefly [3] - 23:8, 30:15, 34:20 bring [1] - 60:2 bringing [3] - 14:8, 53:7, 110:11 brings [2] - 56:11, 56:18 **broad** [1] - 89:2 brook [1] - 91:25 brothers [2] - 73:12,

47:21, 48:24, 49:1,

49:5, 49:7, 49:10,

52:22, 62:7, 65:9,

Budget [1] - 25:24 budget [1] - 90:17 buffer [1] - 63:5 built [2] - 75:3, 101:22 bump [1] - 13:3 bunch [1] - 6:23 burdens [1] - 20:2 Bureau [1] - 101:5 bureaucracies [1] -114:19 burning [1] - 94:25 **bus** [1] - 60:14 buses [1] - 107:6 business [2] - 59:7, 120:16 **busy** [2] - 58:6, 75:17 BWSR [2] - 85:3, 85:6 **bypass** [1] - 50:3

# C

C-o-u-t-u-r-e [1] - 51:4 C-r-o-c-k-e-r [1] -77:15 calamity [1] - 72:14 calculating [1] - 95:2 **CALE** [2] - 60:20, 118:14 calendar [1] - 18:13 calming [1] - 62:25 cannot [7] - 14:20, 51:20, 51:22, 52:15, 54:20, 108:15 capable [4] - 46:7, 49:25, 50:3, 99:16 capacity [6] - 46:8, 49:17, 98:18, 99:17, 99:19, 99:21 carbon [2] - 95:7, 98.14 card [1] - 44:16 care [2] - 58:7, 115:21 careful [1] - 73:20 carefully [1] - 99:22 Carol [6] - 2:21, 3:8, 44:14, 78:24, 82:9, 83:10 CAROL [2] - 44:14, 79:1 carry [1] - 112:1 case [3] - 18:7, 100:6, 113:7 cases [2] - 81:5, 104:5 cat's [1] - 77:11 categorically [1] -62:12 categories [15] -26:18, 29:11, 29:16, 33:13, 37:8, 38:4,

40:3, 42:7, 46:1, 49:11, 90:5, 104:12 Categories [1] - 34:2 category [10] - 30:14, 35:8. 40:20. 79:13. 80:4, 92:24, 93:1, 99:3, 99:24, 105:23 caused [2] - 66:1, 66:16 CEDARLEAF [20] -2:5, 5:23, 11:16, 11:21, 20:21, 20:24, 26:14, 32:17, 32:24, 40:5, 41:7, 42:11, 44:4, 47:13, 75:10, 82:5, 82:8, 85:10, 85:21, 118:2 Cedarleaf [7] - 2:18, 5:22, 24:1, 24:23, 26:16, 44:8, 75:8 cede [1] - 14:20 Ceded [1] - 51:19 ceded [1] - 58:11 century [1] - 72:21 certain [6] - 34:16, 72:5, 80:2, 94:11, 104:5, 109:17 certainly [3] - 9:15, 99:8, 119:21 certainty [1] - 34:14 CERTIFICATE[1] -121:1 certificate [3] - 25:12, 25:13, 25:20 certified [2] - 37:10, 43:9 certify [3] - 121:3, 121:11, 121:17 cetera [1] - 44:17 chair [7] - 39:10, 48:23, 48:25, 79:9, 109:24, 116:18, 116:25 chairman [2] - 57:8, 58:18 challenge [1] - 117:12 CHAM [1] - 48:11 Chamblin [4] - 2:22, 48:10. 48:13. 64:1 CHAMBLIN [2] -48:10, 48:18 champions [2] -115:10, 117:23 **chance** [9] - 13:5, 15:4, 16:22, 74:8, 81:18, 96:5, 103:4, 106:7 change [43] - 15:9,

34:22, 34:24, 34:25,

brushes [1] - 76:13

110:9

35:4, 35:13, 41:4, 42:2, 42:5, 43:3, 45:20, 48:22, 49:9, 61:4, 66:13, 67:2, 72:8, 72:11, 73:18, 77:21, 78:1, 80:3, 81:4, 84:2, 84:6, 84:7, 94:11, 94:14, 95:10, 98:13, 104:15, 104:25, 105:21, 111:1, 113:2, 113:18, 113:22, 114:9, 115:14. 117:1. 117:2, 117:6, 117:18 Change [1] - 115:12 changed [3] - 23:1, 36:21, 45:19 changes [55] - 22:22, 26:6, 26:25, 27:21, 28:20, 34:4, 34:13, 34:21, 35:12, 35:15, 35:19, 35:20, 38:1, 38:6, 38:22, 39:22, 40:22, 40:24, 41:4, 41:11, 41:20, 42:9, 42:22, 43:2, 65:15, 68:1, 68:3, 68:5, 68:15, 68:21, 69:8, 69:15, 80:10, 83:16, 86:14, 87:8, 87:10, 87:15, 87:16, 88:3, 88:21, 88:23, 90:2, 90:3, 90:22, 92:22, 112:7, 113:4, 113:8, 113:9, 114:16, 114:23, 114:24, 115:16 changing [3] - 48:22, 109:22, 113:16 chapter [1] - 97:8 Chapter [21] - 1:11, 7:20, 27:19, 27:22, 28:3, 29:8, 33:3, 33:18, 33:23, 33:25, 35:5, 37:4, 38:11, 38:14, 42:2, 43:5, 46:10, 46:13, 53:16, 88:8, 92:16 charged [2] - 103:21, 115:20 check [5] - 6:8, 44:8, 60:17, 75:12, 118:4 checkmark [2] -12:16, 12:19 chemicals [2] - 73:22, 74:7 chilling [1] - 8:7 Chippewa [1] - 56:3 choosing [1] - 89:25

chorus [1] - 93:14 chose [1] - 64:8 **chosen** [1] - 93:10 citation [1] - 96:20 cited [1] - 96:17 Cities [1] - 98:2 citing [1] - 96:13 citizen [8] - 27:7, 27:9, 30:4, 56:3, 69:18, 72:22, 73:3, 113:10 citizenry [1] - 73:10 citizens [8] - 22:25, 68:1, 68:9, 68:11, 78:11, 98:24, 112:23, 112:25 city [1] - 15:17 **claim** [1] - 22:8 clarification [1] -80:10 clarify [4] - 38:4, 68:5, 71:12, 80:9 clarity [7] - 23:2, 34:10, 38:2, 39:23, 42:24, 72:18, 88:24 clean [1] - 108:4 cleanest [1] - 108:22 clear [8] - 16:18, 34:25, 41:24, 47:3, 54:13, 68:9, 89:7, 112:9 **clearer** [1] - 47:6 clearly [4] - 17:1, 69:5, 69:8, 103:25 Clearwater [2] - 82:22, 84:5 clerical [1] - 40:21 click [1] - 97:7 clicked [2] - 96:12. 96:18 Climate [1] - 115:12 climate [16] - 73:18, 94:11, 98:13, 103:2, 104:15, 104:16, 104:25, 105:14, 106:2, 109:12, 111:22, 113:2, 113:18, 113:21, 114:9, 115:14 clock [1] - 81:16 close [1] - 106:6 closely [2] - 28:17, 80.21 closer [1] - 106:4 Cloud [4] - 4:20, 18:9, 36:24, 120:13

**co**[1] - 38:18

coal [1] - 94:25

Coast [1] - 108:24

co-noticed [1] - 38:18

code [2] - 37:21, 59:21

codified [1] - 97:2 coincide [1] - 49:4 cold [1] - 91:24 **college** [1] - 59:5 **column** [3] - 12:17, 12:19 columns [1] - 12:19 combine [1] - 14:23 comfortable [1] -55:22 coming [7] - 20:21, 20:23, 21:1, 109:3, 109:8. 109:14. 110:19 commence [1] -114:23 commencing [1] -1:23 commended [1] -77:20 commensurate [2] -113:21, 114:6 comment [45] - 5:21, 16:1, 17:25, 18:11, 18:22, 19:12, 21:23, 22:14, 22:19, 23:7, 23:12, 25:16, 26:4, 31:5, 31:7, 31:11, 36:11, 36:18, 36:23, 36:25, 40:1, 41:16, 43:16, 43:22, 43:24, 48:5, 48:19, 48:20, 52:25, 54:10, 61:3, 63:23, 74:18, 93:10, 95:20, 96:5, 96:9, 97:18, 97:19, 100:1, 103:4, 107:11, 110:2, 118:16, 120:14 commentary [1] -104.14 commenter [1] - 60:23 commenters [4] -44:9, 60:21, 63:25, 64:25 COMMENTS [2] -2:20, 3:1 comments [64] - 5:1, 5:10, 5:11, 5:13, 5:14, 5:15, 5:17, 5:20, 6:9, 14:7, 14:12, 14:14, 15:14, 16:4, 16:10, 16:14, 18:15, 18:17, 18:19, 18:25, 19:2, 19:7, 19:11, 19:13, 19:18, 19:20, 19:22, 21:4, 22:22, 23:20, 25:8, 25:15, 26:3, 27:1, 36:6, 37:15, 40:1,

41:2, 42:25, 43:11, 43:12, 43:14, 43:15, 44:20, 44:23, 45:17, 48:16, 61:1, 63:23, 64:1, 77:22, 96:6, 100:10, 103:1, 103:12, 103:13, 105:5, 111:16, 113:24, 117:17, 120:15, 120:21 Commerce [3] - 95:11, 95:17, 101:6 **commerce** [1] - 90:16 commingled [1] - 78:6 Commission [2] -57:3, 57:8 commissioners [1] -57:6 commitments [1] -57:13 committee [2] - 45:9, 79:10 common [1] - 29:21 **commonly** [1] - 30:16 communities [1] -94:7 community [1] - 76:14 **compares** [1] - 32:8 comparison [1] -57:11 complete [3] - 9:23, 35:10, 71:7 completed [1] - 91:11 completely [2] -89:24, 108:25 completeness [1] -30:22 **completes** [1] - 30:7 completing [1] - 29:14 complex [2] - 21:19, 32:13 compliance [2] -25:18, 25:20 comply [1] - 112:16 compost [1] - 38:13 comprise [1] - 25:2 compromises [1] -89:7 concept [2] - 86:20, 97:14 concern [8] - 54:9, 60:15, 82:24, 99:18, 104:17, 105:1, 105:19, 108:14 concerned [3] - 68:11, 72:10, 103:2 concerning [1] - 28:6 concerns [9] - 23:9, 23:14, 23:25, 28:11,

54:4, 62:3, 71:1,

76:5

89:24, 91:13 conclude [1] - 63:12 conclusion [1] - 92:9 conclusions [1] - 20:1 **concurrent** [1] - 90:17 concurs [1] - 80:8 conditions [2] - 84:25, 113:16 conduct [1] - 33:3 conducted [2] - 7:22, confirmed [1] - 114:1 conflict [3] - 61:8, 88:5, 92:13 confrontive [1] -112:13 **confused** [1] - 52:9 confusing [4] - 34:12, 79:15, 79:23, 88:25 congress [3] - 51:10, 52:19, 57:16 connected [1] - 29:18 connection [1] - 96:25 Connor [2] - 3:4, 65:11 **CONNOR** [1] - 65:12 conscious [1] - 106:1 consent [2] - 59:11, 110:14 consequence [1] -67:1 consequences [1] -65:21 Conservation [2] -41:15, 85:9 consider [4] - 29:17, 42:5. 53:6. 56:23 consideration [4] -40:24, 73:17, 73:20, 104:24 considered [7] -18:24, 31:14, 39:12, 63:20, 71:21, 120:17, 120:19 considering [3] -62:14, 78:3, 81:2 considers [2] - 29:7, 31:8 consistency [1] -40:18 consistent [1] - 49:7 consists [1] - 121:14 constitute [1] - 59:14 constitution [1] -116.7 constraints [3] -12:23, 13:2, 50:15 constructed [1] - 76:3 Constructing [1] -

construction [2] -46:5, 99:1 consult [3] - 59:10, 75.11 consultation [2] -25:23, 110:14 consulted [1] - 71:4 consulting [2] -110:11, 110:12 contact [2] - 95:15, 117:8 contain [2] - 21:3, 35:12 contained [1] - 36:7 contains [1] - 20:1 contaminated [1] -108:15 contaminating [1] -109:4 contend [1] - 84:22 contention [1] -114:22 contested [1] - 113:6 continue [8] - 19:20, 24:9, 24:13, 24:17, 53:17, 64:3, 109:11, 111:11 continued [3] - 49:20, 64:13, 69:17 continuing [1] -111:21 contribute [1] - 89:5 contributes [1] -101:11 contributing [1] - 8:15 Control [6] - 63:8, 91:16, 98:8, 99:10, 115:7, 117:21 control [2] - 67:18, 89:22 CONTROL [1] - 1:4 controversial [1] -39:9 conveniently [1] -96:12 conversation [1] -103:15 conversations [2] -53:17, 106:16 conversion [5] -40:14, 46:5, 66:6, 66:10, 66:22 convey [1] - 97:1 Cook [3] - 62:22, 63:1, 91:9 copies [13] - 10:8, 10:22, 11:1, 11:10, 11:15, 12:25, 31:4, 32:19, 32:21, 43:20, 43:21, 69:24, 119:16

copy [10] - 8:20, 14:10, 17:19, 20:12, 20:16, 20:18, 21:5, 57:5, 119:18, 119:23 corner [2] - 114:9, 114:10 corporations [2] -68:7, 109:13 **Corps** [3] - 84:12, 84:13, 84:25 correct [9] - 47:12, 48:3, 48:5, 48:6, 60:19, 60:20, 72:17, 78:18, 121:18 correctly [1] - 82:17 correspondence [1] corridor [3] - 89:3, 89:8, 89:11 cost [3] - 95:6, 95:7, 95.10 costly [1] - 84:10 Council [1] - 27:6 council [1] - 15:17 counsels [2] - 58:17, 58:18 count [2] - 91:3, 92:8 counted [1] - 91:7 counter [2] - 62:5, 62.8 country [1] - 62:25 County [11] - 1:21, 62:22, 63:1, 79:4, 79:6, 79:8, 82:23,

83:10, 84:5, 91:9,

county [8] - 75:4,

couple [5] - 7:9,

75:6, 79:7, 79:9,

80:8, 80:20, 82:24,

11:25, 21:8, 64:7,

course [3] - 22:15,

78:15, 105:15

COURT [1] - 87:1

court [10] - 13:9,

13:22, 16:5, 16:24,

79:20, 81:17, 121:23

44:16, 67:7, 75:5,

courteous [2] - 7:25,

50:22, 50:23, 116:5

**COUTURE** [6] - 50:22,

50:25, 51:2, 51:4,

cover [3] - 8:23, 59:6,

covered [1] - 80:12

51:6, 53:8

81:9

Couture [4] - 2:23,

121:9

84:8

108:24

15:13

D

covering [1] - 85:1

cracker [1] - 99:6

crash [1] - 114:2

create [2] - 31:11,

created [5] - 35:9,

creating [2] - 62:6,

creation [1] - 87:20

crisis [1] - 109:12

criteria [1] - 84:20

critical [2] - 64:12,

critically [1] - 67:13

Crocker [4] - 3:7,

crossing [1] - 63:2

crowd [1] - 76:17

crude [1] - 72:13

curious [1] - 73:6

current [13] - 38:14,

41:6, 43:4, 53:5,

53:15, 62:18, 87:7,

88:23, 89:4, 89:8,

89:11, 90:1, 92:21

cut [1] - 90:20

cuts [1] - 90:17

crossings [1] - 63:6

crowds [1] - 106:16

77:13, 77:15

CROCKER [1] - 77:14

62:19, 63:18, 68:10,

98:25

90:13

62:17

107:12

**D1** [1] - 34:3 DAHL [20] - 2:5, 5:23, 11:16, 11:21, 20:21, 20:24, 26:14, 32:17, 32:24, 40:5, 41:7, 42:11, 44:4, 47:13, 75:10, 82:5, 82:8, 85:10, 85:21, 118:2 Dahl [8] - 2:18, 5:22, 12:25, 24:1, 24:24, 26:16, 44:8, 75:8 Dakota [3] - 1:21, 100:19, 121:9 damage [3] - 61:16, 61:17, 88:10 damaged [1] - 64:17 damaging [1] - 89:16 DAN[1] - 74:25 Dan [5] - 3:6, 3:9, 74:25, 82:12, 82:18 danger [1] - 74:8 dangers [1] - 120:1 dark [1] - 60:1 data [1] - 101:5

date [1] - 121:12 dates [2] - 14:13, 36:21 **DAY**[1] - 121:21 days [10] - 18:4, 18:12, 18:13, 20:10, 49:4, 49:14, 116:22, 117:16, 120:17, 120:19 **de** [1] - 96:1 de-escalate [1] - 96:1 deadline [1] - 20:10 deadlines [1] - 14:13 deaf [2] - 56:10, 58:4 deal [3] - 45:16, 52:11, 116:2 deals [1] - 58:24 debates [1] - 102:2 **DEBRA** [1] - 54:2 Debra [4] - 2:24, 53:20, 54:1, 63:25 December [1] - 36:21 decendents [1] -54:24 decide [4] - 16:3, 49:10, 71:10, 72:12 decided [3] - 15:7, 72:10, 119:5 decision [7] - 21:21, 31:12, 31:13, 32:5, 39:11, 103:8, 103:11 decisionmakers [2] -28:6, 28:9 decisionmaking [1] decisions [7] - 31:24, 58:10, 58:15, 87:6, 92:20, 94:4, 116:23 declaration [1] - 59:20 Declaration [1] -60:10 decreased [1] - 76:11 default [1] - 104:6 defend [1] - 55:16 define [2] - 76:4, 99:5 defined [8] - 46:6, 65:24, 66:4, 66:20, 76:1, 76:2, 89:10, 99:7 definition [6] - 65:20, 66:7, 66:14, 75:22, 75:24, 75:25

definitions [8] - 37:2,

37:3, 37:21, 37:22,

38:2, 38:3, 69:4,

degradation [5] -

111:22, 113:3

degrees [1] - 59:5

63:9, 87:5, 87:17,

69.5

delay [1] - 28:23 delegate [1] - 28:15 delegated [2] - 39:3, 57:15 deleted [1] - 42:16 demand [3] - 77:7, 90:10, 90:13 demands [2] - 92:5, 106:4 demonstrate [4] - 9:5, 9:8, 22:7, 112:3 demonstrated [1] -20:7 **DENISE** [1] - 2:4 Denise [6] - 5:25, 24:22, 26:16, 27:9, 32:17, 96:8 density [1] - 63:11 deny [2] - 31:22, 113:18 depart [1] - 111:15 **Department** [8] - 79:4, 79:6, 81:7, 90:18, 95:11, 95:16, 101:6, 114:1 deposits [1] - 78:7 depth [1] - 31:19 depths [1] - 81:1 describe [2] - 29:11, 34:20 described [3] - 10:23, 28:3, 42:1 describes [1] - 8:21 descriptions [1] -41:14 design [1] - 50:2 designate [2] -116:10, 116:20 designated [3] -30:20, 38:24, 38:25 designating [2] -76:21, 89:2 designation [1] - 89:4 designed [6] - 46:7, 49:25, 57:23, 99:16, 99:17, 99:19 desirable [1] - 74:9 desired [2] - 34:9, 88:22 desperate [1] - 78:2 detail [3] - 21:2, 23:10, 23.11 detailed [2] - 21:19, 37:17 determine [3] - 29:9, 29:20, 37:5 determined [3] - 30:5, 63:21, 70:14 detrimental [1] - 91:23 Detroit [1] - 118:17

develop [1] - 36:1 development [1] -69:22 dictate [1] - 92:4 difference [1] - 76:18 differences [1] -104:18 different [4] - 33:20, 39:1, 61:14, 80:6 differently [1] - 104:21 dioxide [1] - 98:14 dire [1] - 71:11 direct [4] - 16:7, 61:7, 88:5, 92:13 directed [3] - 19:8, 34:7, 92:24 direction [2] - 104:2, 121:13 directly [6] - 13:12, 29:5, 39:14, 51:10, 91:17, 112:19 director [1] - 77:16 Director [4] - 2:4, 2:5, 24:22, 24:24 directs [3] - 7:21, 33:12, 88:15 disadvantage [1] -13:18 discarded [1] - 117:19 disconnect [2] -113:15, 114:11 discovered [1] - 97:25 discretionary [1] discusses [1] - 32:10 discussing [1] - 18:6 discussion [6] - 24:7, 35:18, 41:2, 43:1, 60:11, 118:1 diseases [1] - 101:21 disregard [1] - 89:24 disrespect [1] - 17:15 **disrupting** [1] - 76:9 disruptions [1] -76:24 distance [1] - 76:25 distillation [1] - 99:6 distract [1] - 17:16 districts [2] - 27:7, 85:18 diversification [1] -102:6 diversity [4] - 65:25, 66:9, 66:16, 67:1 divine [1] - 108:9 DNR [4] - 75:23, 75:24, 76:12, 85:16 Docket [4] - 1:14, 4:17, 19:6, 26:19 docket [7] - 4:19,

4:24, 5:3, 6:21, 6:24, 21:6, 81:13 document [7] - 9:4, 45:23, 69:6, 69:9, 69:12, 71:7, 117:6 documentation [1] -80:22 documentations [1] -113:24 documented [2] -20:4, 97:17 documenting [1] -77:23 documents [5] -10:21, 25:6, 25:18, 38:17, 96:10 dogs [8] - 60:14, 60:16, 86:3, 96:3, 96:4, 102:18, 106:25, 107:6 dollars [1] - 72:16 domestic [1] - 101:7 done [12] - 23:6, 47:8, 54:15, 57:5, 65:21, 66:12, 84:16, 84:19, 85:22, 115:11, 115:24 door [3] - 4:22, 7:3, 89:23

e-file [1] - 18:21 doors [1] - 106:20 e-mail [10] - 5:10, doubt [1] - 77:24 down [10] - 7:4, 20:22, 20:23, 67:7, 87:2, 43.23 87:25, 93:23, 101:8, e-mailed [1] - 5:12 104:7, 115:13 e-mails [1] - 43:25 download [1] - 102:9 E-r-i-c-k-s-o-n [1] downstairs [1] - 44:21 107:5 dozen [1] - 81:25 eager [1] - 20:17 draft [12] - 26:1, 34:19, early [3] - 50:15, 36:1, 36:2, 36:16,

45:12 dragonflies [1] - 67:15 draining [6] - 66:1, 66:6, 66:10, 66:16, 66:22, 67:5 dramatic [1] - 90:15 draw [1] - 8:2 dried [1] - 109:1

36:19, 39:25, 40:9,

40:20, 41:9, 43:12,

draw [1] - 8:2 dried [1] - 109:1 drink [15] - 54:17, 54:20, 54:25, 55:4, 55:5, 55:8, 55:9, 55:10, 55:11, 108:14, 108:15, 108:17 driver [1] - 67:20

**drop** [1] - 103:8 **drove** [1] - 23:19 **du** [7] - 51:8, 51:20,

51:23, 52:11, 53:11, 54:3, 93:15 dual [2] - 14:22, 26:4 due [2] - 50:15, 90:15 **Duluth** [9] - 47:11, 60:18, 60:23, 61:1, 64:15, 70:4, 78:18, 78:23, 118:13 Duluth/Superior [1] -73:25 duplication [3] -28:24, 84:20, 84:22 duration [1] - 62:2 during [14] - 8:9, 8:11, 9:2, 11:18, 15:23, 15:25, 19:12, 22:14, 22:19, 25:15, 26:4, 40:1, 41:16, 43:15 dust [2] - 63:7, 91:22 duties [2] - 112:10, 112:18 dwindling [1] - 102:5 dying [1] - 101:20

Ε

dysjunction[1] -

113:15

5:15, 5:16, 5:25, 6:3, 6:4, 18:22, 20:14, 53:19, 91:11 ears [2] - 56:10, 58:4 earth [1] - 67:14 easier [2] - 38:16, 67:25 easily [3] - 68:6, 68:23, 99:24 easy [1] - 56:7 eat [3] - 54:20, 54:25, 55:17 eats [1] - 55:11 EAW [32] - 29:23, 30:17, 30:19, 31:1, 31:13, 32:5, 32:6, 32:13, 33:21, 37:6, 38:20, 39:20, 40:3, 47:3, 49:11, 50:4, 50:7, 50:10, 74:10, 79:13, 79:16, 80:17, 84:4, 84:16, 84:17,

90:4, 98:19, 99:2, 99:18, 99:24, 104:18, 105:23 **EAW/EIS**[1] - 64:11 EAWs [5] - 33:13, 81:4. 103:22. 103:23, 105:8 echo [1] - 94:13 **ecological** [1] - 61:16 ecologist [2] - 111:18, 113:23 ecology [2] - 62:20, 92:3 eComment [2] - 6:6, 6:12 eComments [2] -5:17, 6:6 Economic [1] - 101:5 economic [5] - 32:11, 68:18, 101:1, 102:3, 102:4 economies [2] -100:21, 100:22 economy [4] - 94:14, 101:10, 101:14, 102:10 ecosystem[1] - 113:2 ecosystems [2] -67:13, 111:23 ecotourism [1] - 67:21 edited [1] - 40:18 edits [2] - 34:22, 34:23

**education** [2] - 59:18,

**effect** [2] - 8:7, 95:2

effects [10] - 28:7,

**effectively** [1] - 117:11

30:12, 31:15, 31:18,

68:20, 105:2, 105:10

32:2, 41:22, 56:24,

67:23

efficiency [2] - 87:11, 94:15 efficient [1] - 34:10 efforts [2] - 69:17, 88:9 eight [2] - 27:7, 27:9 EIS [25] - 29:24, 32:2, 32:5, 32:12, 37:7, 38:20, 49:15, 49:19, 50:10, 51:12, 51:20, 52:14, 56:9, 90:4, 94:3, 94:21, 98:20, 99:2, 99:9, 104:12, 104:18, 104:24, 105:22, 107:20, 107:21 **EISs** [5] - 33:13, 94:17, 103:22, 103:24, 105:8 either [10] - 13:24,

29:5, 31:13, 32:3, 51:25, 66:2, 66:18, 69:4, 83:13, 99:5 elected [4] - 58:17, 58:23, 59:15 electric [1] - 40:7 electronically [2] -5:12, 5:14 elevated [2] - 101:18, 101:20 eliminate [5] - 49:8, 84:20, 84:22, 88:9, 117:14 eliminated [2] - 84:24, 116:22 **eliminating** [1] - 28:24 Elmo [1] - 77:17 embark [1] - 78:4 **emerging** [1] - 114:15 **emphasize** [1] - 93:22 employment [1] -32:11 empty [1] - 11:5 enact [1] - 20:4 enacted [1] - 42:21 Enbridge [5] - 55:4, 58:25, 59:11, 72:14, 115:25 encountering [1] -61:21 encourage [6] - 23:8, 28:11, 69:17, 108:11, 109:7, 110:7 encouraging [1] -106:10 end [8] - 7:5, 18:4, 19:23, 94:4, 94:8, 95:19, 120:16 ends [2] - 31:7, 120:15 energy [2] - 40:14, 46:5 enforces [2] - 108:6, 108:7 engagement [3] -27:2, 35:21, 35:25 engineer [5] - 79:2, 79:7, 79:9, 82:24, 83.23 **Engineers** [4] - 79:8, 84:13, 85:1 engineers [2] - 80:8, 80:20 enjoying [1] - 62:24 ensure [9] - 7:24, 7:25, 9:20, 14:15, 15:12, 17:16, 20:16, 40:18, 69:20 ensuring [1] - 28:1 entail [1] - 61:12

enter [3] - 14:7, 17:23,

70:2 entered [1] - 83:1 entire [2] - 49:1, 49:10 entirely [1] - 49:8 entitled [2] - 1:18, 8.18 entrance [1] - 7:5 environment [17] -29:6, 54:4, 56:20, 56:21, 68:12, 69:2, 77:5, 77:8, 77:10, 87:17, 90:10, 93:3, 106:11, 114:18, 115:10, 117:4, 117:23 environmental [107] -26:22, 27:14, 27:15, 27:18, 27:25, 28:2, 28:7, 28:11, 28:14, 28:16, 28:23, 29:1, 29:2, 29:10, 29:22, 29:23, 30:5, 30:7, 30:12, 30:17, 31:2, 31:15, 31:18, 31:19, 31:21, 31:25, 32:2, 32:11, 34:3, 34:9, 34:12. 34:17. 37:5. 37:7, 37:8, 38:4, 38:17, 39:3, 40:12, 41:1, 41:13, 41:22, 42:7, 42:24, 45:8, 46:9, 46:11, 46:17, 46:19, 46:21, 48:23, 54:9, 56:19, 59:2, 62:5, 62:11, 62:19, 63:14, 63:16, 63:19, 63:20, 65:17, 65:22, 66:11, 67:4, 67:22, 68:4, 68:15, 68:20, 69:21, 74:10, 79:3, 79:10, 80:21, 86:20, 86:21, 86:24, 87:4, 87:5, 87:12, 88:7, 88:9, 88:25, 89:20, 89:24, 90:3, 90:12, 90:14, 91:1, 91:6, 91:23, 92:3, 92:5, 92:14, 92:17, 93:2, 93:24, 96:22, 96:23, 97:15, 100:3, 100:24, 100:25, 102:3, 104:3, 104:4, 111:24 ENVIRONMENTAL [2] - 1:4, 2:3 Environmental [35] -1:10, 2:4, 4:12, 4:13, 7:11, 7:12, 24:23, 27:12, 33:6, 34:2, 47:21, 62:6, 62:8,

65:14, 68:3, 68:8, 68:13, 68:19, 68:22, 68:24, 69:4, 69:7, 69:11, 69:14, 69:16, 69:19, 71:9, 73:7, 86:19, 87:20, 87:21, 88:6, 103:14, 103:17, 112:11 environmentally [4] -62:4, 62:17, 89:16, 92:19 environments [1] -62.9 envisioned [1] - 42:18 **EPA**[1] - 95:5 EQB [54] - 6:3, 6:5, 7:13, 8:14, 9:3, 9:15, 10:1, 10:2, 10:3, 12:2, 18:23, 19:3, 20:1, 22:3, 24:16, 24:21, 24:22, 24:24, 25:2, 26:21, 27:4, 27:5, 28:13, 33:2, 35:25, 36:8, 36:15, 36:18, 39:10, 39:11, 43:11, 43:14, 46:15, 48:2, 48:23, 61:25, 87:7, 87:23, 88:2, 93:25, 96:21, 103:21, 112:2, 112:12, 113:1, 113:18, 114:11, 114:23, 115:6, 116:9, 116:18, 116:25, 117:7, 117:20 **EQB's** [1] - 10:14 equal [1] - 61:20 **EQUITY** [1] - 2:3 equivalent [1] - 72:23 eras [1] - 91:13 **erickson** [1] - 107:3 **Erickson** [2] - 3:15, 102:14 ERICKSON [4] -107:4, 107:9, 107:22, 109:25 Erik [4] - 24:23, 26:15, 27:11, 96:6 **ERIK** [1] - 2:5 erosion [2] - 61:17, 67:18 error [1] - 40:21 ERT[1] - 86:10 escalate [1] - 96:1 especially [6] - 26:25, 38:16, 58:15, 65:16,

75:17, 111:20

Esquire [1] - 2:7

essential [1] - 67:16

essentially [1] - 81:12 establish [4] - 33:13, 33:19, 78:12, 89:21 established [7] -33:21, 36:8, 40:16, 62:4, 90:5, 90:23, 91.5 establishment [1] -62:7 et [1] - 44:17 evacuate [2] - 64:8, 74:4 evacuated [2] - 64:5, 64:6 evacuation [2] - 64:8, 74:2 evaluate [1] - 64:13 evaluated [1] - 29:9 evaluates [1] - 30:21 **evaluating** [1] - 41:19 evidence [4] - 19:15, 98:17, 98:21, 114:21 exacerbate [1] - 63:17 exactly [1] - 89:7 example [5] - 38:6, 38:8, 38:11, 49:19, 91:10 examples [2] - 37:25, 63.1 excavation [4] - 66:2, 66:5, 66:18, 66:21 exceeds [1] - 32:4 except [1] - 115:24 exceptional [4] - 63:2, 63:9, 91:15, 91:17 excuse [3] - 10:19, 45:14, 112:12 **executive** [1] - 77:16 **exemplary** [1] - 112:3 exempt [2] - 22:5, 112:2 **exempted** [1] - 83:20 exemptions [2] -33:14, 43:6 exercised [1] - 103:11 exhibit [6] - 14:8, 14:10, 17:20, 17:23, 33:1, 120:10 Exhibit [7] - 26:1, 32:25, 34:3, 37:12, 60:10, 60:11, 102:13 exhibits [22] - 5:5, 10:3, 10:5, 10:8, 11:13, 12:2, 24:18, 25:1, 25:2, 25:3, 25:5, 25:11, 25:25, 26:8, 26:9, 26:11, 36:8, 37:12, 70:2, 70:8, 119:13, 119:15 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 2:14

existential [1] -113:19 existing [15] - 42:17, 62:14, 68:25, 76:22, 79:14, 79:23, 80:5, 81:6, 83:24, 89:3, 89:7, 89:10, 99:15, 115:23 exists [1] - 99:15 expand [1] - 91:4 **expanded** [1] - 105:12 expanding [1] -105:10 **expansion** [7] - 49:13, 49:16, 50:9, 64:12, 98:9, 99:2, 105:7 **Expansion** [1] - 105:5 expect [4] - 14:4, 20:9, 52:4, 77:7 expected [2] - 11:2, 12:1 experience [2] -35:23, 98:3 experiences [1] - 8:16 experiencing [1] -114:2 expertise [5] - 8:16, 30:23, 35:24, 39:6, 41:18 **experts** [1] - 75:12 explain [2] - 17:6, 69:1 **explaining** [1] - 68:23 explanation [1] - 57:4 exploded [1] - 64:19 **explosion** [4] - 54:5, 64:6. 64:17. 74:1 exponentially [1] -76:7 expose [2] - 74:7, 91:21 **express** [2] - 9:13, 111:4 **expressing** [1] - 71:2 extended [1] - 18:11 extension [1] - 20:11 extensive [2] - 35:21, 85:2 extent [2] - 65:1, 88.16 externalities [1] -101:17 externality [1] -105.15

**extinction** [2] - 114:3,

extinguished [1] -

114:10

57:17

exist [1] - 59:3

existed [1] - 62:20

existence [1] - 114:3

extracted [1] - 78:15 extracting [1] - 78:8 extraction [2] - 42:19, 78:4 extricate [1] - 91:24

#### F

facilitate [2] - 69:18, 87:16 facilities [8] - 40:8, 49:23, 50:9, 94:17, 94:18, 94:19, 94:22, 94:23 facility [5] - 38:13, 50:2, 50:4, 99:23, 100:1 fact [4] - 51:23, 77:24, 90:13, 112:15 facts [1] - 9:10 failure [2] - 59:10, 113:15 failures [1] - 112:24 fair [1] - 7:19 fairly [1] - 15:11 Fairman [1] - 85:24 fairman [3] - 85:24, 86:6 fairness [1] - 7:25 fall [4] - 56:10, 58:3, 84:15, 99:24 falls [1] - 84:18 familiar [3] - 100:20, 103:19, 103:20 far [3] - 77:6, 82:10, 105:11 farming [1] - 25:21 fax [1] - 18:21 feasible [1] - 88:5 feature [1] - 58:7 February [5] - 25:17, 36:5, 36:20, 36:22, 40:2 federal [3] - 37:21, 52:18, 71:25 feeds [1] - 91:17 feelings [2] - 107:14, 111:5 fell [1] - 84:6 fellow [2] - 101:19, 112:25 few [2] - 96:6, 103:24 figure [2] - 47:22, 53:22 file [3] - 6:6, 18:21, 19:9 filed [2] - 19:11, 83:3 filling [6] - 66:1, 66:6, 66:10, 66:17, 66:21,

67:5 film [1] - 8:5 filmed [1] - 8:8 filming [1] - 8:11 filter [1] - 67:18 finally [2] - 35:6, 117:17 financial [1] - 62:2 fine [2] - 24:6, 107:6 fire [2] - 49:18, 91:12 first [32] - 4:18, 12:17, 17:5, 17:6, 18:10, 20:3, 21:7, 24:11, 25:5. 27:4. 34:22. 35:16, 37:25, 40:7, 44:7, 44:18, 44:23, 44:24, 48:18, 51:3, 60:22, 62:14, 75:1, 75:15, 79:1, 93:14, 96:9, 97:18, 100:17, 107:9, 107:18 Fish [1] - 57:2 fish [4] - 54:21, 55:1, 55:9, 71:16 Fishing [1] - 57:7 five [12] - 13:19, 35:16, 39:14, 39:17, 58:18, 59:12, 59:15, 79:18, 79:25, 80:15, 84:2, 116:21 five-day [2] - 39:14, 39:17 fix [1] - 88:12 flooded [2] - 66:3, 66:19 floodplains [1] - 80:2 flowing [1] - 109:2 flows [1] - 105:13 fluoride [12] - 49:21, 54:6, 54:12, 64:4, 64:18, 73:23, 74:6, 97:25, 98:23, 99:11, 108:16, 120:1 fluorides [1] - 64:13 focus [4] - 14:6, 20:3, 32:6, 109:12 focused [1] - 81:11 Foldesi [2] - 79:7, 79:9 folks [8] - 24:2, 50:14, 70:4, 71:5, 82:8, 107:2, 111:20, 118:15 following [2] - 36:1, 80:20 follows [1] - 9:23 Fond [7] - 51:8, 51:20, 51:23, 52:11, 53:11, 54:3, 93:15 food [1] - 55:17

fool [1] - 59:6 foot [1] - 76:13 footprint [3] - 105:11, 105:18, 105:24 FOR [1] - 1:3 force [2] - 97:13, 117:13 foregoing [2] - 121:5, 121:13 foremost [1] - 20:3 Forest [2] - 89:18, 90:19 forester [2] - 75:23, 75:24 forget [1] - 109:23 forgot [2] - 100:7, 118:6 **form** [5] - 30:8, 30:13, 30:19, 31:1, 45:1 formal [1] - 45:4 formation [1] - 8:17 forth [3] - 27:10, 73:19, 88:19 fortunate [1] - 107:25 forward [5] - 53:6, 53:7, 55:24, 106:13, 117:22 fossil [5] - 94:23, 94:24, 104:14, 107:15, 108:21 four [1] - 35:11 Foushee [1] - 93:8 free [4] - 8:6, 8:20, 10:9, 21:15 French [1] - 82:18 freshwater [1] - 54:12 Friday [1] - 75:15

91:21

87.19

68:24

furthermore [2] -

67:17, 69:18

future [3] - 29:18, 55:2, 56:16 G G-r-e-g-g [1] - 65:10 gallons [3] - 50:1, 50:5, 100:2 gas [4] - 73:23, 74:6, 94:22. 94:23 gather [2] - 24:9, 71:16 gathering [1] - 50:19 geese [1] - 55:11 general [6] - 58:20, 84:15, 84:19, 86:18, 87:6, 113:2 General [4] - 2:8, 9:25, 24:15, 24:21 General's [3] - 2:8, 95:9, 95:16 generally [2] - 13:6, 87:12 generating [1] - 40:7 generations [2] -54:23, 55:2 gentlemen [1] - 77:3 George [3] - 3:7, 77:13, 77:15 germane [1] - 92:25 gesture [1] - 17:4 Giuseppe [1] - 47:20 given [12] - 4:25, 14:17, 23:20, 23:22, 33:5, 44:20, 73:21, 74:2, 76:13, 86:19, 87:7, 111:20 friend [1] - 14:20 glad [1] - 106:6 friends [1] - 71:19 GLIFWC [3] - 57:2, frogs [1] - 55:9 57:7, 72:1 front [3] - 13:3, 13:8, globe [1] - 114:3 106:19 goals [1] - 112:18 fuel [3] - 94:24, God's [1] - 55:15 104:14, 108:21 qovernance [1] fuels [2] - 94:23, 51:24 107:16 Governing [2] - 1:10, fugitive [2] - 63:7, 4:12 government [29] fulfill [3] - 33:25, 45:9, 28:5, 29:13, 30:1, 30:3, 30:9, 30:13, fulfilled [2] - 9:6, 20:5 30:21, 30:24, 31:3, full [7] - 13:24, 39:12, 31:5, 31:8, 34:15, 101:9, 106:8, 35:1, 39:2, 41:17, 106:12, 110:1 51:15, 51:18, 57:18, fullest [1] - 88:16 57:19, 58:12, 71:10, fully [1] - 110:7 72:1, 74:3, 78:12, function [2] - 61:13,

57:18 government-togovernment[1] -58:12 Governmental [2] -116:11, 116:13 governmental [6] -28:16, 28:18, 29:4, 30:20, 35:22, 39:2 governments [3] -57:10, 58:24, 68:7 governor [2] - 116:25, 117:1 Governor [1] - 49:1 **governs** [1] - 72:13 grab [1] - 119:14 grade [2] - 83:24, 110:22 grammar [2] - 34:23, 38:10 granddaughter [2] -64:5, 64:9 grandmother [1] -56:16 grateful [3] - 103:4, 103:10, 111:5 Great [2] - 57:2, 57:7 greater [7] - 39:6, 40:25, 41:12, 42:23, 61:12, 72:18, 74:9 greatly [1] - 72:10 green [1] - 37:15 GREGG [6] - 64:23, 65:4, 65:8, 65:14, 67:24, 69:24 Gregg [6] - 3:3, 64:21, 65:10, 70:9 gross [1] - 101:7 group [5] - 8:14, 14:2, 14:3, 23:23, 45:11 groups [1] - 7:16 guarantying [1] -109:18 guess [1] - 6:4 guidance [1] - 23:3 guide [3] - 45:22, 72:22, 89:22 guides [1] - 68:23 guiding [1] - 94:15 guys [16] - 52:10, 52:20, 53:12, 56:17, 56:22, 57:5, 57:24, 58:8, 58:9, 58:14,

59:9, 59:15, 59:18, 72:12, 110:19, 116:9 н

H-a-b-e-r-m-a-n [1] -

60:25 H-o-l-l-a-n-d-e-r [1] -100:8 HABERMAN[1] -60.24 Haberman [2] - 3:2, 60.25 **habitat** [1] - 67:14 half [2] - 54:6, 81:25 hall [1] - 7:5 halls [1] - 114:19 hallway [4] - 4:23, 7:4, 8:11, 10:9 hand [1] - 118:6 HAND[1] - 121:21 handedly [1] - 116:19 handle [1] - 70:7 handout [5] - 8:18, 18:18, 37:11, 45:22, 57:13 handouts [2] - 20:19, 21:1 hands [1] - 81:18 handshake [1] - 57:12 happy [1] - 24:2 hard [4] - 59:8, 71:24, 84:9, 101:4 harmed [1] - 64:17 harmonization [1] -93:15 hatching [2] - 76:9, 76:24 Hazardous [1] -115:19 hazardous [5] - 49:22, 50:5, 99:13, 99:23, 100:2 head [2] - 17:3, 101:12 headed [1] - 79:6 healthy [1] - 100:22 hear [7] - 13:9, 21:24, 24:14, 37:14, 47:20, 79:21 heard [10] - 7:23, 8:2, 14:5, 14:17, 17:2, 48:14, 58:22, 58:23, 120:11 Hearing [4] - 1:17, 8:19, 120:24, 121:4 hearing [53] - 4:10, 4:19, 4:22, 7:17, 8:5, 8:10, 8:12, 8:25, 9:22, 9:24, 10:4, 10:7, 10:23, 11:13, 12:5. 12:10. 12:11. 12:13, 12:14, 12:15, 12:20, 13:7, 14:11, 14:13, 15:15, 15:16, 16:5, 16:23, 18:3, 18:5, 18:9, 22:15,

82:23, 92:18, 94:9,

government-to [1] -

94:10

25:12, 33:1, 36:8, 36:21, 36:23, 37:12, 43:18, 43:19, 43:24, 58:4, 63:24, 82:2, 95:15, 95:18, 110:12, 112:22, 113:7, 120:13, 120:21, 121:14, 121:17 **HEARINGS**[1] - 1:2 Hearings [3] - 4:6, 4:16, 7:10 hearings [9] - 4:18, 7:15, 7:19, 7:22, 8:22, 18:7, 18:10, 18:13, 56:12 heart [1] - 57:9 heat [1] - 60:15 heaters [1] - 76:14 held [9] - 24:7, 36:9, 36:12, 36:13, 36:19, 45:6, 75:6, 76:25, 118:1 hello [2] - 47:19, 107:4 help [6] - 16:13, 37:3, 37:5, 38:4, 67:18, 100:5 helpful [6] - 9:15, 14:5, 16:9, 17:21, 21:22, 23:12 helps [1] - 28:10 hence [1] - 93:25 hereby [1] - 121:3 high [4] - 29:1, 30:18, 76:13, 110:22 high-pressure [1] -76:13 highest [1] - 91:15 highlighted [4] -37:15, 37:19, 39:21, 39:24 highlighting [1] - 42:3 highlights [2] - 8:23, 14:6 highly [3] - 64:14, 85:18, 85:19 highway [2] - 80:19, 83:23 hiking [1] - 62:23 Historical [1] - 61:10 historically [3] -63:11, 90:23, 91:20 **history** [1] - 86:24 holding [1] - 100:1 Hollander [9] - 3:12, 86:1, 86:7, 93:6, 95:22, 95:24, 102:25, 119:14, 120:10

HOLLANDER [8] -

95:25, 102:17, 118:6, 119:11, 119:16, 119:23, 120:4, 120:8 home [1] - 100:16 honesty [1] - 71:20 Honor [29] - 5:24, 6:20, 10:17, 21:18, 23:3, 23:15, 24:19, 24:25, 26:10, 26:15, 47:19, 52:24, 55:21, 65:8, 70:3, 70:21, 74:16, 74:22, 75:11, 77:14, 86:13, 92:9, 100:14, 102:11, 102:22, 111:13, 113:10, 114:14, 118:23 honor [1] - 77:2 hope [5] - 20:19, 23:12, 56:12, 56:22, 57:4 horsefly [1] - 67:17 hot [1] - 76:14 hours [3] - 45:16, 96:10. 96:11 house [1] - 36:13 housekeeping [4] -7:2, 34:4, 114:17, 114:18 huge [1] - 54:9 human [2] - 107:23, 108:8 humankind [1] - 98:16 humans [1] - 109:10 hunt [1] - 71:16 Husky [8] - 54:5, 55:2, 64:2, 64:3, 64:11, 94:19, 97:23, 98:3 hydrogen [12] - 49:20, 54:5, 54:12, 64:4, 64:13, 64:18, 73:23, 74:6, 97:25, 98:23,

lan [1] - 6:9 IBRAHIM [3] - 2:7, 24:19, 26:13 Ibrahim [5] - 2:15, 9:25, 11:24, 24:15, 24:20 ID [2] - 1:11, 26:18 idea [2] - 10:6, 98:18

99:11, 120:1

idea [2] - 10:6, 98:16 identification [1] -104:19

identified [2] - 27:18, 30:13

identifies [1] - 32:6 identify [2] - 14:2, 78:13 identifying [1] - 30:25 ignored [1] - 76:19 ill [1] - 72:25 immediately [1] -114:23 impact [23] - 21:20, 29:5, 29:23, 31:19, 31:25, 37:8, 42:7, 51:15, 56:19, 58:2, 61:12, 63:19, 65:20, 65:24, 66:14, 71:14, 71:15, 73:17, 84:1, 84:2, 104:3, 105:17 impacted [1] - 74:1 impacting [1] - 65:18 impacts [24] - 32:8, 32:12, 41:3, 43:2, 45:8, 61:16, 61:18, 62:11, 62:15, 63:14, 63:16, 63:17, 63:20, 68:15, 68:18, 76:8, 79:17, 81:2, 81:10, 90:11, 91:6, 91:23, 104:24, 105:14 impetus [1] - 69:8 implement [5] - 27:21, 39:3, 84:9, 86:21, 104:9 implementation [2] -68:24, 104:8 implemented [1] -27:15 implementing [2] -

111:19
improve [5] - 34:23,
35:12, 38:1, 38:9,
68:9
improvement [2] 80:9, 112:3
improving [1] - 39:23
in-depth [1] - 31:19
inappropriate [2] 46:20, 48:25
include [15] - 19:5,
28:4, 31:1, 33:15,
35:17, 38:2, 39:19,
39:22, 41:20, 49:16,
53:3, 65:18, 66:24,

35:24, 103:21

110:3

importance [1] - 95:2

important [11] - 13:21,

16:25, 30:11, 35:20,

44:18, 49:9, 49:24,

64:1, 67:13, 109:10,

impressed [1] - 103:5

impressions [1] -

75:4, 101:17 included [7] - 30:25, 34:21, 35:11, 40:3, 40:19, 42:8, 59:16 includes [13] - 25:8, 25:11. 25:15. 25:17. 25:20, 25:25, 26:5, 27:25, 34:22, 37:2, 68:14, 68:17, 68:20 inclusive [1] - 58:1 inconsistent [1] -39:15 incorporating [1] -105:16 incorrect [1] - 22:11 increase [7] - 34:14, 61:6, 61:18, 61:23, 61:24, 80:17, 90:15 increased [2] - 61:13, 91:21 increasing [4] - 50:9, 76:6, 98:18, 104:12 increasingly [1] -106:1 incredulous [1] - 94:2 independent [4] -7:11, 7:15, 48:24,

Indian [4] - 57:2, 57:7, 57:11, 57:15 indicate [2] - 20:12, 31:14 indicated [1] - 41:19 Indicating) [1] - 21:16 indigenous [2] -110:8, 116:12 **indirectly** [1] - 29:5 individuals [1] - 7:16 industry [7] - 90:16, 94:5, 101:1, 101:8, 101:23, 108:21, 109:3 inform [1] - 31:23 informal [2] - 15:11,

113:11

information [29] -4:21, 5:5, 5:18, 6:25, 8:2, 21:3, 28:5, 30:8, 30:19, 30:21, 30:23, 30:25, 31:1, 31:9, 31:14, 31:23, 35:7, 38:16, 41:17, 41:23, 42:3, 43:14, 53:4, 69:13, 84:11, 92:18, 94:3, 94:7, 98:12

36:11

94:3, 94:7, 98:12 **informational** [2] - 36:9, 43:17

informed [3] - 87:6, 92:19, 103:13 infrastructure [7] - 104:14, 105:10, 105:12, 105:21, 105:24, 106:11, 107:15

109:17 inherent [1] - 57:16 initial [7] - 18:14, 18:16, 19:12, 72:6, 72:24, 103:12, 120:15

infrastructures [1] -

initiate [2] - 27:20, 49:14

injustice [1] - 59:2 input [3] - 32:15, 35:22, 36:3

installation [1] - 99:5 instead [3] - 5:17, 95:4, 99:16

95:4, 99:16 instruction [1] -113:12

instructions [1] -112:9

intend [1] - 65:4 Intended [1] - 61:10 intended [7] - 34:24, 38:1, 38:9, 40:25,

instructs [1] - 112:15

41:12, 68:4, 104:10 intensive [1] - 94:24 intential 42:4, 97:4

intent [4] - 42:4, 87:4, 87:19, 105:25 intention [2] - 103:20,

104:1 intentionally [1] -

61:24 interaction [1] - 106:2 interest [5] - 8:4, 62:9, 64:3, 92:20, 93:3 interested [4] - 8:1, 36:2, 75:19, 118:15

Intergovernmental [1] - 115:11 interpret [1] - 37:4 interpretation [2] -

22:12, 89:14 interpreted [5] - 35:3, 71:12, 71:13, 80:6, 88:17

interpreting [1] - 35:13

interrupt [1] - 17:11 interruption [2] -10:20, 88:12

interview [1] - 8:8 intolerant [1] - 91:25 introduce [7] - 9:25, 10:1, 10:4, 24:15,

24:17, 25:1, 65:7 **introducing** [1] -

19:15 INTRODUCTION [1] -2:14 introduction [1] -24.18 introductions [1] -11:19 introductory [1] - 9:23 invasive [2] - 76:16, 76:24 **Invasives** [1] - 76:9 invite [1] - 24:16 involved [3] - 28:17, 49:2, 68:12 involvement [1] -69:18 involving [1] - 42:18 IPC [2] - 98:12, 114:8 iron [1] - 100:17 isolation [2] - 78:14, 94:20 issue [8] - 18:5, 21:21, 45:19, 46:3, 47:17, 49:3, 82:25, 88:20 issues [8] - 9:11, 21:20, 32:7, 39:7, 93:2, 103:18, 113:2, 114.13 item [14] - 42:15, 61:4, 62:12, 65:16, 76:5, 79:12. 80:12. 83:18. 83:19, 89:1, 89:6, 89:12, 90:22, 91:2 items [7] - 42:16, 75:3, 87:8, 87:15, 87:16, 88:4, 92:10 itself [4] - 61:25, 90:20, 94:8, 112:2

#### J

James [1] - 57:5 January [2] - 36:5, 45:12 jeopardizing [1] -108:22 JESSE [1] - 70:25 **Jesse** [4] - 3:5, 70:16, 70:17, 70:24 Jim [1] - 79:7 **JO** [1] - 60:25 **Jo** [2] - 3:2, 60:25 job [2] - 9:17, 9:20 jogs [1] - 7:9 John [2] - 3:11, 93:11 JOHN [1] - 93:13 join [1] - 93:14 joined [2] - 24:21, 48:7

Judge [3] - 1:19, 4:5, 96:25 JUDGE [115] - 4:3, 5:11, 6:2, 6:18, 6:22, 10:18, 10:25, 11:9, 11:12, 11:18, 11:22, 20:23, 21:1, 21:13, 21:17, 22:1, 22:13, 22:18, 23:4, 23:24, 24:6, 24:8, 24:13, 26:11, 32:23, 44:2, 44:5, 46:25, 47:10, 47:15, 47:22, 48:1, 48:4, 48:8, 48:13, 50:13, 50:20, 50:23, 51:1, 51:3, 51:5, 52:22, 53:18, 53:24, 55:20, 55:23, 60:2, 60:9, 60:22, 64:20, 64:24, 65:6, 65:13, 67:6, 67:11, 69:23, 70:1, 70:7, 70:12, 70:15, 70:17, 70:19, 70:22, 74:13, 74:19, 74:23, 75:8, 77:12, 78:17, 78:22, 79:19, 81:15, 81:21, 82:7, 82:10, 82:15, 82:21, 83:3, 83:8, 85:6, 85:12, 85:15, 85:23, 86:6, 87:24, 93:5, 93:8, 93:11, 95:13, 95:21, 95:24, 100:12, 102:12, 102:19, 106:18, 107:8, 107:21, 109:24, 111:7, 111:11, 115:2, 117:24, 118:3, 118:8, 118:12, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:3, 119:7, 119:12, 119:21, 120:2, 120:6, 120:9 July [11] - 18:15, 18:24, 19:10, 19:19, 19:21, 19:23, 19:25, 120:15. 120:16. 120:19, 120:20 June [8] - 4:19, 18:9, 18:14, 36:12, 36:13, 36:24, 36:25, 120:13 **JUNE** [1] - 121:22 **Junior** [1] - 57:6

jurisdictional [1] -

justice [1] - 104:16

39:6

judge [3] - 5:6, 18:3,

19.8

justified [1] - 62:4 justifies [1] - 87:15

## Κ

Kate [1] - 85:23 kathy [1] - 95:22 **KATHY**[1] - 100:8 Kathy [7] - 3:12, 86:1, 86:2, 93:5, 102:25, 105:5, 120:10 keep [5] - 15:14, 16:25, 18:3, 21:5, 49:24 keeping [2] - 99:14, 110:1 key [1] - 12:7 kids [1] - 110:21 kind [11] - 14:22, 50:18. 52:2. 56:11. 59:21, 61:22, 72:5, 97:25, 110:10, 115:7, 115:16 kinds [1] - 106:16 knock [3] - 106:21, 106:24, 106:25 knowing [1] - 21:22 knowledge [1] - 73:24 known [3] - 63:5, 89:16, 113:17 knows [1] - 100:5 KROGSTAD[1] -118:22

### L

L-a-n-g-e [1] - 65:12 L-e-g-a-l-e-c-t-r-i-c [1] - 44:15 Lac [6] - 51:9, 51:20, 51:23, 52:12, 53:11, 54:3 Lac's [1] - 93:15 laced [1] - 78:6 lack [2] - 63:9, 100:21 Lafayette [2] - 1:22, 107:2 Lake [2] - 56:4, 77:17 lake [2] - 54:8, 91:19 Lakes [3] - 57:2, 57:7, 118:17 lakes [1] - 63:4 land [3] - 71:8, 71:21, 75:4 landowners [1] - 81:8 lands [3] - 52:7, 58:11, 75:5 Lange [4] - 3:4, 65:11,

67:6, 70:9 LANGE [4] - 65:11, 66:23, 67:9, 67:12 language [29] - 34:23, 34:25, 35:12, 36:3, 36:6. 36:11. 36:14. 37:10, 37:19, 39:25, 40:10, 41:5, 41:6, 41:9, 42:13, 43:4, 47:6, 48:21, 49:15, 73:21, 79:15, 89:1, 89:6, 89:12, 89:21, 98:6, 99:14, 99:15 large [1] - 67:19 larger [4] - 99:21, 105:17, 105:24, 106:17 last [14] - 20:10, 35:11, 45:15, 48:11, 51:2, 51:3, 55:13, 78:25, 94:3, 99:25, 101:9, 101:24, 109:19, 115:13 LauraSue [2] - 1:19, law [15] - 9:7, 18:2, 52:7. 71:21. 93:18. 96:17, 97:2, 97:7, 97:13, 104:9, 108:6, 110:10, 110:24, 117:13 Law [3] - 1:19, 4:5, 96:24 lawfully [1] - 57:14 laws [15] - 33:17, 69:10, 71:12, 88:17, 93:16, 103:21, 104:1, 107:14, 108:11, 108:12, 109:8, 109:22, 112:4, 114:25 Laws [1] - 33:22 lead [1] - 108:2 leader [5] - 69:21, 77:4, 77:5, 77:6 leading [1] - 63:3 leakage [1] - 94:25 leaking [2] - 115:22, 115:23 learned [1] - 35:23 least [8] - 13:25, 23:19, 24:10, 71:22, 76:6, 76:21, 97:5, 97:17 leave [6] - 17:20, 57:4, 59:17, 59:19, 70:6, 70:12 leaves [1] - 89:23 Leech [1] - 56:4 left [10] - 7:4, 7:6,

15:4, 15:6, 59:1, 76:10, 78:18, 82:10, 93:10, 102:16 lefts [1] - 7:8 legal [3] - 9:6, 56:6, 59:19 Legalectric [1] - 44:15 legislative [2] - 15:16, **legislature** [8] - 7:21, 8:22, 40:16, 87:9, 88:15, 92:24, 94:5, 95:17 Legislature [1] - 34:7 length [3] - 61:13, 61:19, 61:23 less [10] - 50:2, 50:5, 65:1, 65:2, 73:3, 74:12, 99:20, 100:1, 101:13. 114:10 lessons [1] - 35:23 letter [2] - 82:25, 83:7 **letting** [2] - 82:19, 116:23 level [4] - 29:1, 30:18, 89:19, 98:16 levels [1] - 74:3 leverage [1] - 115:25 **LEVI** [1] - 65:10 Levi [4] - 3:3, 64:21, 65:10. 70:9 LGU [2] - 82:23, 85:4 lieu [1] - 103:22 life [2] - 55:7, 100:20 likelihood [1] - 61:21 limit [3] - 49:3, 61:7, 104:13 limitations [2] - 23:25, 24:3 limited [2] - 22:21, 23:5 limiting [1] - 48:16 **LIN** [1] - 48:12 line [10] - 4:25, 13:4, 19:6, 55:7, 75:1, 76:5, 76:21, 94:3, 94:6, 115:23 linear [1] - 61:11 linked [1] - 19:5 links [3] - 69:5, 69:11, 96.12 list [6] - 35:10, 68:10, 81:22, 82:3, 111:12, 118:4 listed [4] - 13:7, 75:3, 112:17, 115:18 listening [3] - 55:19, 63:22, 118:15 litter [1] - 77:11 live [2] - 60:25, 100:16

lived [2] - 54:16, 98:3 livelihood [1] - 109:15 lives [1] - 64:16 **lobby** [1] - 72:15 local [5] - 15:16, 29:13, 51:15, 68:7, 82:23 located [2] - 12:11, 77:17 location [1] - 4:21 locations [9] - 13:13, 13:17, 13:19, 21:11, 44:10, 78:19, 111:8, 118:5 lock [1] - 106:20 logging [2] - 76:14, 89:17 look [20] - 10:9, 10:12, 10:15, 16:3, 19:16, 23:10, 37:18, 46:13, 52:17, 83:15, 99:10, 102:5, 106:4, 106:13, 107:23, 113:12, 113:13, 116:12, 116:16, 118:3 looked [3] - 54:23, 85:19, 108:13 looking [5] - 44:23, 85:3, 85:4, 105:23, 109:7 **lookout** [1] - 91:12 looks [1] - 47:2 loss [4] - 65:24, 66:8, 66:15, 105:2 losses [1] - 66:25 lost [1] - 64:16 loud [1] - 17:2 Louis [3] - 79:4, 79:6, 83:10 love [2] - 86:4, 96:3 low [3] - 63:10, 63:11, 91:20 lower [2] - 51:24, 76:25 lowering [2] - 65:16, 84:3 lung [1] - 101:21

### M

M-a-t-t-i-s-o-n [1] 111:15
M-u-l-l-e-r [1] - 82:4
M-u-n-t-e-r [1] - 93:13
mail [14] - 5:10, 5:15,
5:16, 5:20, 5:25, 6:3,
6:4, 18:20, 18:22,
20:14, 43:23, 72:22

Mail [1] - 5:15 mailed [3] - 5:12, 6:8, 6:9 mailing [1] - 25:12 mails [1] - 43:25 main [5] - 79:22, 82:2, 102:1, 104:10, 104:17 maintain [1] - 28:10 maintaining [1] -94:11 maintenance [2] -89:19, 90:21 maior [8] - 49:16. 67:20, 98:22, 99:3, 99:4, 104:18, 104:24, 105:1 majority [1] - 90:4 Management [1] -25:23 manager [2] - 79:3, 89:23 mandate [1] - 45:10 Mandatory [1] - 34:1 mandatory [26] -26:18, 29:24, 32:4, 33:13, 37:6, 38:3, 39:21, 40:3, 40:20, 42:6, 45:25, 49:11, 50:10, 61:4, 64:11, 79:13, 86:15, 89:20, 90:2, 92:12, 94:17, 94:21. 104:12. 105:8, 105:22 MAPA[1] - 22:8 March [1] - 36:10 mark [5] - 14:9, 60:3, 60:12, 70:1, 102:13 market [1] - 105:16 Marshall [2] - 118:21, 118:22 Mary [1] - 48:11 matches [1] - 117:16 material [2] - 92:3, 105:13 materials [6] - 49:22, 50:6, 78:14, 99:13, 99:23, 100:2 Materials [1] - 115:20 math [1] - 101:12 Matter [2] - 1:9, 4:11 matter [9] - 1:18, 4:15,

6:11, 16:17, 20:2,

matters [1] - 19:15

Mattison [4] - 3:16.

111:12, 111:14

MATTISON [12] -

10:17, 10:19, 11:4,

121:5

52:17, 81:14, 86:21,

11:8, 11:11, 21:16, 21:18, 22:3, 22:17, 22:20, 23:15, 111:13 mean [7] - 37:16, 37:20, 46:13, 46:15, 51:19, 89:9, 112:13 meaning [2] - 22:10, 38:8 means [5] - 18:15, 66:14, 78:7, 78:9, 105.17 meant [3] - 19:13, 31:22, 80:5 meantime [1] - 102:20 meet [3] - 28:22, 39:17, 96:7 meeting [7] - 15:16, 15:23, 36:15, 36:18, 45:12, 103:5, 103:14 meetings [6] - 36:9, 45:6, 45:15, 49:5, 49:7. 117:16 megawatts [3] -40:15, 40:21, 46:8 member [3] - 27:6, 117:9. 117:11 members [13] - 7:22, 12:6, 23:22, 26:15, 27:7, 27:9, 36:4, 39:11, 39:14, 65:8, 103:3, 113:17,

117:10

membership [1] - 27:5

mentioned [6] - 27:23,

86:3, 93:18, 93:20,

94:8, 94:9, 103:17,

mention [3] - 32:18,

72:8, 112:6

97:23, 113:8

MEPA [9] - 84:14,

111:23. 112:8.

112:10, 113:12

mercury [1] - 101:19

mesothelioma [1] -

messages [1] - 74:3

met [5] - 9:21, 20:2,

28:1, 36:4, 96:6

metallic [6] - 42:14,

77:19, 78:5, 78:8,

metalsmithing [1] -

methane [2] - 95:6,

microphone [1] -

Michigan [1] - 100:19

might [9] - 8:8, 17:11,

Met [1] - 27:6

78:15

59:8

95:10

88:12

101:20

51:17, 52:11, 53:3, 58:16, 60:17, 83:18, migratory [1] - 55:10 mile [2] - 80:25, 89:5 mileage [4] - 90:23, 90:25, 91:4, 92:7 miles [10] - 23:20, 54:6, 54:11, 61:23, 61:24, 76:6, 76:7, 76:22, 77:1 million [4] - 62:21, 98:14, 101:21, 101:25 millions [1] - 72:15 mimic [1] - 83:11 mind [1] - 15:15 minds [1] - 15:9 mine [1] - 100:17 mineral [7] - 42:14, 71:17, 77:19, 77:25, 78:5, 78:15 minerals [2] - 42:19, 78:8 miners [1] - 101:19 mines [3] - 52:5, 100:18, 109:14 minimal [1] - 63:5 minimize [1] - 76:15 minimum [1] - 78:12 mining [14] - 42:15, 77:19, 78:15, 100:21, 100:22, 101:1, 101:4, 101:11, 101:13, 101:23, 102:4, 102:7, 107:16, 108:21 **MINNESOTA** [4] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 2:3 Minnesota [90] - 1:11, 1:21, 1:23, 2:8, 2:9, 2:9, 4:5, 4:13, 4:20, 7:20, 9:1, 18:1, 19:16, 25:7, 25:21, 25:23, 27:8, 27:14, 27:16, 27:19, 27:21, 28:3, 29:8, 32:4, 33:3, 33:5, 33:6, 33:7, 33:11, 33:18, 33:22, 33:24, 34:7, 40:13, 40:16, 40:17, 40:23, 41:6, 42:1, 43:5, 46:6, 52:3, 52:8, 52:12, 53:15, 56:3, 58:5, 62:8,

63:8, 67:21, 69:20,

71:23, 72:3, 72:4,

73:12, 73:25, 77:4,

77:17, 77:25, 78:3,

78:4, 79:8, 86:25, 87:20, 88:8, 91:10, 91:16, 92:13, 93:17, 95:7, 95:11, 100:9, 100:16, 100:18, 101:2, 101:4, 101:10, 101:18, 102:6, 102:24, 103:3, 103:14, 103:16, 104:15, 107:25, 112:11, 114:4, 121:6, 121:9 Minnesota's [5] -68:12, 100:17, 101:14, 102:10, 105:25 Minnesotans [1] -67:21 minute [3] - 46:24, 118:8, 118:9 minutes [9] - 11:23, 11:25, 14:19, 14:24, 15:2, 16:21, 48:16, 65:1, 81:19 misleading [1] - 46:20 misquote [1] - 67:16 missed [1] - 23:16 mission [1] - 73:7 mixed [1] - 74:2 Mn [1] - 111:20 modern [1] - 90:6 modifications [4] -26:1, 45:22, 73:15, 79:14 moment [3] - 8:20, 21:25, 107:10 Monitor [1] - 117:7 monitoring [2] -78:13, 94:20 Montana [1] - 100:19 moss [1] - 67:3 most [13] - 12:5, 16:6, 16:9, 28:16, 29:21, 30:16, 58:2, 81:11, 83:23, 84:1, 84:15, 85:2, 108:1 mostly [1] - 27:9 mother [1] - 56:15 motor [3] - 89:4, 89:8, 89:11 motorized [20] -62:13, 62:16, 62:18, 63:10, 63:13, 76:22, 86:15, 89:3, 90:8, 91:2, 91:3, 91:5, 91:19, 92:4, 92:7, 92:11, 92:22, 92:25 Mountain [1] - 91:9 move [4] - 42:10,

50:14, 74:19, 81:24

38:8, 77:17, 77:23,

moves [1] - 31:16 moving [1] - 16:8 MPCA[1] - 46:16 MR [73] - 5:23, 10:17, 10:19, 11:4, 11:8, 11:11, 11:16, 11:21, 20:21, 20:24, 21:16, 21:18, 22:3, 22:17, 22:20, 23:15, 26:14, 32:17, 32:24, 40:5, 41:7, 42:11, 44:4, 47:13, 47:19, 47:24, 48:3, 48:6, 64:23, 65:4, 65:8, 65:11, 65:14, 66:23, 67:9, 67:12, 67:24, 69:24, 70:3, 70:11, 70:13, 70:16, 70:18, 70:21, 70:24, 74:16, 74:21, 74:25, 75:10, 75:14, 77:14, 82:5, 82:8, 82:14, 82:17, 82:22, 83:5, 83:9, 85:8, 85:10, 85:13, 85:16, 85:21, 85:22, 87:3, 93:13, 95:19, 100:14, 102:22, 111:13, 115:4, 118:2, 118:10 **MS** [48] - 6:16, 6:19, 24:19, 26:13, 27:11, 38:21, 40:22, 42:6, 44:13, 47:1, 48:10, 48:18, 50:18, 50:22, 50:25, 51:2, 51:4, 51:6, 52:24, 53:8, 53:13, 53:21, 54:1, 55:21, 56:1, 60:6, 60:20, 60:24, 78:24, 79:22, 86:9, 88:1, 88:13, 95:25, 102:17, 107:4, 107:9, 107:22, 109:25, 118:6, 118:14, 118:19, 118:22, 119:11, 119:16, 119:23, 120:4, 120:8 Muller [1] - 82:4 multiple [1] - 32:14 multiply [1] - 63:17 MUNTER [2] - 93:13, 95:19 Munter [3] - 3:11, 93:12, 95:13 must [9] - 12:13, 12:15, 16:25, 18:23, 46:9, 63:18, 78:10, 112:19, 114:23 MY [1] - 121:21

Mysti [1] - 117:25

### Ν

Nagaajiwanaang [1] name [31] - 4:4, 12:18, 13:7, 13:22, 13:23, 44:12, 47:25, 48:9, 48:11, 50:17, 51:1, 53:25, 54:1, 55:25, 60:5, 60:24, 65:9, 65:11. 70:15. 70:23. 70:24, 74:24, 74:25, 77:15, 78:25, 79:1, 82:18, 100:7, 102:21, 102:22, 111:14 names [2] - 17:4, 65:7 Nancy [5] - 2:25, 48:12, 50:16, 56:2, 116:5 narrower [1] - 32:6 nation [2] - 51:17, 52:4 **nationally** [1] - 117:5 nations [1] - 23:22 Nations [3] - 59:20, 60:10. 113:25 Native [1] - 111:21 natural [10] - 62:14, 63:14, 67:16, 67:18, 87:22, 92:6, 94:22, 94:23, 105:25, 111:23 Natural [3] - 81:7, 90:19, 114:1 Nature [1] - 54:14 nature [4] - 108:7, 109:9, 110:18, 111:1 near [2] - 54:11, 54:13 nearby [1] - 98:23 nearly [1] - 62:21 necessarily [1] - 53:14 necessary [5] - 20:11, 27:3, 30:9, 33:10, 92:18 need [48] - 9:8, 12:4, 16:5, 19:3, 20:7, 21:4, 22:9, 25:10, 36:17, 49:12, 52:20, 55:12, 57:25, 59:16, 68:17, 71:11, 75:11, 78:2, 80:16, 82:19, 86:17, 86:23, 88:20, 88:22, 90:1, 90:9,

94:13, 95:6, 97:15,

99:2, 100:8, 105:8,

106:1, 108:2, 108:9,

119:8 needed [5] - 33:25, 34:13. 37:6. 90:3. 113:9 needs [7] - 7:3, 29:9, 61:8, 89:12, 93:2, 110:5, 110:25 negatively [1] - 71:15 neighbor [1] - 59:22 neighbors [1] - 64:6 nesting [2] - 76:9, 76:24 net [1] - 101:3 neutral [1] - 43:14 never [5] - 57:17, 57:19, 57:21, 84:5, 96.6 new [15] - 19:15, 38:2, 50:1, 63:15, 63:17, 76:22, 92:7, 98:11, 98:17, 98:21, 98:25, 99:1, 99:5, 115:25 next [9] - 10:3, 39:19, 43:18, 45:21, 55:24, 81:22, 82:3, 86:8, 102:13 nice [1] - 96:7 nine [2] - 27:5, 82:1 nobody [2] - 8:5, 118:18 noise [1] - 61:17 non [4] - 27:6, 66:6, 66:11, 66:22 non-voting [1] - 27:6 non-wetland [3] -66:6, 66:11, 66:22 none [1] - 113:17 nonlegal [1] - 59:20 **norm** [1] - 104:4 North [3] - 77:16, 77:23, 100:19 north [1] - 94:6 northern [3] - 100:18, 101:18, 102:6 **Notary** [2] - 1:20, 121:8 note [4] - 35:20, 60:13, 103:7, 107:1 notebook [1] - 11:14 **noted** [1] - 40:13 notes [2] - 50:11, 52:2 notice 151 - 20:15. 25:13, 25:14, 26:4, 36:20 noticed [2] - 38:18,

108:18, 109:12,

111:1, 111:2,

114:17, 115:8,

115:9, 115:15,

115:16, 117:21,

96:18 notices [1] - 25:12 notification [1] - 31:4 notified [1] - 36:2 November [6] - 25:16, 36:19, 40:2, 40:10, 41:10, 42:14 nowhere [2] - 51:25, 67:14 number [16] - 4:24, 5:3, 6:21, 6:24, 7:1, 12:9, 12:18, 14:17, 16:19, 17:23, 21:6, 43:8, 44:20, 45:2, 79:20, 80:17 Number [5] - 1:11, 4:17, 19:6, 26:19, 93:19 numbers [5] - 37:9, 37:10, 101:9, 101:15, 101:17 **numerous** [1] - 113:1 **NUR** [1] - 2:7 Nur [2] - 24:15, 24:20 **nursing** [1] - 59:6

# 0

O-v-e-r-l-a-n-d [1] -44.14 OAH [4] - 1:14, 8:19, 19:5, 26:19 OAH's [1] - 43:22 object [1] - 16:11 **objective** [3] - 22:11, 28:8, 28:22 objectives [9] - 22:7, 28:1, 28:2, 93:23, 94:1, 112:8, 112:19, 112:24, 113:13 **observing** [1] - 62:24 **obsolete** [1] - 42:21 **obtain** [1] - 20:16 obviously [2] - 99:9, 104:25 occur [1] - 42:19 occurred [2] - 36:6, 64:7 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:2, 2:14, 121:21 **off-road** [1] - 90:16 offense [1] - 17:15 offer [2] - 26:9, 45:17 office [6] - 5:1, 5:20, 6:13, 7:18, 18:25, 120:17 **OFFICE** [1] - 1:2 Office [10] - 2:8, 4:5, 4:16, 7:10, 25:24,

95:9, 95:16 officers [1] - 89:22 official [1] - 101:15 officials [1] - 59:15 often [4] - 16:6, 17:21, 105:17, 117:10 OHV [1] - 76:16 OHVs [1] - 76:3 oil [4] - 72:13, 94:17, 105:10, 108:14 **older** [1] - 71:23 once [5] - 30:5, 31:7, 57:19, 103:6, 117:12 one [56] - 6:16, 8:20, 9:19, 13:1, 13:10, 13:13, 14:21, 17:10, 21:6, 23:17, 24:10, 27:11, 38:19, 39:7, 40:7, 42:12, 44:25, 50:14, 54:4, 60:12, 62:21, 65:18, 73:20, 74:17, 76:17, 78:18, 80:10, 80:12, 80:15, 80:24, 83:18, 84:2, 84:5, 84:19, 96:14, 96:20, 97:1, 97:9, 97:24, 98:1, 103:17, 104:17, 105:9, 106:14, 107:18, 109:22, 115:18, 116:17, 116:19, 116:24, 118:4, 118:19, 118:22, 119:19 One [1] - 118:8 one-time [4] - 96:20, 97:1, 97:9, 119:19 ones [2] - 37:22, 40:6 online [2] - 10:13, 10:15 open [6] - 18:3, 18:11, 25:16, 36:13, 89:23, 107:11 opening [1] - 111:3 operate [1] - 112:14 operating [2] - 39:16, 46:7 operation [1] - 62:2 Operational [1] -89:19 operations [1] - 25:22 opinion [3] - 101:5, 107:22, 108:5 opinions [1] - 111:4 opportunities [2] -32:14, 53:3 opportunity [10] -15:8, 53:5, 56:2,

65:9, 72:8, 84:21,

86:13, 112:23, 118:16, 119:1 opposed [1] - 17:3 opposing [1] - 43:13 opposition [1] - 48:21 oppression [1] - 58:22 options [2] - 5:19, 63:19 oral [1] - 12:3 orange [1] - 39:22 order [6] - 12:8, 13:6, 18:3, 18:5, 28:21, 31:19 orders [1] - 30:3 ordinances [2] -51:15, 51:18 organization [3] -102:24, 104:16 organizations [1] -71:11 organize [1] - 14:5 organized [1] - 16:12 original [3] - 42:4, 103:16, 103:18 originally [2] - 35:8, 42:20 **ORV** [1] - 76:16 otherwise [4] - 14:11, 50:1, 53:22, 81:12 ought [1] - 47:6 outcome [2] - 34:9, 88:22 outreach [1] - 81:3 outside [8] - 4:22, 60:14, 60:16, 95:14, 95:18, 96:1, 102:18, 107:7 overflow [7] - 13:10, 21:14. 23:18. 81:23. 85:25, 106:9, 106:15 overland [4] - 6:15, 44:7, 44:11, 82:10 Overland [2] - 2:21, 44:14 **OVERLAND** [4] - 6:16, 6:19, 44:13, 47:1 overreliance [1] -103:22 oversight [8] - 22:24, 22:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:22, 74:9, 90:15, 90:18 oversights [1] - 73:16 overview [3] - 26:17, 29:2. 60:7 own [4] - 21:22, 47:5, 58:22, 91:9 owned [1] - 75:4

### Ρ

**P-a-s-t-a-r-r** [1] - 115:5

102:23

70:25

75:15, 120:23

P1 [2] - 60:10

P2 [1] - 60:11

P4[1] - 102:13

P6[1] - 120:3

P7 [1] - 120:3

45:23, 46:4

115:11

103:13

66:17

9:18

72:20

7:16

party [1] - 57:23

passed [4] - 51:10,

paper [1] - 5:9

P3 [2] - 70:9

P-e-a-r-s-o-n [1] -P-e-t-e-r-s-o-n [1] p.m [7] - 1:24, 4:10, 4:20, 18:16, 19:21, P5 [3] - 120:3, 120:10 package [1] - 35:11 page [7] - 36:9, 37:9, 37:10, 43:8, 43:25, Page [2] - 2:13, 3:1 pages [4] - 33:1, 51:7, 119:16, 121:15 panel [8] - 10:2, 15:18, 15:19, 15:22, 36:4, 44:25, 45:1, 45:3 Panel [2] - 113:25, Park [2] - 98:2, 98:5 part [25] - 7:23, 8:25, 9:12, 14:11, 25:25, 26:5, 29:17, 37:1, 37:2, 37:17, 38:19, 40:9, 41:8, 45:21, 61:1, 77:18, 77:22, 78:11, 79:11, 79:12, 83:16, 83:20, 83:22, partially [2] - 66:2, participants [2] - 7:20, participate [2] - 4:7, participating [1] particular [1] - 33:11 particularly [1] - 66:25 parties [2] - 8:1, 36:2 partner [1] - 64:22 parts [10] - 16:11, 16:15, 25:4, 35:10, 35:12, 35:16, 35:18, 43:11, 43:13, 98:14 pass [2] - 51:13, 53:10

51:21, 51:22, 52:15 passing [1] - 63:3 past [2] - 7:5, 29:18 PASTARR[1] - 115:4 Pastarr [3] - 3:17, 115:3, 115:4 Pat [1] - 100:16 Paul [5] - 1:23, 2:9, 98:2, 98:5, 121:6 pause [1] - 32:21 pay [1] - 56:13 PEARSON[1] -102:22 Pearson [3] - 3:14, 102:20, 102:23 peat [1] - 67:2 **peer** [1] - 111:16 people [65] - 6:13, 6:14, 8:6, 11:1, 11:20, 12:9, 13:16, 13:17, 14:17, 14:21, 15:4, 15:9, 15:20, 16:16, 16:19, 21:7, 21:24, 23:19, 24:9, 45:2, 45:6, 56:5, 56:21, 57:11, 58:1, 58:17, 58:18, 58:23, 59:13, 59:14, 59:16, 59:24, 60:18, 64:15, 71:1, 71:4, 72:17, 72:19, 73:5, 73:8, 73:9, 74:2, 74:5, 75:19, 75:20, 78:3, 85:5, 96:2, 97:22, 98:2, 99:4, 103:25, 106:19, 106:21, 106:24, 108:3, 108:5, 111:8, 111:19, 113:6, 116:12, 118:10, 118:14 people's [2] - 45:14, 71.15 per [5] - 14:19, 39:8, 98:10, 98:14, 98:19 percent [8] - 54:7, 61:6, 79:17, 79:24, 90:20, 101:13, 101:14, 101:19 perfect [1] - 91:10 performance[1] -93:20 performance-based [1] - 93:20 perhaps [1] - 117:15 period [24] - 16:1, 18:1, 19:11, 19:12, 19:13, 25:16, 26:4, pit [1] - 101:22 31:6, 31:7, 36:12, place [8] - 6:12, 12:19,

40:1, 41:16, 43:16, 95:3, 95:4, 107:11, 116:21, 117:14, 117:15, 120:14, 120:16 periodically [1] -101:6 permanently [5] -64:16, 66:3, 66:18, 66:19 permit [3] - 84:15, 84:19, 85:3 **permits** [2] - 38:17, 84:12 permitted [1] - 15:22 permitting [5] - 31:16, 40:12, 84:14, 84:18, 85:17 person [21] - 14:19, 15:1, 16:20, 17:10, 47:18, 48:4, 53:19, 55:23, 74:14, 74:17, 74:20, 78:18, 78:23, 81:22, 82:3, 86:8, 96:7, 102:14, 109:22, 113:11, 116:24 perspective [1] -21:22 pertaining [1] - 25:18 **pertinent** [1] - 69:13 **Peterson** [4] - 3:5, 70:16, 70:17, 70:25 PETERSON [7] - 70:3, 70:11, 70:13, 70:16, 70:18, 70:21, 70:24 petition [4] - 30:4, 47:2, 47:5, 47:8 petitions [1] - 46:14 petroleum [3] - 49:13, 98:7, 98:9 **phase** [1] - 29:18 **PHMSA**[2] - 115:19, 116:3 phrase [1] - 49:24 physical [2] - 105:11, 105:23 pick [1] - 8:20 picking [1] - 62:23 pictures [1] - 54:20 piece [1] - 109:23 Pine [1] - 91:9 **pipeline** [3] - 103:9, 105:20, 116:1 Pipeline [1] - 115:19 pipelines [3] - 72:13, 109:15, 115:21

74:4, 74:6, 106:14,

107:15, 111:25, 117:12 placeholder [1] - 26:8 places [2] - 104:13, 107:24 plan [1] - 25:14 planning [2] - 2:5, 35.15 plants [1] - 74:11 **platform** [1] - 108:11 plead [1] - 114:14 plenty [1] - 107:7 **plus** [1] - 106:8 point [26] - 14:18, 16:6, 16:7, 16:8, 23:1, 48:15, 72:20, 78:1, 79:16, 79:23, 80:3, 82:3, 95:1, 97:24, 98:11, 99:12, 101:2, 101:3, 101:16, 102:1, 104:8, 104:10, 106:4, 111:8, 112:1, 112:23 pointed [1] - 114:8 points [1] - 105:9 poisonous [1] -108:15 police [1] - 96:2 policies [3] - 68:8, 88:16, 88:18 policy [5] - 62:10, 69:10, 69:11, 86:22, 88:7 Policy [4] - 33:6, 62:8, 103:17, 112:11 **policy's** [2] - 69:13, 92:14 **political** [2] - 57:10, 117:2 polluters [1] - 63:7 **POLLUTION** [1] - 1:4 pollution [2] - 91:22, 91.25 **Pollution** [6] - 63:8, 91:16, 98:8, 99:10, 115:6, 117:21 PolyMet [2] - 58:25, 59:12 **pop** [2] - 13:14, 21:15 populated [1] - 64:14 population [2] - 54:8, 63:11 populations [1] -67:17 portion [2] - 9:9, 20:8 Ports [1] - 64:2 **possibility** [1] - 104:5 possible [9] - 8:3, 29:25, 39:17, 42:20,

36:19, 36:23, 36:25,

62:23, 70:5, 81:2, 91:15, 108:16 possibly [2] - 71:16, 95:9 post [1] - 18:25 posted [2] - 14:11, 17:24 posthearing [2] -18:14, 43:16 posting [1] - 19:19 potential [15] - 29:4, 30:12, 31:15, 31:18, 32:1, 41:3, 41:21, 42:18, 43:2, 61:15, 61:18, 61:24, 68:14, 80:18, 90:24 potentially [2] - 32:7, 32:8 power [1] - 97:2 powers [2] - 57:14, 57:16 practical [1] - 88:16 practice [2] - 39:5, 39:17 **PRATT**[1] - 118:19 precious [1] - 77:8 precise [1] - 89:13 preference [2] - 23:20, 23:23 preliminary [2] -36:10, 36:14 preparation [1] -33:21 prepare [2] - 19:25, 79:16 preparing [2] - 80:21, 81:4 preponderance [1] -103:23 prescribed [1] - 112:5 present [2] - 15:20, 26:17 PRESENTATION[1] -2:16 presentation [3] -9:10, 12:3, 14:22 presented [3] - 36:14, 36:16, 84:11 pressed [1] - 71:24 pressure [2] - 76:13, 94:4 presumably [1] -97:12 pretending [1] -112:12 pretty [5] - 57:3, 72:5, 83:25. 100:4. 101:10 prevent [3] - 39:10, 87:5, 88:9 previously [1] - 106:5

primary [2] - 27:12, 28.7 principles [1] - 94:16 pristine [1] - 108:1 private [1] - 28:12 problem [3] - 46:22, 66:7, 98:22 problems [1] - 115:1 procedural [3] - 7:24, 9:7, 62:3 procedurally [1] -104:19 Procedure [1] - 9:2 Procedures [5] - 8:19, 22:5, 22:24, 25:19, 112:5 procedures [7] - 8:21, 9:24, 10:23, 20:6, 21:10, 32:14, 39:16 proceed [2] - 48:17, 104:22 proceeding [2] - 9:3, 9:12 process [36] - 4:8, 7:23, 8:13, 8:25, 9:16, 15:11, 15:13, 21:3, 28:24, 29:1, 29:3, 29:14, 29:21, 30:16, 30:17, 31:21, 31:25, 32:3, 32:12, 32:16, 35:21, 39:16, 45:5, 49:2, 62:3, 64:8, 64:11, 72:20, 73:10, 73:14, 74:7, 81:6, 84:14, 84:18, 87:12, 96:23 processes [3] - 29:22, 31:17, 38:13 processing [2] -42:15, 77:19 product [1] - 101:7 production [2] - 95:1, 101:23 professional [2] -79:2, 111:18 Program [3] - 1:10, 2:4, 24:23 program [13] - 27:14, 27:15, 27:18, 27:25, 28:1, 28:2, 28:14, 29:10, 31:2, 39:4, 87:21, 90:12, 93:25 progress [1] - 77:9 project [33] - 28:5, 28:8, 28:17, 29:3, 29:7, 29:9, 29:11, 29:12, 29:17, 29:19,

29:25, 30:6, 30:10,

30:11, 30:18, 31:16,

31:22, 32:3, 32:10,

33:16, 34:14, 35:2, 38:15, 61:19, 67:2, 75:3, 79:3, 80:24, 89:23, 90:7, 104:22, 116:20 projects [29] - 29:16, 31:17. 32:1. 34:5. 34:16. 41:19. 41:20. 41:25, 42:1, 42:18, 46:12, 61:12, 65:18, 65:21, 66:8, 73:5, 73:8, 80:17, 80:19, 80:22, 83:20, 83:21, 83:24, 84:1, 84:4, 84:15, 103:24, 105:7 promote [1] - 88:8 promulgated [1] -78:10 pronounce [2] - 60:5, 82:16 proper [2] - 17:4, 78:13 properly [2] - 5:2, 13:23 proposal [2] - 26:3, 32:9 propose [2] - 66:13, 116:22 **Proposed** [2] - 1:10, 4:11 proposed [78] - 9:5, 9:9, 9:19, 9:20, 12:3, 20:8, 22:6, 25:9, 26:2, 26:6, 26:24, 28:7, 28:21, 30:10, 32:10, 32:20, 33:10, 33:24, 34:13, 34:16, 34:18, 35:15, 35:19, 38:23, 39:20, 39:25, 40:4, 40:9, 40:20, 40:22, 40:24, 41:3, 41:4, 41:9, 41:11, 41:20, 42:13, 42:22, 43:2, 43:3, 43:12, 43:13, 45:4, 46:1, 48:21, 53:15, 67:2, 68:3, 72:11, 77:21, 78:1, 86:14, 87:8, 87:15, 87:16, 87:23, 88:2, 88:3, 88:21, 88:23, 89:15, 89:21, 90:2, 90:22, 91:7, 92:21, 93:20, 103:9, 105:21, 109:13, 112:7, 113:4, 113:5, 113:7, 113:8, 113:14, 113:20, 114:5 proposer [6] - 29:7,

proposes [2] - 112:14, 114.16 proposing [4] - 7:14, 27:1, 41:24, 42:9 protect [4] - 57:20, 87:18, 87:22, 92:6 protected [3] - 63:4, 77:8, 91:18 protecting [1] -105:25 protection [8] - 62:5, 69:21, 78:2, 86:21, 90:11, 90:14, 100:25, 102:3 provide [14] - 7:18, 10:22, 20:13, 22:9, 28:4, 28:8, 31:23, 32:15, 41:12, 67:14, 67:18, 67:21, 88:23, 92:18 provided [6] - 33:17, 44:16, 45:11, 45:13, 72:18, 96:13 provides [4] - 18:2, 33:2, 69:12, 81:5 **provisions** [2] - 33:9, 112:16 Public [7] - 1:17, 1:20, 79:4, 79:6, 120:24, 121:4, 121:8 public [53] - 4:10, 4:18, 4:22, 7:22, 8:12, 12:7, 18:4, 18:7, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 19:3, 26:15, 28:6, 28:9, 28:10, 28:12, 31:4, 31:5, 31:7, 31:9, 32:15, 34:16, 35:1, 35:21, 36:14, 36:17, 37:14, 38:15, 39:13, 41:16, 44:6, 52:12, 54:10, 62:9, 62:10, 74:7, 80:11, 85:17, 86:22, 88:17, 89:9, 89:10, 92:21, 93:3, 99:25, 100:5, 107:13, 109:5, 110:2, 117:8, 117:10, 117:11 PUBLIC [2] - 2:20, 3:1 publish [2] - 31:3, 101:7 published [5] - 25:9, 40:10, 41:10, 42:13, 117:7 **publishing** [1] - 39:12

PUC [6] - 46:8, 46:16,

30:18, 89:14

proposers [4] - 28:5,

34:14, 35:2, 38:15

47:4, 47:8, 58:5, 72:15 pulling [1] - 109:4 purple [1] - 37:20 purpose [8] - 26:22, 45:3, 59:23, 62:6, 87:19, 88:7, 92:14, 92:17 purposed [1] - 65:15 **Purposes** [1] - 61:10 pursuant [1] - 25:13 push [1] - 94:6 put [11] - 4:24, 12:16, 13:18, 44:25, 55:6, 60:3, 60:9, 83:11, 107:15, 109:14, 109:17 puts [1] - 99:18 puzzling [1] - 76:20

# Q

qualities [1] - 52:14 **QUALITY** [1] - 1:4 Quality [24] - 4:12, 7:11, 7:12, 27:13, 47:21, 62:7, 65:15, 68:3, 68:8, 68:13, 68:19, 68:22, 68:25, 69:4, 69:7, 69:11, 69:14, 69:16, 69:19, 71:9, 86:19, 87:21, 88:6, 103:15 quality [17] - 51:7, 51:8, 51:12, 51:22, 52:18, 53:9, 53:10, 53:11, 65:25, 66:9, 66:15, 73:18, 93:16, 105:1, 107:16, 107:24, 111:24 quantity [4] - 50:1, 65:25, 66:8, 66:15 questioned [1] - 97:14 questions [16] - 12:6, 12:23, 15:18, 15:19, 15:20, 15:21, 15:23, 15:25, 16:2, 16:6, 21:9, 21:11, 27:3, 35:17, 85:20, 106:10 quick [1] - 88:2 quickened [1] - 73:4 **quiet** [1] - 62:23 quit [1] - 77:10 quite [3] - 72:14, 84:7, 85:1 quote [3] - 61:11, 89:2, 93:21 **quotes** [1] - 57:9

29:17, 30:7, 30:11,

# R R-04157 [1] - 1:11 R-4157 [2] - 7:1, 26:19 **R-i-t-a** [1] - 48:11 racial [1] - 59:2 radioactive [2] -42:19, 78:14 radionuclides [1] -78:9 raise [1] - 23:13 raised [4] - 45:19, 103:18, 112:25 raising [1] - 22:20 ran [1] - 25:16 range [1] - 80:14 ranking [1] - 91:15 rapidly [1] - 113:18 rare [1] - 83:25 rates [1] - 101:20 rather [11] - 21:19, 22:23, 31:22, 49:8, 57:16, 92:21, 96:19, 104:21, 105:22, 112:13, 116:24 rationale [1] - 26:5 reaches [1] - 67:19 read [6] - 17:22, 56:25, 66:14, 83:6, 96:9, 96:11 reader [1] - 88:2 reading [2] - 42:22, 97:4 reads [1] - 61:10 ready [3] - 44:5, 70:12, 74:21 realignment [1] -80:25 realize [2] - 68:2, 102:6 really [26] - 7:7, 15:11, 15:12, 15:15, 16:13, 26:7, 49:1, 49:12, 52:17, 55:15, 56:23, 56:24, 58:9, 65:2, 84:8, 87:24, 95:14, 95:18, 108:11, 109:7, 110:3, 110:11, 110:12, 115:16, 116:5, 117:22 reason [6] - 12:7, 20:11, 22:20, 77:21, 84:17, 87:19 reasonable [2] - 32:9, 87.13 reasonableness [10] -9:8, 20:7, 25:10,

36:17, 61:9, 68:17,

80:16, 86:18, 86:24, 87:7 reasons [1] - 12:4 rebated [1] - 101:23 rebuild [3] - 49:19, 83:25, 99:8 rebuilds [2] - 49:16, 99.4 rebuttal [6] - 19:11, 19:18, 19:20, 19:22, 20:10, 120:15 receive [4] - 18:20, 19:1, 20:12, 20:15 received [16] - 18:23, 25:15, 26:4, 26:8, 26:11, 27:1, 31:9, 38:7, 40:1, 41:2, 41:17, 43:1, 43:11, 43:12, 43:15, 120:18 receiving [1] - 34:17 recent [1] - 103:14 recess [1] - 81:20 reciprocal [1] - 57:13 recognize [1] - 69:14 recognized [2] -116:13, 116:14 recognizing [1] -116:6 recommend [5] -68:13, 68:22, 69:3, 69:7, 69:9 recommendation [1] -67:25 recommendations [4] - 34:1, 38:7, 68:10, 69:25 recommended [1] -39:22 record [28] - 8:5, 10:5, 10:7, 12:9, 13:24, 14:8, 14:11, 16:15, 16:25, 17:17, 18:3, 18:10, 24:7, 25:1, 25:2, 25:6, 26:10, 31:11, 60:4, 70:2, 70:23, 74:18, 83:2, 83:4, 102:10, 107:4, 107:6, 118:1 recorded [2] - 121:4, 121:13 records [1] - 21:5 Recreational [2] -75:2. 86:12 recreational [19] -33:20, 34:6, 61:14, 62:12, 63:15, 63:18, 75:22, 75:25, 76:23, 86:15, 87:9, 88:4, 88:21, 90:8, 90:11, 92:12, 92:23, 93:1,

96:15 rectify [1] - 72:9 red [9] - 39:24, 40:6, 40:11, 41:8, 41:10, 42:12, 42:17, 43:5, 72:24 reduce [1] - 88:24 reducing [2] - 28:22, 34:11 reduction [1] - 80:14 refer [3] - 7:12, 69:3, 83:9 reference [4] - 16:14, 33:2, 97:6, 119:17 referenced [2] -119:18, 119:25 references [2] - 10:20, 97:5 referencing [1] - 42:1 referendum [1] -58:21 referred [2] - 4:16, 28:17 referring [2] - 37:16, 112:11 refers [3] - 26:1, 69:10, 79:16 refineries [5] - 73:13, 73:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:1 **Refinery** [7] - 54:5, 64:2. 64:12. 97:23. 98:4, 98:5, 105:4 refinery [11] - 49:14, 49:18, 74:1, 98:7, 98:9, 98:18, 98:21, 99:1, 99:8, 105:7, 105:13 reflect [2] - 35:22, 90:6 regard [3] - 21:20, 95:6, 111:21 regarding [12] - 19:17, 25:21, 44:24, 45:21, 61:4, 62:11, 86:15, 88:4, 88:21, 89:12, 90:8, 92:11 region [3] - 73:17, 73:24, 73:25 regional [1] - 71:10 register [5] - 12:11, 12:14, 12:15, 12:20, 13:7 **Register** [1] - 25:9 registered [1] - 79:2 registration [1] -12:12 regress [1] - 77:10 regulated [1] - 85:19 regulation [2] - 37:21,

67:16 regulations [2] -52:16, 100:24 regulatory [11] - 22:9, 35:5. 38:12. 68:18. 81:8, 84:24, 85:4, 90:6, 93:23, 93:25, 94:1 reinforce [1] - 51:11 reiterate [1] - 71:1 rejected [2] - 46:16, 46:17 relabeled [1] - 42:17 relate [1] - 37:9 related [1] - 25:11 relationship [1] -57:19 released [1] - 36:11 **releasing** [1] - 78:9 **relevant** [1] - 9:6 relied [1] - 25:2 rely [2] - 8:14, 108:20 remain [3] - 18:11, 74:4, 92:10 remaining [5] - 40:3, 42:16, 74:6, 118:10, 118:14 remains [2] - 69:20, 89.1 remarks [6] - 9:23, 14:6, 14:7, 14:9, 17:19, 111:16 remember [1] - 17:1 remind [3] - 44:12, 48:14, 120:14 reminding [3] - 16:23, 44:11, 120:12 remote [7] - 13:13, 13:17, 13:18, 13:19, 21:11, 111:8, 118:5 remove [2] - 42:23, 67:2 removed [2] - 39:15, 40.24 repeat [5] - 6:21, 6:24, 55:13, 67:9, 83:13 repeated [1] - 46:22 Report [1] - 34:2 report [7] - 16:12, 19:25, 20:9, 20:12, 20:15, 20:18, 98:12 **REPORTER** [1] - 87:1 reporter [7] - 13:9, 13:23, 16:24, 44:16, 67:7, 79:21, 81:17 Reporter [1] - 121:23 rEPORTER'S [1] -121:1 reports [1] - 52:18 represent [3] - 73:9,

82:22, 103:2 representatives [1] -97:24 represented [1] -59:25 representing [7] -10:1, 14:1, 14:2, 14:3, 24:16, 27:7, 102:25 reps [1] - 58:19 request [10] - 23:19, 25:8, 36:5, 38:24, 39:13, 39:14, 44:25, 95:9, 95:16, 117:9 requested [2] - 39:5, 87:10 requesting [1] - 23:22 requests [1] - 39:8 require [10] - 29:16, 31:3, 32:14, 33:21, 47:7, 49:14, 50:6, 50:10, 66:11, 99:8 required [15] - 9:3, 9:12, 15:23, 15:24, 20:6, 25:6, 29:21, 30:1, 31:10, 32:1, 32:3, 38:5, 41:1, 41:13, 42:25 requirement [3] -28:4, 29:25, 92:15 Requirement [2] -88:11, 88:14 requirements [7] -9:7, 9:21, 25:19, 29:8, 35:6, 41:14, 92:11 requires [3] - 29:3, 47:2, 47:5 requiring [3] - 39:11, 80:17, 89:20 Reservation [1] -52:12 reservation [1] - 58:11 reservations [1] - 71:3 reside [1] - 56:4 resident [1] - 52:3 resource [1] - 67:22 Resources [4] - 81:7, 85:11. 90:19. 114:1 resources [10] -61:17, 61:21, 61:25, 62:15, 63:14, 87:22, 91:21, 92:6, 105:25, 111:22 respectfully [1] -23:18 respond [6] - 19:11, 19:13, 19:22, 31:10, 43:15, 86:14 response [10] - 21:12,

26:3, 52:23, 72:25, 78:21, 103:11, 111:10, 119:2, 119:6, 119:10 responses [1] - 17:3 responsibilities [4] -27:12, 27:17, 112:10, 112:18 responsibility [7] -27:24, 28:13, 28:15, 41:18, 57:24, 88:6, 94:10 Responsible [2] -116:10, 116:13 responsible [7] -28:18, 29:13, 30:24, 34:15, 35:1, 35:22, 39:1 responsive [2] -113:16, 114:15 rest [2] - 80:5, 81:18 restated [1] - 61:9 restroom [1] - 7:3 result [11] - 30:3, 32:5, 35:20, 41:5, 41:23, 43:3, 65:20, 66:8, 66:9, 67:5, 112:7 results [3] - 66:5, 66:21, 81:4 retired [2] - 75:24, 76:12 retrospective [1] -103:15 return [2] - 10:10, 10:12 returns [1] - 101:1 reversing [1] - 115:14 reverting [2] - 41:5, 43:4 Review [6] - 1:10, 2:4, 4:13, 24:23, 34:2, 87:20 review [65] - 9:12, 19:2, 23:5, 26:22, 27:14, 27:15, 27:18, 27:25, 28:2, 28:14, 28:16, 28:23, 29:1, 29:3, 29:10, 30:1, 30:3, 30:6, 30:16, 31:2, 31:21, 34:3, 34:10, 34:12, 34:17, 37:6, 38:5, 38:17, 39:4, 40:12, 41:1, 41:13, 42:25, 45:3, 45:9, 46:9, 46:11, 46:17, 46:19, 46:21, 48:23, 49:20, 61:5, 66:12, 67:4, 73:14, 74:10, 86:15, 86:20, 86:25, 87:4, 87:11,

89:20, 90:4, 90:12, 90:14, 91:1, 92:5, 92:12, 92:17, 93:24, 96:22, 96:23, 97:15, 100:3 reviewed [3] - 16:16, 21:10. 63:18 reviewing [2] - 45:10, 103:24 reviews [1] - 68:4 revises [1] - 112:21 revision [2] - 40:9, 41:9 revisions [5] - 26:25, 32:20, 39:25, 42:13, 103:9 Revisor [2] - 26:18, 46:1 Revisor's [6] - 1:11, 7:1, 37:9, 38:8, 40:19, 43:9 revolving [1] - 107:15 rewrite [1] - 9:17 RGU [14] - 28:19, 30:20, 31:8, 38:24, 38:25, 39:1, 46:9, 48:22, 98:9, 116:10, 116:12, 116:20, 117:7 RGUs [3] - 34:14, 38:14, 39:10 rice [4] - 55:17, 63:4, 91:19, 111:2 ridership [1] - 90:16 rights [16] - 7:8, 57:12, 60:11, 71:13, 71:16, 71:17, 71:25, 72:7, 72:19, 108:7, 109:9, 110:9, 111:1, 111:2 **Rights** [1] - 54:14 rigid [2] - 73:14, 73:20 **ripple** [1] - 105:9 risk [1] - 61:25 Rita [4] - 2:22, 48:7, 48:10, 64:1 river [1] - 54:19 rivers [1] - 109:1 road [15] - 62:13, 62:20, 63:12, 80:25, 83:25, 89:10, 89:12, 89:17, 90:16, 90:21, 91:1, 91:9, 91:14, 91:19, 92:3 Road [1] - 1:22 roadmap [1] - 9:22 roads [12] - 62:19, 63:2, 63:6, 63:10, 63:16, 83:24, 89:15,

89:17, 89:19, 89:25,

91:6, 92:5

roadway [1] - 89:9 Robert [3] - 3:13, 100:12, 100:15 **ROBERT** [1] - 100:15 Rochester [2] -118:18, 118:20 Rochleau [1] - 101:22 rock[1] - 101:4 role [1] - 7:18 Room [1] - 1:23 room [14] - 13:11, 21:14, 21:24, 44:19, 81:24. 82:3. 82:7. 85:25. 106:8. 106:9. 118:25, 119:4, 119:8 rooms [4] - 13:10, 23:18, 103:6, 106:12 roughly [1] - 101:19 round [2] - 101:11, 103:12 route [3] - 61:5, 90:25, 91:3 routed [1] - 5:3 routes [1] - 63:18 rubric [1] - 95:12 Rule [9] - 8:19, 27:21, 29:8, 40:17, 40:23, 43:5, 65:15, 68:25, 86.11 rule [66] - 5:7, 7:1, 12:3, 15:15, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 18:22, 19:9, 22:22, 26:2, 26:25, 28:18, 33:5, 33:11, 33:14, 34:24, 35:13, 35:14, 36:3, 36:4, 36:6, 36:8, 36:14, 37:10, 37:17, 37:19, 39:25, 40:9, 41:9, 42:3, 42:17, 42:21, 45:24, 49:10, 49:13, 49:23, 67:2, 68:1, 68:15, 68:21, 69:8, 79:11, 79:14, 79:23, 80:5, 80:7, 80:9, 81:13, 88:23, 90:2, 90:22, 90:23, 94:14, 95:9, 98:25, 99:12, 113:4, 114:16, 115:15, 116:16, 117:12, 117:13 rule-by [1] - 16:12 rulemaking [28] - 4:8, 7:21, 7:23, 9:21, 10:14, 18:8, 19:1, 19:4, 25:3, 26:18,

43:25, 45:4, 46:14, 53:5, 53:15, 86:18, 92:22, 94:1, 114:24 Rulemaking [3] - 1:17, 2:6, 24:24 rules [83] - 7:14, 8:17, 9:1, 9:5, 9:9, 9:14, 9:17, 9:19, 9:20, 16:10, 20:5, 20:8, 22:6, 22:8, 25:10, 27:16, 29:11, 29:16, 29:20, 30:6, 30:14, 31:2, 32:4, 32:19, 34:13, 34:19, 35:2, 35:6, 35:24, 36:1, 36:2, 36:16, 36:19, 37:14, 40:20, 43:9, 43:12, 43:13, 45:4, 45:8, 45:10, 45:11, 45:12, 45:17, 45:18, 46:1. 46:4. 51:6. 51:16, 51:18, 68:2, 68:5, 68:6, 68:25, 69:1, 69:3, 69:6, 69:9, 69:10, 69:12, 78:10, 78:13, 86:14, 88:16, 88:25, 93:20, 93:21, 93:22, 94:20, 96:5, 100:6, 103:9, 104:9, 111:24, 112:1, 112:21, 113:3, 113:6, 113:14, 113:20, 114:5, 116:1, 117:13 Rules [13] - 1:10, 1:11, 4:12, 4:13, 25:7, 27:19, 28:3, 33:3, 33:24, 40:13, 41:6, 42:1, 53:16 running [1] - 97:11 runoff [1] - 61:16 runs [2] - 15:13, 91:14

#### S

sacrifices [1] - 58:6 safe [2] - 98:15, 115:22 Safety [1] - 115:20 sakes [1] - 55:16 Sand [6] - 33:16, 34:5, 36:4, 44:24, 45:7, 96:16 SAUVE [10] - 82:13, 82:14, 82:17, 82:22, 83:5, 83:9, 85:8, 85:13, 85:16, 85:22 Sauve [2] - 3:9, 82:18 save [1] - 83:13 saw [2] - 84:19, 96:14 Schlatter [2] - 1:19, 4:4 school [4] - 59:7, 59:8. 110:22 **SCHUB** [1] - 86:10 Schubert [4] - 3:10, 86:8, 86:10, 87:24 **SCHUBERT** [4] - 86:9, 87:3, 88:1, 88:13 science [4] - 92:2, 106:3, 109:16, 114:16 scientists [1] - 94:24 scope [5] - 21:20, 23:4, 71:14, 95:14, 95.18 screen [1] - 13:14 scrivener's [1] - 40:21 **SEAL** [1] - 121:21 season [1] - 75:17 seats [3] - 81:25, 82:1 second [10] - 4:19, 18:8, 18:12, 25:25, 35:4, 38:11, 60:12, 70:8, 105:5, 116:21 secondly [1] - 49:11 seconds [1] - 32:21 secretary/treasurer [1] - 58:19 section [6] - 25:5, 25:14, 26:7, 38:13, 84:23, 98:7 Section [6] - 18:1, 19:16, 33:18, 33:23, 46:6, 105:20 sections [3] - 88:19, 105:3 secure [1] - 108:12 sediment [1] - 63:6 sedimentation [1] -91:22 see [22] - 13:12, 13:15, 20:14, 22:1, 43:8, 51:6, 51:16, 51:23, 53:2, 72:1, 72:24, 73:6, 83:5, 106:14, 106:16, 109:2, 113:3, 113:20, 114:5, 114:7, 115:24 seeing [4] - 106:12, 108:20, 110:21, 110:24 seek [1] - 23:2 seeking [1] - 43:14 seem [1] - 71:6 select [2] - 9:18, 38:25 self [1] - 62:9 self-interest [1] - 62:9 selling [1] - 109:5 **semi** [2] - 66:3, 66:19

27:2, 33:3, 33:15,

39:20, 40:4, 42:8,

34:21, 35:11, 36:13,

semi-permanently [2] - 66:3, 66:19 send [2] - 18:20, 31:4 sensitive [3] - 61:21, 91:24, 92:20 sentence [1] - 41:24 separate [1] - 87:10 separately [1] - 52:11 September [4] - 36:18, 45:11, 45:13, 83:1 serious [1] - 75:19 serve [1] - 59:22 serves [1] - 80:23 Service [2] - 89:18, 90:20 session [6] - 33:22, 96:17, 97:6, 119:17, 119:19, 119:24 set [5] - 8:22, 9:19, 14:21, 88:18 seven [1] - 54:23 several [2] - 97:22, 100:18 shall [2] - 18:11, 88:17 share [1] - 107:13 sheet [2] - 5:19, 20:13 **SHERRY** [1] - 51:4 **Sherry** [3] - 2:23, 50:21, 116:4 shit [1] - 55:18 shocked [1] - 100:4 **shorelands** [1] - 80:2 short [1] - 6:16 show [4] - 45:15, 59:9, 59:12, 113:14 **showing** [1] - 106:22 shown [2] - 103:23, 106:3 shows [3] - 45:23, 75:18, 119:19 shrubs [1] - 66:24 sidetracked [1] -109:20 Sierra [3] - 3:15, 102:14. 102:15 SIERRA [1] - 107:5 sign [7] - 12:10, 12:13, 12:15, 15:7, 20:13, 70:10, 119:4 sign-in [1] - 20:13 signed [5] - 22:16, 24:3, 54:19, 59:4, 118:25 significant [13] -31:15, 31:18, 32:2, 32:7, 32:8, 41:21, 76:8, 77:22, 77:24, 80:18, 90:17, 90:24, 91:23 Silica [6] - 33:16, 34:5,

36:4, 44:24, 45:7, 96:16 silly [1] - 75:16 simple [1] - 76:3 simply [4] - 16:7, 61:19, 91:1, 97:9 single [1] - 116:19 sisters [3] - 56:8, 73:12, 110:9 sit [1] - 55:14 site [1] - 78:8 sites [1] - 73:22 sitting [2] - 12:24, 107:6 situated [1] - 63:10 **situation** [1] - 96:1 **six** [3] - 27:8, 97:5, 103:6 size [1] - 101:10 slated [1] - 50:2 slide [3] - 35:25, 43:17, 96:14 slides [1] - 39:19 slow [3] - 67:7, 87:1, 87:24 **slowly** [1] - 17:1 small [2] - 44:19, 84:8 **smarter** [1] - 99:4 smoothly [1] - 15:14 snoring [1] - 53:23 snowmobiling [1] -76:23 social [3] - 95:6, 95:7, 95:10 sociological [1] -32:12 Soil [2] - 85:8, 85:11 solicited [1] - 36:3 solidarity [1] - 110:8 solution [2] - 87:23, 88:2 someone [8] - 14:1, 15:1, 47:11, 47:13, 47:16, 56:13, 99:18, 102:19 sometime [1] - 21:7 **SONAR** [20] - 11:7, 11:8, 11:12, 25:22, 32:20, 33:1, 36:16, 37:18, 46:2, 47:4, 61:9, 61:11, 86:23, 96:11, 97:4, 97:17, 112:6, 117:6, 119:17, 119:25

**SONARs** [1] - 62:1

soon [1] - 56:12

sooner [1] - 70:5

sorely [1] - 113:9

sorry [6] - 34:15,

42:21, 47:15, 85:25,

88:1, 100:7 sort [1] - 71:7 Soudan [1] - 100:16 soundly [1] - 97:16 sounds [1] - 22:13 south [1] - 94:7 sovereign [4] - 51:17, 52:4, 52:17, 57:17 sovereigns [1] - 57:14 sovereignty [1] -59.15 space [2] - 107:12, 111.4 **spaces** [1] - 106:13 **SPEAKER** [11] - 5:9, 6:20, 11:7, 23:16, 24:4, 24:12, 86:2, 93:7, 93:9, 95:22, 102:15 **speaker** [4] - 15:18, 15:19, 15:21, 17:11 speaking [11] - 13:3, 13:12, 14:25, 17:1, 56:8, 75:23, 79:5, 82:12, 102:23, 110:18, 110:23 special [6] - 96:17, 97:6, 119:17, 119:19, 119:24 species [9] - 67:13, 67:15, 76:10, 76:16, 91:24, 105:2, 109:11. 114:2. 114:10 specific [17] - 16:10, 16:14, 22:22, 34:5, 34:6, 35:16, 36:9, 43:13, 44:23, 45:16, 62:4, 89:6, 89:13, 97:6, 104:13, 105:3, 112:17 specifically [6] - 37:5, 52:1, 61:13, 76:2, 99:7, 108:8 **specificity** [2] - 34:11, 88:24 **sped** [1] - 87:25 spell [15] - 13:22, 17:4, 17:8, 44:12, 47:25, 48:9, 51:1, 53:24, 55:25, 65:7, 70:23, 74:24, 82:19, 100:7, 102:21 spelled [7] - 13:23, 48:11, 65:10, 65:12, 78:25, 82:16, 82:17 spelling [2] - 17:12, 48.2 spend [2] - 53:1,

spent [1] - 96:10 spirit [1] - 87:19 spite [3] - 111:23, 111:24, 111:25 spoken [2] - 15:6, 119.8 spread [1] - 76:15 St [12] - 1:23, 2:9, 4:20, 18:9, 36:24, 79:4, 79:6, 83:10, 98:2, 98:5, 120:13, 121:6 staff [9] - 10:22, 11:14, 22:3, 22:21, 24:17, 27:20, 39:11, 77:20, 103:10 stand [5] - 17:6, 17:9, 108:18, 110:8, 110:21 standard [5] - 51:22, 84:3. 89:21. 95:6. 95:10 standards [9] - 51:7, 51:8, 51:12, 52:13, 53:9, 74:2, 74:5, 93:16, 94:12 standing [2] - 110:17, 110:22 stapled [2] - 120:5, 120:6 stark [1] - 61:7 start [8] - 37:1, 44:6, 53:25, 60:8, 60:22, 74:21, 106:9 started [5] - 11:24, 45:12, 64:25, 81:22, 91:10 starting [1] - 4:20 state [31] - 13:22, 13:24, 27:5, 29:12, 38:12, 44:12, 47:24, 48:8, 51:6, 52:9, 58:12, 70:22, 71:23, 72:4, 74:24, 75:4, 75:5, 78:3, 78:12, 88:11, 88:14, 88:17, 92:15, 93:16, 94:10, 101:8, 102:21, 103:3, 103:24, 112:9, 115:12 **STATE**[1] - 1:1 State [3] - 1:21, 25:9, 121:9 state-owned [1] - 75:4 statement [20] - 12:13, 22:10, 23:8, 25:10, 29:24, 31:20, 31:25, 36:17, 37:8, 42:7, 61:8, 68:16, 68:18,

68:20, 73:7, 74:14,

80:16, 86:17, 87:6, 88:20 statements [3] - 12:6, 17:2, 104:3 states [3] - 69:8, 72:1, 91:2 States [2] - 89:18, 90:19 stating [2] - 16:7, 60:13 status [1] - 57:10 statute [11] - 40:19, 45:2, 92:13, 96:15, 96:16, 96:17, 96:19, 97:2, 97:16, 97:17, 112:15 Statute [1] - 27:19 Statutes [9] - 7:21, 18:1, 19:16, 33:6, 33:8, 33:11, 40:17, 46:6, 88:8 statutes [4] - 25:21, 27:16, 46:12, 96:13 statutory [11] - 9:4, 9:21, 20:2, 26:23, 32:25, 33:2, 33:4, 33:10, 35:5, 37:20, 45:10 **stay** [2] - 38:14, 59:25 stays [1] - 108:3 stenotype [1] - 121:4 step [2] - 23:21, 117:22 stepping [1] - 23:17 steps [1] - 36:1 still [4] - 50:6, 50:18, 59:5, 72:6 storage [7] - 49:22, 50:9, 99:13, 99:17, 99:19, 99:21, 99:23 storing [3] - 49:25, 50:3, 99:16 straight [2] - 7:9, 52:19 straightforward [1] -7.7 stream [2] - 91:15, 91:18 **streamline** [5] - 34:3, 68:4, 87:11, 90:3, 97:15 streamlined [1] - 73:4 streamlining [4] -62:2, 92:23, 96:22, 97:5 streams [3] - 63:2, 63:3, 91:25 Street [1] - 2:9 strengthen [1] - 116:2 stretch [1] - 81:19

62:22

strict [1] - 74:12 Strom [1] - 1:20 **STROM** [2] - 121:3, 121.23 **strong** [1] - 119:8 stronger [2] - 49:13, strongly [3] - 92:2, 92:6, 92:9 student [1] - 59:7 study [1] - 56:19 stuff [4] - 56:6, 72:13, 72:24, 115:14 **sub** [3] - 42:15, 42:16, 83:22 subdivision [5] - 18:2, 33:7, 33:12, 88:11, 88:14 subject [3] - 4:25, 7:14, 19:6 submission [2] -18:14, 25:22 submit [19] - 5:1, 5:2, 5:13, 5:14, 5:21, 5:24, 5:25, 6:11, 12:12, 14:12, 18:16, 18:19, 21:4, 30:12, 43:22, 43:23, 102:9, 113:24, 114:21 submits [1] - 30:19 submitted [5] - 5:10, 5:11, 19:23, 31:11, 46:14 submitting [3] - 5:20, 22:22, 100:10 subparagraph [4] -49:12, 49:15, 49:23, 50:8 subpart [32] - 25:7, 28:22, 38:23, 40:14, 40:17, 40:23, 41:11, 41:25, 42:14, 46:3, 46:23, 46:25, 47:1, 47:6, 48:20, 61:2, 65:16, 66:4, 66:20, 75:2, 77:19, 79:12, 83:17, 83:20, 84:23, 86:11, 98:8, 99:13, 105:4, 105:20, 116:17 subparts [5] - 37:2, 37:15, 37:19, 39:20, 39:21 substantive [1] - 42:5 substituted [1] - 99:17 **suggestion** [1] - 83:18 suggests [1] - 22:25 **summarize** [2] - 10:3, 10:5 summarizing [1] -

12:2 summary [4] - 26:24, 68:14, 69:12, 77:2 summer [1] - 75:17 Superior [3] - 49:18, 54:9, 64:15 superior [4] - 22:7, 22:10, 112:7, 112:20 **supersede** [1] - 72:6 **supersedes** [1] - 62:3 supply [1] - 96:21 support [13] - 16:11, 65:14, 80:3, 80:21, 81:12, 87:11, 99:14, 103:8, 109:21, 110:1, 110:3, 110:8, 114:22 **supported** [1] - 39:9 supporting [2] -43:11, 68:12 supports [1] - 98:17 **supposed** [1] - 11:5 supreme [2] - 52:7, 71:21 surface [1] - 67:3 surprised [1] - 100:21 surrender [2] - 57:20, 57:21 survive[1] - 108:10 Susan [4] - 1:20, 3:10, 86:8, 86:9 **SUSAN** [3] - 86:9, 121:3, 121:23 sustainable [2] -62:17, 69:21 swamps [3] - 66:24, 67:12 swimming [1] - 62:24 switch [2] - 27:10, 47:16 system [2] - 46:6, 90:6 systematic [1] - 28:9 **systems** [3] - 40:14, 105:16, 106:3

## Т

T-a-m-m-e-n [1] 100:15
T-o-p-p-i-n-g [1] - 54:2
table [18] - 4:22, 8:18,
10:9, 10:10, 10:12,
11:4, 12:12, 12:24,
13:8, 14:9, 21:2,
37:11, 43:19, 57:22,
58:16, 60:3, 104:22,
110:11
talks [2] - 93:24, 99:1

**TAMMEN**[1] - 100:14

tanks [2] - 50:10, 98.22 tax [1] - 75:5 taxes [1] - 101:23 technical [5] - 17:5, 17:8, 17:9, 34:4, 75:12 technically [1] - 103:5 telephone [1] - 12:18 ten [1] - 82:1 tend [1] - 61:18 terms [7] - 17:5, 17:9, 38:12, 48:22, 73:17, 73:18, 112:9 territory [2] - 51:20, 52:6 testify [2] - 70:5, 86:4 testimony [3] - 44:6, 83:10, 118:7 text [1] - 106:23 **THE** [116] - 1:3, 4:3, 5:11, 6:2, 6:18, 6:22, 10:18, 10:25, 11:9, 11:12, 11:18, 11:22, 20:23, 21:1, 21:13, 21:17, 22:1, 22:13, 22:18, 23:4, 23:24, 24:6, 24:8, 24:13, 26:11, 32:23, 44:2, 44:5. 46:25. 47:10. 47:15, 47:22, 48:1, 48:4, 48:8, 48:13, 50:13, 50:20, 50:23, 51:1, 51:3, 51:5, 52:22, 53:18, 53:24, 55:20, 55:23, 60:2, 60:9, 60:22, 64:20, 64:24, 65:6, 65:13, 67:6, 67:11, 69:23, 70:1, 70:7, 70:12, 70:15, 70:17, 70:19, 70:22, 74:13, 74:19, 74:23, 75:8, 77:12, 78:17, 78:22, 79:19, 81:15, 81:21, 82:7, 82:10, 82:15, 82:21, 83:3, 83:8, 85:6, 85:12, 85:15, 85:23, 86:6, 87:1, 87:24, 93:5, 93:8, 93:11, 95:13, 95:21, 95:24, 100:12, 102:12, 106:18, 107:8, 107:21, 109:24, 111:7, 111:11, 115:2, 117:24, 118:3, 118:8,

Tammen [4] - 3:13,

100:13, 100:15,

102:13

118:12, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:3, 119:7, 119:12, 119:21, 120:2, 120:6, 120:9 themselves [3] - 6:4. 22:23. 68:2 thereafter [1] - 121:11 thereby [1] - 34:11 therefore [5] - 35:14, 62:10, 77:25, 91:6, 92:6 thinking [2] - 8:7, 58:9 third [2] - 26:7, 53:19 thirds [1] - 101:14 THIS [1] - 121:21 thorough [1] - 56:24 thoughtfulness [1] -103:10 thoughts [7] - 8:16, 9:13, 50:19, 53:6, 107:13, 107:18, 111:5 thousands [2] - 64:14, 64:15 threat [4] - 113:19, 113:21, 114:7 threatens [1] - 109:15 three [10] - 9:3, 9:11, 25:4, 29:19, 58:19, 59:12, 71:22, 87:10, 120:5 three-year [1] - 29:19 threshold [18] - 32:4, 40:13, 40:15, 40:19, 61:5, 61:6, 61:7, 65:17, 79:15, 79:24, 83:21, 84:6, 84:7, 89:5, 91:4, 91:7, 92:8, 99:20 thresholds [8] - 29:12, 29:15, 33:16, 33:19, 34:5, 34:6, 62:5, 80:15 throughout [2] - 27:8, 32:15 timeframe [3] - 29:19, 49:6, 49:8 timeline [2] - 39:15, 39:18 timing [1] - 49:5 titles [1] - 45:25 today [38] - 4:7, 4:9, 12:5, 12:8, 12:13, 12:15, 12:23, 14:3, 14:15, 16:2, 16:15, 16:20, 21:21, 24:17, 26:9, 26:17, 27:1, 28:21, 59:17, 59:23,

65:3, 75:20, 75:23,

77:3, 77:18, 79:5, 79:12, 81:13, 90:15, 98:13, 102:2, 102:24, 103:4, 103:7, 103:13, 104:11, 106:7, 112:22 todav's [4] - 7:15. 7:17, 43:24, 92:2 together [5] - 14:22, 14:25, 55:18, 120:5, 120.7 **Tom** [1] - 86:10 took [1] - 35:25 top [1] - 108:10 **topping** [1] - 53:20 Topping [4] - 2:24, 53:20, 54:1, 63:25 **TOPPING** [2] - 53:21, 54:1 totally [1] - 46:20 tour [1] - 54:16 towards [2] - 91:3, 92:8 tower [1] - 91:12 town [1] - 13:25 toxic [1] - 54:15 toxins [1] - 67:19 tracking [2] - 45:22, 99:22 traffic [6] - 62:13, 62:16, 63:11, 63:13, 63:15, 91:20 trail [12] - 61:15, 61:20, 75:22, 75:25, 76:1, 76:2, 76:4, 76:5, 76:22, 89:3, 90:11, 93:1 trails [13] - 33:20, 34:6, 61:14, 61:15, 62:18, 86:16, 87:9, 88:4, 88:22, 90:8, 92:12, 92:23, 96:15 Trails [2] - 75:2, 86:12 transcribed [2] -16:24, 121:12 transcript [3] - 121:13, 121:14, 121:18 transfer [1] - 95:1 translate [1] - 112:19 Transmission [1] -46:23 travel [1] - 82:9 treated [1] - 58:5 treaties [14] - 52:7, 57:4, 57:15, 57:18, 57:20, 57:22, 57:24, 58:11, 59:3, 71:22, 93:17, 116:6, 116:8 Treaties [1] - 57:9

treating [1] - 77:10 Treaty [8] - 51:16, 51:19, 51:24, 52:1, 52:6, 52:14, 53:9, 54.17 treaty [11] - 54:19, 57:1, 57:12, 59:18, 60:11, 71:8, 71:12, 71:15, 71:25, 72:7, 110:9 trial [1] - 15:17 tribal [3] - 23:22, 57:10, 58:13 Tribe [1] - 56:3 tribe [3] - 57:15, 59:14 tribes [2] - 57:11, 71:24 tributaries [1] - 63:3 tributary [1] - 91:17 trigger [2] - 79:17, 79:24 trout [1] - 92:1 true [3] - 23:4, 91:8, 121:18 truly [1] - 59:24 trust [1] - 75:6 try [2] - 65:2, 76:18 trying [9] - 47:22, 51:11, 55:16, 70:13, 71:5, 76:15, 87:3, 96:1, 109:14 Tuesday [1] - 19:21 TUMMINELLO [6] -47:19, 47:24, 48:3, 48:6, 74:16, 118:10 Tumminello [1] -47:20 turn [3] - 15:3, 114:9, 114:10 twentieth [1] - 72:21 Twin [2] - 64:2, 98:1 two [26] - 4:18, 5:19, 18:7, 18:10, 18:12, 24:9, 26:5, 37:25, 39:7, 39:19, 45:16, 46:15, 52:7, 54:6, 57:14, 57:23, 60:18, 60:21, 61:1, 72:17, 77:3, 80:25, 101:14, 105:3, 116:18 two-mile [1] - 80:25 two-party [1] - 57:23 two-thirds [1] - 101:14 type [3] - 34:22, 35:4, 98:25 types [22] - 29:11, 29:12, 29:20, 33:20, 34:20, 38:1, 38:6, 41:25, 61:14, 65:22, 66:4, 66:5, 66:12,

66:19, 66:21, 66:23, 79:25, 89:12, 89:17, 89:25, 90:7, 105:7 typewriting [1] - 121:12 typewritten [1] - 121:14 typically [4] - 32:13, 38:25, 39:9, 71:25

### U

ultimately [2] - 15:24,

unacceptable [1] -

unaccounted [1] -

unbelievable [1] -

unaware [1] - 114:12

uncertainty [1] - 28:23

unchanged [1] - 92:10

unclear [1] - 17:13

**U.S** [1] - 5:14

30:24

113:22

90:25

64:10

under [17] - 9:1, 12:23, 22:4, 33:9, 45:2, 46:19, 61:9, 80:12, 84:6, 84:15, 84:18, 86:24, 87:8, 88:10, 89:5, 95:11, 121:12 undergo [1] - 30:1 underlying [2] - 8:13, 97:14 underscore [1] -111:19 understood [1] - 68:6 unheard [1] - 58:21 UNIDENTIFIED [11] -5:9, 6:20, 11:7, 23:16, 24:4, 24:12, 86:2, 93:7, 93:9, 95:22, 102:15 unintended [1] - 65:20 unique [2] - 57:10, 112:22 unit [5] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:13, 39:2, 99:6 Unit [2] - 116:11, 116:14 United [5] - 59:20, 60:10, 89:18, 90:19, 113:25 units [4] - 34:15, 35:1, 35:22, 92:19 unless [3] - 20:10, 37:23, 99:22 unmaintained [1] -89:18

unreasonable [1] -77.7 up [39] - 6:10, 6:12, 8:21, 8:22, 13:8, 13:14, 14:9, 15:7, 16:2, 18:4, 22:16, 24:3, 27:5, 44:7, 45:15, 47:16, 48:15, 52:8, 59:9, 59:12, 60:3, 70:10, 70:20, 78:11, 87:25, 98:4, 98:22, 101:12, 106:22, 108:18, 109:1, 109:8, 110:18, 110:21, 110:23, 111:3, 118:25, 119:4 update [3] - 35:4, 41:13, 93:18 updated [1] - 35:7 updates [1] - 27:21 updating [1] - 92:24 upper [1] - 100:19 uranium [3] - 77:24, 78:6, 94:20 urgency [6] - 98:13, 114:6, 114:7, 114:22, 115:13, 115:17 urgent [1] - 115:1 usable [1] - 28:4 useful [1] - 81:3 useless [1] - 94:2 uses [3] - 30:2, 61:15, 63:15 utmost [1] - 108:14

unpaved [4] - 63:2,

63:6, 63:10, 91:14

# ٧

vague [3] - 76:1, 89:2, 89:15 valuable [2] - 67:22, 104:23 various [3] - 9:14, 71:14, 74:3 vary [1] - 61:15 **vegetation** [1] - 63:21 vehicle [2] - 62:18, 90:16 vehicles [3] - 89:4, 89:8, 89:11 venture [1] - 103:19 venue [1] - 113:10 versus [1] - 109:24 vested [1] - 57:14 vicinity [1] - 73:13 view [1] - 89:1

views [2] - 9:14, 9:18 visitors [1] - 62:21 voice [2] - 63:25, 73:10 voices [1] - 8:3 volume [2] - 62:16, 91:20 VOLUME [1] - 1:16 voluntarily [1] - 64:9 voluntary [1] - 72:5 volunteer [1] - 100:9 votes [2] - 58:21, 59:13 voting [1] - 27:6 vulnerable [1] - 66:25

### W

W-i-I-I-i-s [1] - 111:14 **W-i-l-m** [1] - 75:1 wait [1] - 50:20 waiting [3] - 74:15, 95:25, 118:12 walk [4] - 28:25, 30:15, 35:15, 35:19 walking [1] - 86:2 wants [6] - 14:16, 14:19, 15:3, 81:24, 112:2, 120:12 warm [1] - 53:21 warranted [2] - 41:3, 43:1 waste [1] - 45:14 water [39] - 51:7, 51:8, 51:11, 51:21, 52:14, 52:18, 53:9, 54:8, 54:17, 54:21, 54:25, 55:3, 55:16, 55:17, 56:21, 57:21, 61:17, 67:19, 76:14, 80:11, 91:24, 93:15, 105:1, 107:7, 107:16, 107:19, 107:23, 107:24, 108:1, 108:4, 108:8, 108:15, 108:22, 109:4, 110:18, 110:23, 111:22 Water [4] - 77:16, 77:23, 85:8, 85:10 waters [5] - 63:9. 63:20, 85:17, 87:17, 90.10 watershed [1] - 85:18 waterways [1] - 63:7 ways [2] - 80:7, 104:20 web [2] - 36:9, 43:25 website [16] - 5:12,

5:13, 5:17, 5:21, 6:7, 6:10, 6:13, 6:17, 10:14, 10:15, 18:22, 19:1, 19:4, 43:20, 43:22 Wednesday [1] -19:19 week [1] - 117:8 welcome [4] - 8:10, 22:15, 23:7, 95:15 West [1] - 108:24 Wetland [1] - 41:15 wetland [14] - 65:19, 66:1, 66:5, 66:6, 66:11, 66:16, 66:21, 66:22, 79:13, 79:25, 81:7, 82:25, 84:12, 84:23 wetlands [19] - 63:4, 65:22, 66:1, 66:4, 66:10, 66:17, 66:20, 66:23, 67:17, 75:5, 80:11, 83:17, 84:1, 91:18, 107:17, 107:19, 110:4, 110:5 white [2] - 57:3, 94:7 whole [4] - 6:23, 84:23, 94:14, 116:23 wholly [2] - 66:2, 66:17 wide [1] - 89:23 widened [1] - 91:11 widening [1] - 83:24 width [1] - 91:5 wife [1] - 100:15 wild [4] - 55:16, 63:4, 91:18, 111:2 Wildlife [2] - 57:3, 57:8 wildlife [6] - 62:15, 62:24, 63:15, 63:21, 87:18, 90:10 Williams [1] - 57:6 willing [2] - 21:24, 55:6 Willis [2] - 3:16, 111:14 wilm [1] - 77:12 Wilm [2] - 3:6, 74:25 WILM [3] - 74:21, 74:25, 75:14 wilson [2] - 12:24,

24:1

WILSON [7] - 2:4,

Wilson [3] - 2:17,

24:22, 26:16

wind [11] - 6:12,

27:11, 38:21, 40:22,

42:6, 52:24, 53:13

40:14, 45:21, 46:5,

46:11, 46:15, 46:18, Υ 46:19, 46:21, 94:17, 94:19 yammering [2] - 45:7 wing [1] - 71:5 year [6] - 29:19, 39:8, Wisconsin [1] - 71:24 62:22, 72:22, 85:2, wisdom [1] - 8:14 wise [2] - 87:5, 92:19 101:9 years [8] - 55:14, wish [5] - 12:12, 75:24, 101:24, 12:14, 12:16, 14:8, 108:25, 109:16, 118:11 109:18, 114:8, wishes [1] - 12:22 115:13 wishing [1] - 10:4 young [2] - 110:21, withdraw [2] - 72:11, 111:19 72:25 younger [2] - 77:3, withdrawal [2] - 41:4, 110:20 43:3 yourself [2] - 14:1, withdrawing [1] -113:12 77:20 yourselves [1] - 65:7 withdrew [2] - 77:21, 77:25 Ζ **WITNESS** [1] - 121:21 wondering [5] - 10:21, 51:13, 52:2, 52:15, zones [1] - 63:5 52:19 wooded [2] - 66:24, 67:12 word [2] - 82:18, 112:13 wording [2] - 89:15, 96:25 words [5] - 38:9, 56:10, 58:3, 76:6, 97:5 Works [2] - 79:4, 79:6 worksheet [5] - 29:23, 30:8, 30:17, 37:7, 104:4 world [1] - 54:8 world's [3] - 54:7, 106:2 worried [1] - 56:16 write [3] - 5:5, 82:25, 84:4 writes [1] - 69:5 writing [5] - 15:25, 23:10, 23:11, 84:9, 100:10 written [18] - 12:13, 14:7, 14:12, 14:14, 16:4, 17:19, 17:25, 18:15, 18:16, 21:4, 43:22, 43:23, 69:24, 71:18, 104:1, 104:9, 113:24, 116:15 wrote [1] - 84:5 **Wyoming** [1] - 100:19