Goal: All waters in M innesota be fishable and swimmable (100\%).
This metric is based on Minnesota's level of attainment toward state and national goals for the Clean Water Act to have all waters be fishable and swimmable. It is yellow because a moderate number ( $60 \%$ ) of M innesota's lakes and streams support swimming and fishing.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 40\% of lakes and <br> streams support swimming <br> and fishing | 40 to 70\% of lakes and streams <br> support swimming and fishing | Greater than 70\% lakes and streams support <br> swimming and fishing |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW |  |
|  | Work continues to complete <br> the first round of lake <br> monitoring across the state. <br> Beginning in 2019, trends will <br> become available. |  |

Goal: Reduce per capita water consumption use by $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ per year.
This is metric based on water consumption data. It is yellow because our goal is to reduce per capita water consumption use by $1.5 \%$ per year and we are close to, but not exceeding, that goal. This metric is trending flat because average water consumption per capita over a ten-year period is not decreasing fast enough to ensure we continue to meet this goal.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita water consumption <br> increasing $>.5 \%$ per year. | Per capital water consumption <br> change between $+5 \%$ to - <br> $1.5 \%$ per year. | Decreasing per capita water consumption - <br> exceeding 1.5 percent per year. |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| 10-year linear trend line for <br> rolling 3-year average of per <br> capita water consumption has <br> a positive slope of at least 500 <br> gal. per person | 10-year linear trend line for <br> rolling 3-year average per <br> capita water consumption has <br> a slope of between 500 gal. <br> and -500 gal. | 10-year linear trend line for rolling 3-year <br> average per capita water consumption has a <br> negative slope of at least -500 gal. |


|  | Goal: $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of private wells are below the Health Risk Limit (HRL) for nitrate. <br> This metric is based on Minnesota Department of Agriculture's private well monitoring network for nitrate in two vulnerable areas of the state (southeast and central Minnesota) to determine nitrate concentrations and trends. It is red because $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ of the private wells sampled in central Minnesota and $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ of private wells sampled in southeast Minnesota are below the state's Health Risk Limit (HRL). This metric is trending flat because there is no statistically significant upward or downward trend in the percentage of wells below the HRL. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
|  | <98\% -nitrate below the HRL in Central $<95 \%$-below the HRL in SE | $\geq 98 \%$ nitrate below the HRL in Central <br> $\geq 95 \%$ nitrate below the HRL in SE | 100\% nitrate below the HRL in Central $100 \%$ nitrate below the HRL in SE |
|  | DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
|  | Statistically significant downward trend in nitrate concentrations. | No statistically significant upward or downward trend in nitrate concentrations. | Statistically significant upward trend in nitrate concentrations. |

## =Status of Metric

Goal: There is no stated goal, but we are looking at historic trends for how to use land efficiently. We want to better understand land conversion patterns and the impact of trends.
This metric is based on levels of land conversion and how efficiently we develop land as our population and economy grows. It is yellow because the amount of land developed per 1,000 people is between $\mathbf{4 2 8 . 0 6}$ acres and 468.54 acres-which is a moderate amount compared to historic patterns. This metric is trending flat because the 15-year trend of land developed per person is relatively flat (.5\% of less positive or negative)

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developed acres per 1,000 <br> persons exceeds 468.54 acres. | Developed acres per 1,000 <br> persons is between 428.06 <br> acres and 468.54 acres. | Developed acres per 1,000 <br> persons is less than 428.06 <br> acres. |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| 15-year trend (percent <br> change) in developed acres per <br> 1,000 persons is greater than <br> $0.5 \%$ upward (i.e., is positive) | 15-year trend is relatively flat <br> (0.5\% or less positive or <br> negative) | 15-year trend is greater than <br> $0.5 \%$ downward (i.e., is <br> negative) |

Goals: Twin Cities recycling goal = 75\% of generated waste.
Greater M innesota recycling goal $=35 \%$ of generated waste.
This metric is yellow because we are not meeting our recycling goals as a state. Currently, as a state we recycle approximately $\mathbf{4 6 . 2 \%}$ of all waste in M innesota. Currently, the Twin Cities recycles 49.9\% of waste; Greater M innesota recycles 41.7\%. The arrow is flat because recycling and organics management are at or above historic levels but are not on track to meet goals.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 44.4 \%$ Recycling \& Organics <br> M anagement |  <br> Organics M anagement | $\geq 48.6 \%$ Recycling \& Organics <br> M anagement |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| Recycling and Organics <br> management rates are below <br> historical levels. | Recycling and Organics <br> management rates are at or <br> above historical levels but are <br> not on track to meet goals. | Recycling and Organics <br> management rates are on <br> track to meet goals. |

## Goal: Zero air quality alert days in Minnesota.

This metric is based on number of days per year with air quality alerts. It is yellow because Minnesota has experienced a moderate number of air quality alerts (between 8 and 18 days) and we could get closer to the goal of zero air quality alert days. This metric is trending up because the average number of air quality alert days over the last three years is more than 2 days fewer than the average number of alert days from the previous 3-years.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or more days of unhealthy air ( $>5 \%$ of days) | 8 to 18 days of unhealthy air (2-5\% of days) | 7 or less days of unhealthy air (<2\% of days) |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| Average number of air quality alert days over the last 3-years is more than 2 days greater than the average number of alert days from the previous 3-years. | Difference in average alert days between the most recent 3 -years and the previous 3 -years is less than or equal to 2 days. | Average number of air quality alert days over the last three years is more than 2 days fewer than the average number of alert days from the previous 3-years. |


| $\sum_{8}^{5}$ | Goal: The goal is to reduce asthma Emergency Room (ER) visits. <br> This metric is tied to the number of asthma ER visits within three age groups ( $0-4,5-64$, and $65+$ ). There is a target goal for reducing asthma ER visits in each of these groups. The metric is yellow because $M$ innesota is only meeting targets for one of the three age groups. This metric is trending down because the 2014 data-which is the most recent-does not show improvement compared to the previous year. In 2013, M innesota was meeting two of the three age group goals, but in 2014 we were only meeting one. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
|  | M eeting 0 of 3 age group targets | M eeting 1 or 2 age group targets | M eeting all 3 age group targets |
|  | DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
|  | M eeting fewer age group targets than previous year | M eeting the same number of age group targets as previous year | M eeting more age group targets than previous year |


| TRANSTRIDERSHP | Goal: Double transit ridership in the Twin Cities (2003 to 2030) and meet 90\% of demand for transit in Greater Minnesota counties. <br> Annual targets for statewide transit ridership are calculated by adding together separate targets for the Twin Cities metro-area and Greater Minnesota. The basis of the metro-area target is the M et Council's 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (TPP), which set the goal of doubling 2003 ridership by $2030^{1}$. The basis of the Greater M innesota target is a legislative requirement that transit service providers in Greater M innesota counties provide service sufficient to meet $90 \%$ of estimated demand for transit by 2025. Transit ridership exceeded 2015 targets in both the metro-area and Greater M innesota, but year-over-year growth was significantly less than the pace needed to achieve the longer-term goals. <br> ${ }^{1}$ This goal was not included in the 2040 TPP and will be reassessed as part of a future TPP update. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
|  | Statewide ridership less than 95\% of targeted ridership; AND Statewide ridership growth less than targeted growth. | Statewide ridership less than $95 \%$ of targeted ridership; BUT statewide ridership growth greater than targeted growth. <br> Statewide ridership greater than $95 \%$ of targeted ridership; BUT statewide ridership growth less than targeted growth. | Statewide ridership greater than 95\% of targeted ridership; AND Statewide ridership growth greater than targeted growth. |
|  | DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
|  | Growth <0 | Growth $\geq 0$ but less than targeted growth. | Growth > targeted growth |

## =Status of Metric

This metric is yellow because $80 \%-100 \%$ of reporting utilities are on track to meet this goal, however the opportunity exists to go much further towards a $50 \%$ goal. This metric is trending upward because $100 \%$ of reporting utilities are on track to supply $25 \%$ of energy supply from renewable energy by 2025.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $80 \%$ of reporting <br> utilities are on track to meet or <br> exceed $25 \%$ by 2025. | $80 \%-100 \%$ of reporting utilities are on <br> track to meet $25 \%$ by 2025. | $100 \%$ of reporting utilities are on <br> track to meet or exceed $25 \%$ by <br> 2025. |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| $100 \%$ of reporting utilities are <br> not on track to meet the $25 \%$ <br> by 2025. | $100 \%$ of reporting utilities are only on <br> track to meet $25 \%$ by 2025. | $100 \%$ of reporting utilities are on <br> track to exceed the $25 \%$ RPS. |


|  | Goal: Reduce household energy use to help meet Next Generation Energy Goals. <br> M innesota is making its homes more energy efficient, however, energy consumption continues to increase with the growth in air conditioning use, appliances, and personal devices. This metric is green because household energy use in M innesota has decreased by $1 \%$ or more. This metric is trending flat because there has been three consecutive years in which no significant decrease in household energy use has been observed. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
|  | $+1 \% \mathrm{HH}$ residential energy use (EIA data) | -1 to 0\% HH residential energy use (EIA data) | -1\% and below HH residential energy use (EIA data) |
|  | DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
|  | 3 consecutive years of $+1 \% \mathrm{HH}$ residential energy use demonstrates a downward trend (which would be signified by an upward trend line in the graphical representation of use) | 3 consecutive years of -1 to $0 \% \mathrm{HH}$ residential energy use which indicate a steady trend of no significant change. | 3 consecutive years of $-1 \%$ and below HH residential use demonstrates an upward trend (which would be signified by a downward trend line in the graphical representation of use) |


|  | Goal: Reduce transportation fuel use at a pace sufficient to support the state's greenhouse gas reduction goals. <br> Transportation fuel use is evaluated by comparing actual fuel use in a given year to a fuel use target that aligns with Next Generation Energy Act greenhouse gas reduction goals. Converted to transportation fuel use, these goals call for reductions in transportation fuel use equal to 15 percent of 2005 levels by 2015 and 25 percent of 2005 levels by 2025 . |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
|  | Total transportation fuel use greater than targeted fuel use and year-over-year decrease less than targeted decrease. | Total transportation fuel use greater than targeted fuel use but year-over-year decrease in fuel use greater than the targeted year-over-year decrease OR Total transportation fuel use less than targeted fuel use but year-over-year decrease in fuel use less than targeted year-over-year decrease | Total transportation fuel use less than targeted fuel use and year-overyear decrease in fuel use greater than targeted year-over-year decrease |
|  | DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
|  | Year-over year increase in fuel use | Year-over-year decrease in fuel use less than targeted year-over-year decrease. | Year-over-year decrease in fuel use greater than targeted year-over-year decrease |

Goal: Less than $2^{\circ}$ Celsius globally, MN consistent with this based on international goal
This metric is red because statewide low temperatures have been increasing rapidly in M innesota. This metric is trending down because the rate of low temperature increases has accelerated in more recent decades, i.e. the statewide low temperature trend in the last 50 is worse than the trends between 1895-2015.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1895-2015 statewide low temperatures increasing by average rate of at least $0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per decade | 1895-2015 statewide low temperatures increasing by less than $0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per decade | 1895-2015 statewide low temperatures either not changing or decreasing (indicating that nighttime warming has stopped or been reversed) |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| Statewide low temperature trend for most recent 50 years is positive and exceeds 18952015 trend by more than $0.05^{\circ}$ F per decade | Statewide low temperature trend for most recent 50 years is positive or neutral and is within $H-0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ of 18952015 trend. | Statewide low temperature trend for most recent 50 years is less than 1895-2015 trend by more than $0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Any negative trend (cooling) gets this designation automatically. |

## Goal: Next Generation Energy Act of 2007 GHG goals.

This metric shows progress toward meeting the Greenhouse Gas reduction goals in the Next Generation Energy Act of 2007. It is red because Minnesota had only slight reduction (3\%) in GHG emissions since 2005 which is much less than $80 \%$ of the reduction necessary to be on track to meet the Next Generation Energy Act Reduction Goal. While progress has been made and the steps we have taken mean that total emissions are not increasing above the baseline, the trend over the past five years (2009-2014) shows increasing emissions. M PCA. (to be published January 2017) Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction: Biennial report to the Minnesota Legislature. Available at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/air/greenhouse-gas-emissions-minnesota-0

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 80\% of Next <br> Generation Energy Act <br> Reduction Goal | 80\%-100\% of Next Generation Energy Act <br> Reduction Goal | M eeting or better than Next <br> Generation Energy Act Reduction <br> Goal |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| Emissions increasing, positive <br> slope of 5-year linear trend. | Emissions flat, insignificant slope | Decreasing emissions, negative <br> slope |

Goal: Healthy and stable cisco population
This metric is based on the health of cisco populations. Cisco is a main food source for walleye and trout. The metric is yellow because cisco abundance is low compared to historic levels but not yet dangerously low. The metric is trending downward because populations have declined over a ten-year period.

| RED | YELLOW | GREEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M ean fish per net, less than 1 | Mean fish per net: greater than 1 less <br> than 5 | Mean fish per net: 5 or greater |
| DOWN ARROW | FLAT ARROW | UP ARROW |
| Based on a ten-year trend line <br> for cisco abundance trend <br> (mean fish per net of sampled <br> lakes) - A negative linear trend <br> with slope of less than -0.1 | Based on a ten-year trend line for cisco <br> abundance trend (mean fish per net of <br> sampled lakes) - A flat linear trend with <br> slope between -0.1 and 0.1 | Based on a ten-year trend line for <br> cisco abundance trend (mean fish <br> per net of sampled lakes) -A <br> positive linear trend with slope of <br> more than 0.1 |

