

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
1	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.1.	EAW highway category	*Supports: Aux Lane definition, additional <u>through</u> lakes or <u>passing lanes</u> , <u>two</u> or more miles.	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Mark R. Sehr, PE Rock County Highway Department 1120 N. Blue Mound Avenue PO Box 808 Luverne, MN 56156-0808	Dual-notice Comment Period
2	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed revisions to subpart 27, item B would significantly increase the number of projects that trigger preparation of an EAW"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
3	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The types of wetlands included have been expanded"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
4	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The area of wetland impact that triggers an EAW has been significantly reduced to one acre made up by accumulating smaller wetland impacts."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
5	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Although both the existing and amended rule language limit the applicability of this category to projects where any part of the wetland is within a shoreland area, a delineated floodplain, a state or federally designated wild and scenic rivers district, the Minnesota River Project Riverbend area, or the Mississippi headwaters area, this clause eliminates relatively few of our county highway projects that impact one or more acre of wetland."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
6	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Subpart 27 item B, especially as revised, does not meet the core purposes of Minnesota's environmental review rules and may detract from their effectiveness for other projects. In our experience the environmental review process can serve as a meaningful project planning tool when applied to projects that have a variety of potential impacts and alternatives."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
7	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed revisions to subpart 27 item B are inconsistent with the intent of this rulemaking"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
8	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed revisions to subpart 27 item B would result in new costs"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
9	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Per Minn. R. 14.131 the agency must consult with the commissioner of Minnesota Management and Budget (MMB) to help evaluate the fiscal impact and fiscal benefits of the proposed rule on units of local government."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
10	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"In addition to the expense of preparing an EAW for additional projects, one of our biggest concerns is the negative impact this category as revised would have on project delivery timelines, likely leading to project implementation delays of 12 months or more."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
11	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Preparing an EAW for projects that do not require review based on any other category (i.e. they only trigger an EAW due to impacts to public waters or wetlands) does not increase environmental protection because it duplicates environmental review efforts already required by state and federal regulations governing work in wetlands and public waters that require the project proposer to avoid, minimize, and mitigate such impacts."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
12	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Many projects undertaken by road authorities, in particular, would not benefit from preparing an EAW."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
13	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Preparing a state level EAW for a project with wetland impacts duplicates federal environmental review. Projects that will result in impacts to wetlands or other waters of the US covered by a United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) permit are considered federal actions subject to the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). According to the USACE web site "USACE often uses a regional general permit (RGP) to authorize activities that are similar in nature and cause only minimal adverse environmental impacts to aquatic resources, separately or on a cumulative basis." USACE prepares a programmatic Environmental Assessment for each USACE regional general permit. A regional general permit contains specific terms and conditions, all of which must be met for project-specific actions to be covered by the permit."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
14	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed changes to subpart 27 item B were not included in the required notifications to the public and the entities identified for the following dates listed on the EQB website as July 22, 2013; November 9, 2015; or October 24, 2016."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
15	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Minn. Stat. § 14.131 requires that an agency proposing rules include in the SONAR "an assessment of any differences between the proposed rule and existing federal regulations and a specific analysis of the need for and reasonableness of each difference.""	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
16	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.1.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"recommends that EQB delete 4410.4300 subpart 27 from the rules in its entirety to eliminate duplication"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period
17	All		I.1.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Mark R. Sehr	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
18	All		I.2.	General Comment	"Changing or making new rules should always be made to the public. The biggest problem with the way it is set up is that most of the time the rural population feel like we have no choice. Everything is done in St.Paul!"	Exhibit Q	N/A	Cal Anderson, calscf66 <calscf66@gmail.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
19	All		I.3.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Elizabeth Dickinson <eadickinson@mindspring.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
20	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.4.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	"Failure of the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board to retain the language presently contained in 4410.4400 Subpart 8 Lines 20.1 through 20.3, as is hereby requested by the North American Water Office would be an unconscionable dereliction of duty and a murderous betrayal of the public's trust in the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board to protect public health and safety."	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Mary LeBlanc <leblancmary906@gmail.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
21	All		I.5.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Stephen P. Schnieder, P.E. Nobles County Public Works Director 960 Diagonal Road P O Box 187 Worthington, MN 56187-0187	Dual-notice Comment Period
22	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.5.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Stephen P. Schnieder, P.E. Nobles County Public Works Director	Dual-notice Comment Period
23	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.5.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit R	pages 42-43	Stephen P. Schnieder, P.E. Nobles County Public Works Director	Dual-notice Comment Period
24	All		I.6.		"There seems to be an inconsistency between the language in the Notice and in Admin rules for comment and requesting hearings when legality of a rule may be in question. Your Notice seems to require that any comment addressing legality of a rule change must be stated as such."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Willis Mattison, mattison@arvig.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
25	All		I.7.	Hearing Request	"This communication is in support of the North American Water Office's request to hold a public hearing regarding the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board proposing a rule change that would eliminate Mandatory Environmental Review for the Monitoring of radioactive characteristics in the metallic mineral mining processing permits."	N/A	N/A	La Shella Sims, <lasims3@gmail.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
26	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.8.	Pipeline provision	"The intent, then, of the proposed rule revision appears to be to require mandatory EISs for larger pipelines, and mandatory EAWs for the smaller ones, and to use the thresholds in section 216G.01 and 216.02 to make that determination. That makes sense, and Friends of the Headwaters ("FOH") could support that change. Unfortunately, that is not all the proposed rule revision does."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
27	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.8.	Pipeline provision	"...in the proposed new Minn. R. 4410.4300, subp. 7, there is a completely new sentence: "Environmental review must be conducted according to chapter 7852 and Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216G." That new sentence was not in the preliminary draft rules, it is not mentioned in the SONAR, and its rationale is not explained anywhere in the documents EQB has made public. Our concern is that this additional sentence might create a new argument for reversing, the decision of the Minnesota Court of Appeals in In re North Dakota Pipeline Co., 869 N.W.2d 693 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015), and sanctioning, by rule, the PUC's old "comprehensive environmental assessment" alternative to normal environmental review for pipeline projects."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
28	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.8.	Pipeline provision	"FOH is not arguing that EQB's rules for alternative review processes be changed in this rulemaking process, or that any previous authorizations be overturned by rule. The question of whether applicant-prepared CEA's under Minnesota Rules, chapter 7852, are adequate alternatives to full EISs in pipeline cases should be decided on the facts by the EQB, not by trying to slip in rule language through a technical amendments package."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
29	4410,4400, subpart 24		I.8.	Pipeline provision	"The second issue has to do with the mandatory EIS category for pipelines. The new mandatory EAW category uses the phrase "[f]or construction, as defined in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216G.01, subdivision 2," but the old mandatory EIS category will still use "[f]or routing." That potentially limits the scope of a pipeline EIS to issues not covered by a certificate of need, which is confusing and inconsistent with the North Dakota Pipeline Co. ruling."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
30	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.8.	Pipeline provision	FOH therefore recommends that the last sentence in the proposed new subpart 7 of Minn. R. 4410.4300 be deleted. FOH further recommends that EQB replace the word "routing" in the current Minn. R. 4410.4400, with the word "construction" or with the phrase "construction, as defined in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216G.01, subdivision 2."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
31	4410.0500 subpart 6		I.9.	RGU Selection Procedures	"I believe the whole board should be involved so maximize transparency in decision-making and furthermore, that the time period should be retained."	Exhibit Q	Page 22	Barbara Draper 2212 19th Ave NE Minneapolis, MN 55418 <barbaradraper@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
32	4410.4300, subpart 7		I.9.	Pipeline EAW Category	"Pipelines should have a mandatory EIS for the application as well as the certificate of need and routing. Also, gas pipelines should still be under the rules, either EAW or EIS."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Barbara Draper 2212 19th Ave NE Minneapolis, MN 55418 <barbaradraper@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
33	4410.4400, subpart 24		I.9.	Pipeline EIS Category	"This should be assessed for legality - it likely runs contrary to MEPA law and MN Court of Appeals Ruling."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Barbara Draper 2212 19th Ave NE Minneapolis, MN 55418 <barbaradraper@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
34	4410.4300, subpart 4		I.9.	Refinery EAW category	"Refinery expansions of 10,000 barrels per day should be subject to mandatory EIS requirements"	Exhibit Q	page 24	Barbara Draper 2212 19th Ave NE Minneapolis, MN 55418 <barbaradraper@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
35	4410.4400, subpart 4		I.9.	Refinery EIS category	"In addition to requiring EIS for construction of new refineries, major rebuilds (such as the one in Duluth) should be subject to mandatory EIS."	Exhibit Q	pages 49-50	Barbara Draper 2212 19th Ave NE Minneapolis, MN 55418 <barbaradraper@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
36	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed rule change is unwarranted and will impose enormous new costs on local governmental units and rural Minnesota property owners due to the significant expansion of the number of Environmental Assessment Worksheets that will be required if the proposed change is adopted."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck Minnesota House of Representatives 311 State Office Building, 100 Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, St. Paul MN 55155-1298 rep.dale.lueck@house.mn	Dual-notice Comment Period
37	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"All Minnesota governmental agencies and private property owners are subject to the wetland definitions contained in MN Rules Part 8420.0111, including-subparts 32 and 72 referenced in the proposed rule change. There is no need to repeat the definitions or single out individual wetland definitions that are already contained in MN Rule 8420.0111."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
38	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The EQB would be acting beyond the scope of its authority should it elect to impose new more restrictive acreage and wetland type parameters than currently exist in MN Rules 4410.4300 subpart 27.B."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
39	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The EQB lacks legislative direction to change specific the wetland acreage parameters. To do so without specific legislative direction disregards the spirit and intent of EQB' s existing rule making authority."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
40	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"This proposed change lacks sufficient justification, provides insufficient analysis of the new mandated costs it will impose on LGU' s and private citizens and is being proposed without the opportunity for adequate public input from those that would be impacted by the change and thus must not be adopted. New mandated costs to property owners and businesses: The proposed change singles out the private property owners and business operations in northern and north central Minnesota for a new round of unwarranted costs and delays should they attempt to make even small improvements to private property or business infrastructure. "	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
41	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed change will impose significant new cost on local government units by increasing the number of EA W's required for activities that might impact a wetland. Those costs will have to be paid by local taxpayers at the township, small city and county levels for processing the large number of additional EA W's this change would generate."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
42	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed change will also impose delays and new costs on road construction, road maintenance, and storm water infrastructure construction and maintenance"	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
43	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed change imposes a tremendous new unfair tax burden on the citizens of north and north central Minnesota where most of the pre-settlement wetlands remain intact and are already well protected by existing federal, state and local regulations."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
44	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed change singles out the private property owners and business operations in northern and north central Minnesota for a new round of unwarranted costs and delays should they attempt to make even small improvements to private property or business infrastructure."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
45	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.10.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"This proposal if adopted will significantly increase the number of EA W's required of citizens in many cases for minor building additions, new building construction or improvements to residential and business driveways that may have only minor involvement of a wetland."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Representative Dale K. Lueck	Dual-notice Comment Period
46	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.11.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	"...issue on line 18.5. To lessen confusion and potential conflict, we believe if you struck “newly designated” on that line it would help clear up the language. By leaving it in, it seems to imply that newly designated trails would also count towards the 25 mile threshold for a mandatory EAW, while under part B., line 17.20 – 17.25, the new rule specifically states that it doesn’t count towards the 25 miles."	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Ron Potter, All-Terrain Vehicle Association of Minnesota ron@nohvcc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
47	All		I.12.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Bill Adamski, 4433 Garfield Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 5541 adamski.bill@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
48	All		I.12.	All rules	"I am specifically opposed to EQB's "Proposed Amendment to Rules Governing Environmental Review, Minnesota Rules, 4410.0200, 4410.0500, 4410.4300, 4410,4400, 410,5200, 4410.7904, 4410.7906, 4410.7926, 4410.4600"."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Bill Adamski, 4433 Garfield Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 5541 adamski.bill@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
49	4410.0500, subpart 6		I.13.	RGU Selection Procedures	"demand that the following proposed changes be rejected"	Exhibit Q	Page 22	GRETA LARSON Garden manager 1962 Harbor Street Mora, MN 55051, gretamlarson@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
50	4410.4300 all subparts		I.13.	All rules	"demand that the following proposed changes be rejected"	Exhibit Q		Greta Larson 1962 Harbor Street Mora, MN 55051	Dual-notice Comment Period
51	4410.4400 all subparts		I.13.	All Rules	"demand that the following proposed changes be rejected"	Exhibit Q		Greta Larson 1962 Harbor Street Mora, MN 55051	Dual-notice Comment Period
52	All		I.14.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	John Kearney, 2512 33rd Ave South, #2, Minneapolis, MN 55406, jmkearney9@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
53	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.15.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	*Similar comment as North American Water Office (line 20)	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Lea Foushee, lfoushee@nawo.org PO BOX 174 LAKE ELMO, MN 55042	Dual-notice Comment Period
54	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.15.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	*Similar comment as North American Water Office (line 20)	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	George Crocker, PO BOX 174 LAKE ELMO, MN 55042	Dual-notice Comment Period
55	All		I.16.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Mark Ray, PE City of Crystal 4141 Douglas Dr. N. Crystal, MN 55422-1696	Dual-notice Comment Period
56	All		I.16.	All Rules	"I oppose the entire rule."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Mary Ray	Dual-notice Comment Period
57	All		I.17.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Timothy DenHerder-Thomas timothydht@gmail.com, 3100 Longfellow Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
58	All		I.17.	All rules & Metallic Mineral Mining	"I request that in the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board’s recent proposed changes to Minnesota Rules 4410.0200 4410.0500, 4410.4300, 4410.4400, 4410.5200, 4410.7904, 4410.7906, 4410.7926, and 4410.4600, the proposed changes to Rule 4410.4400 Subpart 8 Lines 20.1 through 20.3 must be rejected, and the original language of the rule must be retained."	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Timothy DenHerder-Thomas timothydht@gmail.com, 3100 Longfellow Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
59	All		I.18.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Tim Springer 2836 18th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55407, thegreenwayguy@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
60	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.19.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	*Similar comment as North American Water Office (line 20) & requests hearing	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Terry W. Hokenson 3352 Prospect Ter. SE Minneapolis, MN 5414	Dual-notice Comment Period
61	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.20.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	*Supports comment as North American Water Office (line 20) & requests hearing	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Claudia Foussard 75 S. Wheeler St. St. Paul , Mn 55105	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
62	All		I.21.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Travis Fristed 7900 International Drive Suite 550, Minneapolis, MN 55425 Travis.Fristed@is-grp.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
63	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.21.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"we respectfully object to the proposed rule amendments as they result in an unnecessary environmental review and financial burdens on project proposers, local government units, and RGUs. Specifically, there are several deficiencies in Part 4410.4300 subpart 27. Wetlands and public waters."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Travis Fristed 7900 International Drive Suite 550, Minneapolis, MN 55425 Travis.Fristed@is-grp.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
64	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.21.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"The proposed addition of “a total of one acre or more of wetlands” will increase costs to project proposers and local governments units due to the additional staff time and resources needed for initial data/information gathering to determine and quantify post-construction wetland impacts (if any) from indirect impacts, such as partial drainage."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Travis Fristed 7900 International Drive Suite 550, Minneapolis, MN 55425 Travis.Fristed@is-grp.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
65	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.21.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"...the proposed one acre or more wetland impact threshold when combined with “if any part of the wetland basin is within” language creates an over reaching and unnecessary EAW result. Under this language, the entire one acre or more wetland impact could occur outside of these locations, however, a small portion of the non-impacted wetland basin may be located within these features, and thus would require an EAW."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Travis Fristed 7900 International Drive Suite 550, Minneapolis, MN 55425 Travis.Fristed@is-grp.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
66	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.22.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Joe Triplett, Chisago County Public Works, 313 N. Main St, Room 400, Center City, MN 55012 Joe.Triplett@chisagocounty.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
67	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.22.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Joe Triplett, Chisago County Public Works, 313 N. Main St, Room 400, Center City, MN 55012 Joe.Triplett@chisagocounty.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
68	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.23.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Karin Grandia, Itasca County Transportation Department 123 NE 4th Street Grand Rapids, MN 55744, Karin.Grandia@CO.ITASCA.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
69	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.23.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Karin Grandia, Itasca County Transportation Department 123 NE 4th Street Grand Rapids, MN 55744, Karin.Grandia@CO.ITASCA.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
70	All		I.24.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Alice West 315 1st Ave. East, #11 Grand Marais, MN 55604 alice.m.west@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
71	All		I.25.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	David Ratner, 4013 Kipling Ave, Edina MN 55416 davidratner1.0@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
72	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.26.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Brian Ketring Roseau County Highway Department 407 5th Ave NW, Roseau MN 56751 bketring@co.roseau.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
73	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.26.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Brian Ketring Roseau County Highway Department 407 5th Ave NW, Roseau MN 56751 bketring@co.roseau.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
74	All		I.27.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Sarah Hampton 1101 Linden St. S. Northfield, MN 55057	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
75	4410.4400, Subpart 8		I.27.	Metallic Mineral Mining (Radioactive)	"...the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board is seeking to eliminate the monitoring of radioactive materials in mining waste. This seems to be an omission tailor-made to pave the way for the Polymet mine proposed in northern Minnesota. This rule change is being requested based on the false assertion that there are no radioactive materials in Minnesota"	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Sarah Hampton 1101 Linden St. S. Northfield, MN 55057	Dual-notice Comment Period
76	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.28.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Dan Sauvé, P.E. County Engineer Clearwater County 113 7th St. NE Bagley, MN 56621	Dual-notice Comment Period
77	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.28.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Andrew J. Witter, P.E. Sherburne County 13880 Business Center Drive, Suite 100, Elk River, MN 55330 Andrew.Witter@co.sherburne.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
78	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.29.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Dan Sauve 213 Main Avenue North Bagley, Minnesota 56621	Dual-notice Comment Period
79	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.29.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Dan Sauve 213 Main Avenue North Bagley, Minnesota 56621	Dual-notice Comment Period
80	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.30.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Richard Heilman, PE Isanti County Engineer 232 N Emerson Str Cambridge, MN 55008	Dual-notice Comment Period
81	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.30.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Richard Heilman, PE Isanti County Engineer 232 N Emerson Str Cambridge, MN 55008	Dual-notice Comment Period
82	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.31.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Nick Klisch, PE Cottonwood County Public Works 1355 9th Ave, Windom, MN 56101	Dual-notice Comment Period
83	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.31.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Nick Klisch, PE Cottonwood County Public Works 1355 9th Ave, Windom, MN 56101	Dual-notice Comment Period
84	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.32.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Tim Becker Sibley County Public Works 111 8th Street, PO BOX 897 Gaylord, MN 55334	Dual-notice Comment Period
85	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.32.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Tim Becker Sibley County Public Works 111 8th Street, PO BOX 897 Gaylord, MN 55334	Dual-notice Comment Period
86	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.33.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Brian Giese Pope County brian.giese@co.pope.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
87	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.33.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Brian Giese Pope County brian.giese@co.pope.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
88	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.34.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Jodi L. Teich, P.E. Stearns County 455 28th Avenue South Waite Park, MN 56387	Dual-notice Comment Period
89	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.34.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Jodi L. Teich, P.E. Stearns County 455 28th Avenue South Waite Park, MN 56387	Dual-notice Comment Period
90	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.35.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	"This change will result in RGUs completing more EAWs and there does not seem to be an environmental benefit."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Andi Moffatt, WSB, Andi Moffatt <AMoffatt@wsbeng.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
91	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.35.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	"The rule change seems redundant in its environmental protection, as wetland impacts are already subject to regulatory programs review (WCA, USACE Section 404, etc.) which require wetland impacts avoidance and minimization."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Andi Moffatt, WSB, Andi Moffatt <AMoffatt@wsbeng.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
92	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.35.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	"We do recognize the need to make this section less confusing. However, we recommend removing this change to the rules as needing an EAW when you impact one acre or more of wetlands is onerous and already covered with WCA rules. We suggest a higher threshold such as three acres for non-transportation projects and five acres for transportation project."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Andi Moffatt, WSB, Andi Moffatt <AMoffatt@wsbeng.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
93	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.35.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Andi Moffatt, WSB, Andi Moffatt <AMoffatt@wsbeng.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
94	All		I.36.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Michelle Shaw 3110 Pierce St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55418	Dual-notice Comment Period
95	4410.0500, subpart 6		I.36.	RGU Selection Procedures	"I do not agree with the following rules that have been proposed: 4410.4300, subpart 6"	Exhibit Q	Page 22	Michelle Shaw 3110 Pierce St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55418	Dual-notice Comment Period
96	4410.4300 all subparts		I.36.	All rules	"I do not agree with the following rules that have been proposed: 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories)"	Exhibit Q	All	Michelle Shaw 3110 Pierce St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55418	Dual-notice Comment Period
97	4410.4400 all subparts		I.36.	All Rules	"I do not agree with the following rules that have been proposed: 4410.4400 (Mandatory EAW Categories)"	Exhibit Q	All	Michelle Shaw 3110 Pierce St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55418	Dual-notice Comment Period
98	4410.4300 all subparts	4410.4400 all subparts	I.36.	All Rules	"I also question the legality of the proposed changes to rules 4410.4300 and 4410.4400, especially in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling."	Exhibit Q	All	Michelle Shaw 3110 Pierce St. NE Minneapolis, MN 55418	Dual-notice Comment Period
99	All		I.37.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98)	Exhibit Q	All	Ulla Nilsen 4322 Pillsbury Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55409	Dual-notice Comment Period
100	All		I.38.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-97)	Exhibit Q	All	Kriss Wells 3929 Elliot Ave. MPLS, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
101	All		I.39.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.		All	Brian C. PaStarr 2201 22nd Ave. S. Mpls. Mn. 55404	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
102	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.40.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Lyndon Colebrook-Robjent Carver County Public Works 11360 Highway 212, Suite 1, Cologne, MN 55322 lrobjent@co.carver.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
103	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.40.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pags 39-41	Lyndon Colebrook-Robjent Carver County Public Works 11360 Highway 212, Suite 1, Cologne, MN 55322 lrobjent@co.carver.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
104	All		I.41.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98)	Exhibit Q	All	Hendrik Svien 2221 Minneapolis Avenue Mpls. MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
105	All		I.42.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98)	Exhibit Q	All	Laurel Bangs 2221 Minneapolis Ave Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
106	All		I.43.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98)	Exhibit Q	All	Genna Mastellone 3735 Harriet Ave S, Mpls, MN, 55409	Dual-notice Comment Period
107	All		I.44.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98)	Exhibit Q	All	Margaret O'Connor 2607 W. 55th St. Minneapolis, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
108	All		I.45.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	Exhibit Q	All	Scott Russell 3124 44th Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
109	All		I.46.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	Exhibit Q	All	M. Delaney Russell 3124 44th Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
110	All		I.47.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	Exhibit Q	All	Nova J Bradford 2118 Dupont Ave S #2 Minneapolis, MN, 55405	Dual-notice Comment Period
111	All		I.48.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	Exhibit Q	All	Steven A Smith 1011 E 37th St. Minneapolis MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
112	All		I.49.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	Exhibit Q	All	Bonnie Beckel 3519 23rd Ave. So., Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
113	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.50.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Fred Arnold, Houston County, 1124 East Washington St, Caledonia, MN 55921 Brian.Pogodzinski@co.houston.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
114	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.50.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pags 39-41	Fred Arnold, Houston County, 1124 East Washington St, Caledonia, MN 55921 Brian.Pogodzinski@co.houston.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
115	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.51.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Lon Aune, Marhsall County, 447 So. Main Warren MN 56762 Lon.aune@co.marshall.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
116	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.51.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pags 39-41	Lon Aune, Marhsall County, 447 So. Main Warren MN 56762 Lon.aune@co.marshall.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
117	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.52.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Kelly Bengtson, Kittson County, 401 2nd Street S.W. Hallock, MN 56728, kbengtson@co.kittson.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
118	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.52.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Kelly Bengtson, Kittson County, 401 2nd Street S.W. Hallock, MN 56728, kbengtson@co.kittson.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
119	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.53.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Samuel A. Muntean, Law qui Parle County, 422 5th Ave, Suite 301, Madison, MN 56256, sam.muntean@lqpc.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
120	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.53.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Samuel A. Muntean, Law qui Parle County, 422 5th Ave, Suite 301, Madison, MN 56256, sam.muntean@lqpc.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
121	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.54.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Jonathan Large, Mahnomen County, PO Box 399, Mahnomen, MN 56557, jon.large@co.mahnomen.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
122	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.54.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Jonathan Large, Mahnomen County, PO Box 399, Mahnomen, MN 56557, jon.large@co.mahnomen.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
123	All		I.55.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	All	Denny Wagner 360 1st St N APT 249 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Dual-notice Comment Period
124	All		I.56.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	All	Jacqueline Rodkewich 1603 Adams St Minneapolis MN 55413	Dual-notice Comment Period
125	All		I.57.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	All	Maurice Spangler, 15995 Freedom Drive, Park Rapids, MN 56470	Dual-notice Comment Period
126	All		I.58.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	All	Elaine J. Moore, MA,LP 314 Clifton Ave Suite 303 Minneapolis, MN 55403	Dual-notice Comment Period
127	All		I.59.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Ron Wetzell 4837 East Upland Crest Columbia Heights, MN., 554521	Dual-notice Comment Period
128	All		I.60.	All Rules	*Similar as Michelle Shaw (lines 94-98), requests hearings in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	All	Stephen Borden 6810 37th Avenue North Crystal, MN 55427	Dual-notice Comment Period
129	All		I.61.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Robert G. Merritt, P.G. 1241 Minnesota Ave. Detroit Lakes, MN 56501	Dual-notice Comment Period
130	All		I.61.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed following rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling"	Exhibit Q	All	Robert G. Merritt, P.G. 1241 Minnesota Ave. Detroit Lakes, MN 56501	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
131	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.62.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Jonathan Large, Mahnomen County, PO Box 399, Mahnomen, MN 56557, jon.large@co.mahnomen.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
132	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.62.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Jonathan Large, Mahnomen County, PO Box 399, Mahnomen, MN 56557, jon.large@co.mahnomen.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
133	All		I. 63.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Mel Odens, PE, Kandiyohi County, 1801 Hwy 12 East, Willmar, MN 56201	Dual-notice Comment Period
134	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.63.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Mel Odens, PE, Kandiyohi County, 1801 Hwy 12 East, Willmar, MN 56201	Dual-notice Comment Period
135	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.63.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Mel Odens, PE, Kandiyohi County, 1801 Hwy 12 East, Willmar, MN 56201	Dual-notice Comment Period
136	All		I. 64.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Michael Menzel, M.D., 5410 York Ave So, Edina, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
137	All		I.64.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Michael Menzel, M.D., 5410 York Ave So, Edina, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
138	All		I. 65.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Kathryn J. Iverson, 5410 York Ave So, Edina, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
139	All		I.65.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Kathryn J. Iverson, 5410 York Ave So, Edina, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
140	All		I. 66.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Sarah Harper, 1905 1st Ave S., Minneapolis, MN 55403	Dual-notice Comment Period
141	All		I.66.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Sarah Harper, 1905 1st Ave S., Minneapolis, MN 55403	Dual-notice Comment Period
142	All		I.67.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Louis Norrgard, 10368 Columbus Circle, Bloomington, MN 55420	Dual-notice Comment Period
143	All		I.67.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed following rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures)It is important for the full Board to retain this decision-making authority for the sake of accountability, so the public can watch and comment. 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) Oil pipelines shouldn't be in the EAW category but instead should be in the mandatory environmental impact statement category for both the route permit and certificate of need. Gas pipelines should be either in the EIS or EAW category. 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling"	Exhibit Q	All	Louis Norrgard, 10368 Columbus Circle, Bloomington, MN 55420	Dual-notice Comment Period
144	All		I. 68.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	John Haluska, 5660 Arthur St NE, Fridley, MN 55432	Dual-notice Comment Period
145	All		I.68.	All Rules	*Similar as Louis Norrgard (line 143)	Exhibit Q	All	John Haluska, 5660 Arthur St NE, Fridley, MN 55432	Dual-notice Comment Period
146	All		I.69.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Michelle Thelen, 4541 42nd Ave S., Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
147	All		I.69.	All Rules	*Similar as Louis Norrgard (line 143)	Exhibit Q	All	Michelle Thelen, 4541 42nd Ave S., Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
148	All		I.70.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Margaret Breen, 1600 Grand Ave., St. Paul, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
149	All		I.70.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed following rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court rulings because they conflict the Court of Appeals 2015 ruling on the Sandpiper case."	Exhibit Q	All	Margaret Breen, 1600 Grand Ave., St. Paul, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
150	All		I.71.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Cynthia Gillespie, 556 Mariner Way, Woodbury, MN 55129	Dual-notice Comment Period
151	All		I.71.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. RGU Selection Procedures 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling."	Exhibit Q	All	Cynthia Gillespie, 556 Mariner Way, Woodbury, MN 55129	Dual-notice Comment Period
152	All		I.71.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Dan La Vigne, 713 Heather Drive, Shoreview, MN 55126	Dual-notice Comment Period
153	4410.0500 subpart 6		I.71.	RGU Selection Procedures	"Some of the proposed rule changes that I oppose are: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures) line 3.18"	Exhibit Q	page 22-23	Dan La Vigne, 713 Heather Drive, Shoreview, MN 55126	Dual-notice Comment Period
154	4410.4300 Subpart 7		I.71.	Pipeline EAW Category	"4410.4300 Subp. 7 (Mandatory EAW Categories)"	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Dan La Vigne, 713 Heather Drive, Shoreview, MN 55126	Dual-notice Comment Period
155	4410.4400		I.71.	Pipeline EIS Category	"4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) Pipelines should be included for both CON and routing."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Dan La Vigne, 713 Heather Drive, Shoreview, MN 55126	Dual-notice Comment Period
156	All		I.71.	All Rules	"I also believe there may be some legal questions regarding the proposed changes to 4410.4300 & 4410.4400 with existing law and compliance with a court ruling."	Exhibit Q	All	Dan La Vigne, 713 Heather Drive, Shoreview, MN 55126	Dual-notice Comment Period
157	All		I.73.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Kaia Svien, MS, 3632 13th Ave S, Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
158	All		I.73.	All Rules	"I am concerned about the following proposed rules enough to strongly object to them. I want other citizens to hear about my concerns at Public Hearings. Here are the rules that worry me: 4410.0500 Subp. 6)RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories)	Exhibit Q	All	Kaia Svien, MS, 3632 13th Ave S, Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
159	All		I.74.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Anna Kleven, 4437 47th Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
160	All		I.74.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Anna Kleven, 4437 47th Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55406	Dual-notice Comment Period
161	All		I.75.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Sophie Breen, 2924 15th Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55407	
162	All		I.75.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Sophie Breen, 2924 15th Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55407	Dual-notice Comment Period
163	All		I.76.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Luke Breen, 5136 Zenith Ave S, Minneapolis, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
164	All		I.76.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Luke Breen, 5136 Zenith Ave S, Minneapolis, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
165	All		I.77.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Justin Femrite, P.E., 13065 Orono Parkway, Elk River, MN 55330	Dual-notice Comment Period
166	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.77.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Justin Femrite, P.E., 13065 Orono Parkway, Elk River, MN 55330	Dual-notice Comment Period
167	All		I.78.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Mary Breen, 5136 Zenith Ave. S, Minneapolis, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
168	All		I.78.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Mary Breen, 5136 Zenith Ave. S, Minneapolis, MN 55410	Dual-notice Comment Period
169	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.79.	Pipeline provision	*Similar comment as Scott Strand (line 26)	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
170	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.79.	Pipeline provision	"...in the proposed new Minn. R. 4410.4300, subp. 7, there is a completely new sentence: "Environmental review must be conducted according to chapter 7852 and Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216G." That new sentence was not in the preliminary draft rules, it is not mentioned in the SONAR, and its rationale is not explained anywhere in the documents EQB has made public. Our understanding is that this language was inserted after a meeting EQB staff had with staff at the PUC, the DNR, and the MPCA in August 2018. Our concern is that this additional sentence might create a new argument for reversing, the decision of the Minnesota Court of Appeals in In re North Dakota Pipeline Co., 869 N.W.2d 693 (Minn. Ct. App. 2015), and sanctioning, by rule, the PUC's old "comprehensive environmental assessment" alternative to normal environmental review for pipeline projects."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
171	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.79.	Pipeline provision	"By adding rule language that says environmental review of pipelines "must be conducted," not under MEPA, but "according to chapter 7852 and Minnesota Statutes, chapter 216G," the PUC and pipeline applicants get another argument that the full EIS process can be avoided. FOH, of course, does not concede that this language would actually have that effect. A full EIS process can comply with both MEPA and the PUC's statute and rules, and we believe that would be an appropriate way to harmonize potentially conflicting provisions. Nevertheless, it is difficult to discern any rationale for this extra sentence other than to preserve the option to avoid the usual MEPA requirements for environmental review. Certainly, the SONAR provides no alternative rationale."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
172	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.79.	Pipeline provision	*Similar comment as Scott Strand (line 28)	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	
173	4410,4400, subpart 24		I.79.	Pipeline provision	*Similar comment as Scott Strand (line 29)	Exhibit Q	N/A	Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
174	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24	I.79.	Pipeline provision	*Similar comment as Scott Strand (line 30)	Exhibit Q		Scott Strand, Environmental Law & Policy Center, 60 S. 6th St., Suite 2800 Minneapolis, MN 55402 sstrand@elpc.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
175	All		I.79.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing. "particularly northern Minnesota locations, beside St. Paul and St. Cloud"	N/A	N/A	Richard Smith, P.O. Box 583, Park Rapids, MN 56470	Dual-notice Comment Period
176	All		I.80.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Maria Klein, 5627 Green Circle Drive, Minnetonka, MN	Dual-notice Comment Period
177	All		I.80.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed changes for the following environmental review rules for pipelines, silica sand, mining, recreation trails, etc." 4410.0500 Subp.6 (RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 as regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling."	Exhibit Q	All	Maria Klein, 5627 Green Circle Drive, Minnetonka, MN	Dual-notice Comment Period
178	All		I.81.	All Rules	"I OPPOSE the Environmental Quality Board's (EQB) proposed changes to the environmental review section of their rules"	Exhibit Q	All	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
179	All		I.81.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
180	4410.0500		I.81.	RGU Selection Procedures	"The EQB Chair should NOT be able to make a sole decision regarding the Responsible governmental unit (RGU). Review should continue to be reviewed by the full Board, allowing public observation and comments with the ability to see the final vote."	Exhibit Q	Page 22-23	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
181	4410.4300 Subpart 7		I.81.	Pipeline provision	"The change in this section for oil pipelines from routing to construction in the Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) should not be made, and gas pipelines should not be removed. Further, oil pipelines should not be in the EAW category but continue to be in the mandatory Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) category for both Cert of Need and routing applications."	Exhibit Q	Page 26-27	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
182	4410.4400 Subpart 24		I.81.	Pipeline provision	"This proposed rule may not be legal; it goes against MEPA law and the MN Court of Appeals Ruling. It should be rejected."	Exhibit Q	Page 26-27	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
183	4410.4300 Subpart 4		I.81.	Pipeline provision	"As we face rapid climate change, we must require that refinery expansion applications also are required to be in the EIS category."	Exhibit Q	Page 26-27	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
184	4410.4400 Subpart 4		I.81.	Pipeline provision	"Given the explosion in Superior this autumn, any major refinery rebuilds MUST be required to provide EIS and therefore should fall in the mandatory EIS category. The current language requires and EIS only for new construction."	Exhibit Q	Page 26-27	Eleanor Dvorak, 5708 Scenic Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55345	Dual-notice Comment Period
185	All		I.82.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Caleb Peterson, PE, 1307 Cloquet Avenue, Cloquet, MN 55720	Dual-notice Comment Period
186	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.82.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	Page 42-43	Caleb Peterson, PE, 1307 Cloquet Avenue, Cloquet, MN 55720	Dual-notice Comment Period
187	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.83.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	John Brunkhorst, PE, 1400 Adams Street SE, Hutchinson, MN 55350	Dual-notice Comment Period
188	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.83.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	John Brunkhorst, PE, 1400 Adams Street SE, Hutchinson, MN 55350	Dual-notice Comment Period
189	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.84.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Keith Carlson, 161 St. Anthony Ave. Suite 850, St. Paul, MN 55103	Dual-notice Comment Period
190	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.84.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Keith Carlson, 161 St. Anthony Ave. Suite 850, St. Paul, MN 55103	Dual-notice Comment Period
191	4410.4300 subpart 27.B		I.85.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	Page 42-43	Terry Neff and Steve Hughes, 209 Second Street NW, Aitkin, MN 56431	Dual-notice Comment Period
192	All		I.85.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Terry Neff and Steve Hughes, 209 Second Street NW, Aitkin, MN 56431	Dual-notice Comment Period
193	All		I.86.	Pipeline provision	*Similar comment as Eleanor Dvorak (lines 180-183)	N/A	pages 26-27	Paul Stolen, 37603 370th Ave. SE, Fosston, MN 56542	Dual-notice Comment Period
194	All		I.86.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	N/A	Paul Stolen, 37603 370th Ave. SE, Fosston, MN 56542	Dual-notice Comment Period
195	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.87.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Richard Sanders, Polk County, 820 Old Highway 75 S., Crookston, MN 56716	Dual-notice Comment Period
196	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.87.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Richard Sanders, Polk County, 820 Old Highway 75 S., Crookston, MN 56716	Dual-notice Comment Period
197	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.88.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Bruce D. Cochran, Mille Lacs County, 635 2nd Street SE, Milaca, MN 56353	Dual-notice Comment Period
198	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.88.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Bruce D. Cochran, Mille Lacs County, 635 2nd Street SE, Milaca, MN 56353	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
199	All		I.89.	Hearing Request	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 129)	N/A	N/A	Rita Chamblin 9025 Kinn Dr. NE Bemidji, MN 56601	Dual-notice Comment Period
200	All		I.89.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Rita Chamblin 9025 Kinn Dr. NE Bemidji, MN 56601	Dual-notice Comment Period
201	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.90.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Steven G. Bot, City of St. Michael, 11800 Town Center Drive NE, St. Michael, MN 55376	Dual-notice Comment Period
202	All		I.91.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in Grand Rapids or farther north.	N/A	N/A	John Munter 14860 Bruce Creek Rd, Warba, MN 55793	Dual-notice Comment Period
203	All		I.92.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Lowell J. Schellack P.O. Box 628 Park Rapids, MN 56470	Dual-notice Comment Period
204	All		I.92.	All Rules	*Similar as Robert G. Merritt, P.G. (line 130)	Exhibit Q	All	Lowell J. Schellack P.O. Box 628 Park Rapids, MN 56470	Dual-notice Comment Period
205	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.93.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Tom Kellogg, City of Waseca, 508 South State Street, Waseca, MN 56093	Dual-notice Comment Period
206	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.94.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Caleb Peterson, City of Cloquet, 1307 Cloquet Avenue, Cloquet, MN 55720	Dual-notice Comment Period
207	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.95.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Todd Gerhardt, City of Chanhassen, 7700 Market Blvd., PO Box 147, Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317	Dual-notice Comment Period
208	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.96.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Alyson Fauske/David Abel, 7701 Co Rd 110 W Minnetrista, MN 55364	Dual-notice Comment Period
209	All		I.96.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Alyson Fauske/David Abel, 7701 Co Rd 110 W Minnetrista, MN 55364	Dual-notice Comment Period
210	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.97.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Ryan Thilges, Blue Earth County, 35 Map Drive, PO Box 3080, Mankato, MN 56002- 3083 ryan.thilges@blueearthcountymn.gov	Dual-notice Comment Period
211	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.97.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Ryan Thilges, Blue Earth County, 35 Map Drive, PO Box 3080, Mankato, MN 56002- 3083 ryan.thilges@blueearthcountymn.gov	Dual-notice Comment Period
212	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.98.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Michael Flaagan, Pennington County, 250 25th Ave. NE, Thief River Falls, MN 56701	Dual-notice Comment Period
213	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.98.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Michael Flaagan, Pennington County, 250 25th Ave. NE, Thief River Falls, MN 56701	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
214	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.99.a.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters *Requests a public hearing.	"In regard to Section 4410.4300 Subpart 27 B, I am against changing, "the change or diminish the course, current, or cross-section of 40 percent or more or five or more acres of types 3 through 8 wetland of 2.5 acres or more" to, "cause an impact, as defined in part 8420.0111, to a total of one acre or more of wetlands" because part 8420.0111 only provides protections for wetland types 3 through 5 so this language change would remove protections for wetland types 6 through 8."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Levi Gregg, 11277 Neal Avenue North gregglev000@stillwaterschools.org	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
215	All		I.99.a.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Levi Gregg, 11277 Neal Avenue North gregglev000@stillwaterschools.org	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
216	N/A		I.99.b.	OAH question?	Non-rule related, OAH question of commentor	N/A	N/A	linuslanger@outlook.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
217	All		I.99.c.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Abby Banks, Woodbury, MN, abhehe@outlook.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
218	All		I.99.d.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Joshua Framke, 14620 114th St. CIR N, Stillwater, MN 55082, joshua.framke@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
219	All		I.99.e.	Pipeline provision	"I oppose the rules being changed in section 4410.4300. These changes would allow for a more streamlined process to create new pipelines, which would be especially damaging to wetland areas of Minnesota. This type of ecosystems is critical to MN wildlife and makes Minnesota unique, and the damaging of these areas cannot be permitted. In addition, this new proposed process hinders the people's ability to protest in a timely manner, and doesn't permit for enough time to properly research how much damage could be caused by such constructions."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Abigal Mc Bride, Stillwater, MN mcbriabi000@stillwaterschools.org	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
220	All		I.99.f.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Keriann Cooper, Minneapois, MN kerianncooper02@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
221	All		I.99.g.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	"I am requesting a public hearing on the rule changes in section 4410.4300. I am opposed to these changes because of the severe potential negative consequences they could have by making it easier to construct new, harmful oil pipelines in Minnesota that threaten the health of our environment and the wellbeing of our communities."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Katie Schroeer 206 Winona St. in Northfield, MN, 55057 katie.schroeer@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
222	All		I.99.h.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Priya Dalal-Whelan 3605 Pleasant Ave. S. 55409 priyadw00@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
223	All		I.99.i.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	"Oil pipelines are a threat to the earth and to people and we should not make their construction any easier."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Eva Beeman Trelstad, 584 Burlington Rd. St Paul, MN, 55119 begona458@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
224	All		I.99.j.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	"Pipelines and other fossil-fuel infrastructures have horrendous impacts on the environment and communities, and they accelerate the progression of climate change. The Environmental Quality Board should not encourage and quicken the construction of fossil-fuel infrastructure."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Maddy Fernands 6905 West Shore Drive, Edina, MN 55435 maddyfernands@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
225	All		I.99.k.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Alex Funk, Albert Lea, MN alex.anne.funk@icloud.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
226	All		I.99.l.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Lia Harel, 13604 Inverness Rd. Minnetonka, MN 55305 liaharel@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
227	All		I.99.m.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Cassie Mox, 1095 Wescott Road, Eagan MN, 55123, cassiemox@comcast.net	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
228	All		I.99.n.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Henri Nguyen, 4133 135th St. W. Savage, MN 55378, hngu1901@mpsedu.org	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
229	All		I.99.o.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Maya Sprenger-Otto, 6115 10th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55517 msprengerotto@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
230	All		I.99.p.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Benjamin Fena, 3515 Norton Road Duluth, MN 55803, benjaminfena@hotmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
231	All		I.99.q.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Gabriel Kaplan, 2828 Sunset Blvd, Minneapolis, MN 55416 gabekaplan7@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
232	All		I.99.r.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing, Objects to streamlining the process for oil pipelines.	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Roari-Clyde Soule-Fahey, Minneapolis, MN shadowlight14.alice@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
233	All		I.99.s.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Erika Peterson, 3112 41st Ave S. Minneapolis, MN 55406 erikajpeterson@comcast.net	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
234	All		I.99.t.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing, Objects to streamlining the process for oil pipelines.	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Talia Magnuson, 4224 23rd Ave S 55407, Minneapolis MN, elkawatson@yahoo.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
235	All		I.99.u.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Rose Moore, 2247 Benjamin St. NE Minneapolis 55418, redrose.moore4@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
236	All		I.99.v.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Olya Wright, 221 County Rd. 44 Grand Marais, MN 55004 wright@boreal.org	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
237	All		I.99.w.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Sophia Anderson St. Paul, MN sophiatanderson@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
238	All		I.99.x.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Anne Funk, Albert Lea MN 56007 akfunky@yahoo.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
239	All		I.99.y.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Isadora Benson, 3829 Harriet Ave S, Minneapolis, MN isadorabenson17@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
240	All		I.99.z.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Isra Hirs, 225 Portland Ave S Minneapolis, MN israhirs9@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
241	All		I.99.aa.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Sophie Morrill, 3936 Harriet ave s, Minneapolis, morrillsophie@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
242	All		I.99.bb.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Marit Isaacson, 2349 Bourne Ave, Saint Paul 55108 maritisaacson@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
243	All		I.99.cc.	Pipeline provision/Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing, Objects to streamlining the process for oil pipelines.	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Alarcon-Borges, 4287 Sun Cliff Rd, Eagan, MN alarconborgestonio@gmail.com	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
244	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.99.dd.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Minnesota Rules 4410.4300, Subpart 27, Item A. This change proposes to add the DNR as apotential RGU for projects that require an EAW. Current Minnesota Rules provide that the local governmental unit (LGU) is the responsible governmental unit (RGU). Drainage projects may be delayed and incur additional cost if this rule change is adopted and the LGU and theDNR need to confer and determine responsibility for the project. Furthermore, if agreement cannot be reached, then the EQB is involved in a process that will most certainly delay theproject."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Michael Stalberger, 204 S. Fifth Street, PO BOX 8608, Mankato, MN 56002, michael.stalberger@blueearthcountymn.gov or	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
245	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.99.dd.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Current Minnesota Rules provide that the project must "...change or diminish the course, current, or cross section...." This proposed change will increase costs to projects and local governmental units such as Drainage Authorities due to the additional staff time and resources needed for initial data/information gathering to determine and quantify impacts (if any). In some cases, partial drainage of wetlands does not result in a measurable change. The additional time needed to prepare an EAW may also risk or delay third party funding and government programs that support the proposed project. Furthermore, Minnesota Statutes (Section 103E.015) already requires the Drainage Authority to consider a list of criteria – including water quality, wetlands, and environmental impact – before establishing projects. This proposed change unnecessarily duplicates environmental consideration in a way that adds cost and time without additional environmental benefit."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Michael Stalberger, 204 S. Fifth Street, PO BOX 8608, Mankato, MN 56002, michael.stalberger@blueearthcountymn.gov or	OAH E-Comment, Dual-notice Comment Period
246	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.100.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Agrees with clarifying wetland terms, changing the threshold to 1-acre presents overly burdensome requirements without corresponding environmental benefits. Substantial increase in regulatory burden.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Chrissy Bartovich, U.S. Steel Corporation, PO BOX 417, Mt. Iron, MN 55768,	Dual-notice Comment Period
247	All		I.101.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Mike Hofer 9487 Teakwood Lane N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Dual-notice Comment Period
248	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.101.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Every proposed route or trail should undergo a mandatory environmental review.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Mike Hofer 9487 Teakwood Lane N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Dual-notice Comment Period
249	4410.0500 subpart 6		I.102.	RGU Selection Procedures	*The City's Planning Commission is concerned by the proposed change to allow the EQB Chair to unilaterally determining the RGU. Strike the language	Exhibit Q	Page 22	Carlos Espinosa, City of Winona, 207 Lafayette Street, P.O. Box 378 Winona, Minnesota 55987 cespinosa@ci.winona.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
250	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.103.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Opposes language change. Significantly increase the number of road projects that trigger preparation of an EAW due to wetland impact with no resulting benefit to the environment. Its scope duplicates state (WCA) and federal (EPA) laws, rules and permitting programs for work in public waters, wetlands and tributaries. Also, the area of wetland impact that triggers an EAW has been significantly reduced to one acre made up by accumulating smaller wetland impacts. Under the existing rule language one acre of impact only becomes the applicable threshold under limited circumstances.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Tim Worke, Associated General Contractors of Minnesota 525 Park Street, Suite #110, Saint Paul, MN 55103	Dual-notice Comment Period
251	All		I.104.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Kathy Hollander 3824 Edmund Blvd Minneapolis MN, kath77holl77@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
252	All		I.104.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed following rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling"	Exhibit Q	All	Kathy Hollander 3824 Edmund Blvd Minneapolis MN, kath77holl77@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
253	All		I.105.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Dorthy Carlson, 1678 Rose Hill Circle Lauderdale, MN doriecarlson@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
254	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.105.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Every proposed route or trail should undergo a mandatory environmental review.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Dorthy Carlson, 1678 Rose Hill Circle Lauderdale, MN doriecarlson@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
255	4410.0200, subp. 5a		I.106.	Aux Lanes	Does “Auxiliary lane” apply to bike lanes on roadways?	Exhibit Q	Page 17	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
256	4410.0200 subp. 93		I.106.	Wetland definition	Putting the definition of wetlands based on state code is reasonable.	Exhibit Q	page 21-22	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
257	4410.0500 subpart 6		I.106.	RGU Selection Procedures	Putting the power in the chair to determine the RGU seems to make it easier to have a different RGU. Prefer to remove chair’s ability to singularly make the determination on the RGU, or at least have a chance for an RGU to appeal.	Exhibit Q	page 22-23	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
258	4410.4300 subp. 3		I.106.	Wind energy conversion system	State Code Chap.7854 says the PUC permit takes the place of an EAW, but text in the EQB rules seems to indicate an EAW is still needed. Thus unclear.	Exhibit Q	page 23-24	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
259	4410.4300, Subp. 5		I.106.	Fuel Conversion Facility	Could be interpreted that even if the projects types meet another EAW threshold, they would be exempt from an EAW. I believe the intent is to say the project types in of themselves are not mandatory EAW categories. I would suggest either deleting 5.14-5.15 or rewriting to something like “The project types described in MN Statutes, section 116D.04, subdivisions 2a, paragraph (b) are not mandatory EAW categories under this subp., but are subject to a mandatory EAW if the project meets or exceeds thresholds of other categories of actions for which environmental assessment worksheets must be prepared”. I support having the project types, if exceeding EAW thresholds, to do an EAW.	Exhibit Q	page 25	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
260	4410.4300, Subp. 8		I.106.	Transfer Facilites	Seems reasonable to include silica sand projects as a triggering category.		Page 21-31	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
261	4410.4300, Subp. 17		I.106.	Solid Waste	Replace “25 percent” with “10%” and then drop F on 12.1	Exhibit Q	page 36-37	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
262	4410.4300, Subp. 27		I.106.	Wetlands	Seems it would be better to say that any cumulative combination of impacts to an acre or more of public waters, public waters wetland, or wetlands triggers an EAW. Seems the description in 15.1 to 15.11 has a loophole where a development that impacts part of a public water wetland and then part of a wetland – for instance locating a 1.9 acre project so 0.95 acres is in the public water wetland, and 0.95 acres is in the wetland – could be exempt. Suggest addition right before “Items A...” : “For projects that will impact one acre or more of any combination of public waters, public waters wetland, or wetlands exceeding, items...”	Exhibit Q	page 42-43	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
263	4410.4300, Subp. 27		I.106.	Wetlands	Unclear when the DNR or local government is the RGU.	Exhibit Q	page 42-43	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
264	4410.4300, Subp. 27		I.106.	Wetlands	Smaller threshold is a good addition. 1 acre instead of 2 acres		page 42-43	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
265	4410.4300, Subp. 28		I.106.	Forestry	What is “critical area”? Maybe “critical concern area”, but not clear.	Exhibit Q	page 43-44	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
266	4410.4300, Subp. 27		I.106.	Wetlands	22.24: No mention of wetland – seems it should include “wetlands”		page 42-43	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
267	4410.4600, Subp. 27		I.106.	Recreational Trails	Should set a distance threshold for Railroad grade trails requiring EIS. Reads as any conversion of abandoned rail way would need one, even if very small.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	John Howard III <howar674@umn.edu>	Dual-notice Comment Period
268	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.107.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar as previous comment from Michael Stalberger. (line 243-244)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Michael Stalberger, 204 S. Fifth Street, PO BOX 8608, Mankato, MN 56002, michael.stalberger@blueearthcountymn.gov or	Dual-notice Comment Period
269	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.107.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar as previous comment from Michael Stalberger. (line 243-244)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Michael Stalberger, 204 S. Fifth Street, PO BOX 8608, Mankato, MN 56002, michael.stalberger@blueearthcountymn.gov or	Dual-notice Comment Period
270	All		I.108.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Willis Mattison 42516 State Highway 34 Osage, Minnesota 56570	Dual-notice Comment Period
271	4410.4300, Subpart 7		I.108.	Pipeline Provision	*Omits any reference to Mn Appeals Court ruling, SONAR should disclose party requesting the change: "The Appeals Court determined current state statutes and rule require the preparation of a full environmental impact statement (EIS), especially when questions of need and routes of a proposed pipeline decision was to be made. The court appears also to have rendered an even broader opinion declaring that pipeline routing, whether combined with Certificate of Need review process or not was very likely subject to the mandatory requirement for an EIS under MEPA as well. The PUC rules do not appear to be MEPA compliant and no such declaration, finding or opinion either granting, affirming or discounting this position is offered in the SONAR."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Willis Mattison 42516 State Highway 34 Osage, Minnesota 56570	Dual-notice Comment Period
272	4410.4500, Subpart 6		I.108.	RGU Selection	"I plan to object to this change on the grounds that citizens will not be afforded sufficient opportunity for input to a proposed RGU change negotiated between a project proposer, the designated RGU the proposed RGU and the EQB Chair. Posting the proposed change in the EQB Monitor just a week before the EQB Chair makes this change is based on a faulty assumption that an EQB Board member can, in that short time somehow become aware and adequately represent objections any citizen may have."	Exhibit Q	pages 22-23	Willis Mattison 42516 State Highway 34 Osage, Minnesota 56570	Dual-notice Comment Period
273	All		I.108.	Absense of rule changes	*Absence of Proposed Rule Changes Citizens Have Called For: Civic Engagement, GHG Emissions, Natural Carbon Sequestration, Energy Source and Use Efficiencies	Exhibit Q	N/A	Willis Mattison 42516 State Highway 34 Osage, Minnesota 56570	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
274	All		I.108.	Legality	"Notify EQB staff and the ALJ that I plan to contest the legality of several parts of the propose rule changes. More detail on that challenge of legality with more specificity on which rules are being challenged will follow in the supplementary comments I plan to submit before the close of the comment period in February."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Willis Mattison 42516 State Highway 34 Osage, Minnesota 56570	Dual-notice Comment Period
275	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.109.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Tony Winiecki, Scott County, 600 Country Trail East, Jordan, MN 55352-9339, twiniecki@co.scott.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
276	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.109.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Tony Winiecki, Scott County, 600 Country Trail East, Jordan, MN 55352-9339, twiniecki@co.scott.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
277	All		I.110.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Jo Haberman 1900 St. Louis Avenue Apartment 103 Duluth, Minnesota 55802, jhaberman87@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
278	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.110.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Every proposed route or trail should undergo a mandatory environmental review.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jo Haberman 1900 St. Louis Avenue Apartment 103 Duluth, Minnesota 55802, jhaberman87@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
279	All		I.111.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Katherine McClure POBox 1258 Grand Marais, MN 55604, kmcvtv@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
280	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.111.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Katherine McClure POBox 1258 Grand Marais, MN 55604, kmcvtv@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
281	All		I.112.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Lynn Barringer 60 Trailsyde Hovland, MN. 55606, barrilynna@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
282	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.112.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Lynn Barringer 60 Trailsyde Hovland, MN. 55606, barrilynna@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
283	All		I.113.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	John Praxmarer 63 Maple Hill Dr. Grand Marais, MN 55604, johnpraxmarer@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
284	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.113.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	John Praxmarer 63 Maple Hill Dr. Grand Marais, MN 55604, johnpraxmarer@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
285	All		I.114.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Martha Marnocha 63 Maple Hill Drive Grand Marais, MN 55604, iceagemind@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
286	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.114.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Martha Marnocha 63 Maple Hill Drive Grand Marais, MN 55604, iceagemind@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
287	All		I.115.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Lawrence Landherr 7740 W. Hwy 61 Schroeder, MN. 55613, ljl71504@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
288	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.115.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Lawrence Landherr 7740 W. Hwy 61 Schroeder, MN. 55613, ljl71504@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
289	All		I.116.	Hearing Request, all rules	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state & opposes all rules	Exhibit Q	N/A	James Reents <jwreents@gmail.com>	Dual-notice Comment Period
290	All		I.117.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Stephanie Johnson 290 Otter Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, rosskemo@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
291	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.117.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Stephanie Johnson 290 Otter Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, rosskemo@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
292	All		I.118.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Charles Johnson 12915 W. 1st Street Duluth, MN 55808, labbums1@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
293	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.118.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Charles Johnson 12915 W. 1st Street Duluth, MN 55808, labbums1@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
294	All		I.119.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Angie Arden 12915 W. 1st. Street Duluth, MN 55808, wolfflowartist2@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
295	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.119.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Angie Arden 12915 W. 1st. Street Duluth, MN 55808, wolfflowartist2@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
296	All		I.120.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Kris Barber 18 Pendant Lake Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, kjb12304@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
297	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.120.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Kris Barber 18 Pendant Lake Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, kjb12304@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
298	All		I.121.	Hearing Request, all rules	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state & opposes all rules	N/A	N/A	William Barton 533 Cretin Avenue S Saint Paul, MN 55116, bartonwf@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
299	All		I.122.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	DENNIS FITZPATRICK 210 BIRCH DR PO BOX 563 GRAND MARAIS MN 55604-0563 dfitz@boreal.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
300	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.122.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	DENNIS FITZPATRICK 210 BIRCH DR PO BOX 563 GRAND MARAIS MN 55604-0563 dfitz@boreal.org	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
301	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.123.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters Public Hearing Request	*Changes may have a negative impact on project delivery timelines without providing environmental benefit.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Carla J. Stueve, Hennepin County, Public Works Facility 1600 Prairie Drive Medina, MN 55340, carla.stueve@hennepin.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
302	All		I.124.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Sharon Frykman 306 County Rd 44 Grand Marais, MN 55604, frykman@boreal.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
303	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.124.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Sharon Frykman 306 County Rd 44 Grand Marais, MN 55604, frykman@boreal.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
304	All		I.125.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state. Due to Wetland misunderstanding, pipelines,	N/A	pages 42-43	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
305	4410.4400, subpart 8		I.125.	Radioactive	*reinstatement of Lines 20.1-20.3	Exhibit Q	page 51-52	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
306	4410.4300, subpart 36.b		I.125.	Request for additional rule change	"The rule of most concern to Minnesotans everywhere should be 4410.4300 subp.36.B that allows for removal of forest or natural vegetation from up to one square mile (640 acres) of land without environmental review. This archaic rule and it's assignment to small (sometimes inept) or unduly influenced LGU's has done as close to nothing as possible for the ecology of Minnesota. The idea that one square mile can be completely stripped of vegetation without dire consequences to ecology is ludicrous, and even this extremely high threshold is ignored by RGU's and large companies."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
307	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.126.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Emily Murray, Association of MN Counties, 1360 University Ave. West, Suite 131, St. Paul, MN 55104, emurray@mncounties.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
308	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.126.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Emily Murray/Lon Aune, Association of MN Counties, 1360 University Ave. West, Suite 131, St. Paul, MN 55104, emurray@mncounties.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
309	All		I.126.	Hearing request	*Requests a public hearing.	N/A	pages 42-43	Emily Murray/Lon Aune, Association of MN Counties, 1360 University Ave. West, Suite 131, St. Paul, MN 55104, emurray@mncounties.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
310	All		I.127.	Hearing Request/Pipelines	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state. Strongly opposed to pipeline change	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Deanna Johnson 15559 Explorer Circle Park Rapids, MN 56470	Dual-notice Comment Period
311	All		I.128.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Charles Perrin 19635 Southfork Drive Prior Lake, MN 55372, whatisreality@integra.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
312	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.128.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Charles Perrin 19635 Southfork Drive Prior Lake, MN 55372, whatisreality@integra.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
313	All		I.129.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Jill Barber 18 Pendant Lake Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, krisandjillbarber@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
314	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.129.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jill Barber 18 Pendant Lake Trail Grand Marais, MN 55604, krisandjillbarber@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
315	All		I.130.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing	N/A	N/A	Lowell Deede 21726 County Highway 21 Detroit Lakes, MN 56501, lowelldeede@q.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
316	All		I.131	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Jayne Johnson 52 Black Spruce Trl Grand Marais, Mn. 55604, fing0006@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
317	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.131	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jayne Johnson 52 Black Spruce Trl Grand Marais, Mn. 55604, fing0006@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
318	All		I.132	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Don Pietrick P. O. Box 242 132 Willard Lane Lutsen, MN 55612, pietricks@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
319	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.132	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Don Pietrick P. O. Box 242 132 Willard Lane Lutsen, MN 55612, pietricks@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
320	All		I.133.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Dan Wilm 35559 Northview Harbor Drive Pequot Lakes, MN 56472, wilm@tds.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
321	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.133.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Dan Wilm 35559 Northview Harbor Drive Pequot Lakes, MN 56472, wilm@tds.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
322	All		I.134.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Lynn Pietrick P O Box 242 132 Willard LN Lutsen, MN	Dual-notice Comment Period
323	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.134.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Lynn Pietrick P O Box 242 132 Willard LN Lutsen, MN	Dual-notice Comment Period
324	All		I.135.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Michael & Mary Norlander 194 Willard Lane Pike Lake Lutsen, MN 55612, michaelcnorlander@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
325	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.135.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Michael & Mary Norlander 194 Willard Lane Pike Lake Lutsen, MN 55612, michaelcnorlander@msn.com	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
326	All		I.136.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Dave Zentner, Past National President Izaak Walton League Member MPCA Board 1974-79 Co-Chair LCMR reform to LCCMR-2014 Chair Capitol Rally for Legacy Amendment Member Conservation Legacy Council 2009, dzentner@charter.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
327	All		I.137.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Phil Oswald PO Box 696 Grand Marais, MN 55604, philc.oswald@snc.edu	Dual-notice Comment Period
328	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.137.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Phil Oswald PO Box 696 Grand Marais, MN 55604, philc.oswald@snc.edu	Dual-notice Comment Period
329	All		I.138.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Mike Kolasinski 607 Barker Lake Rad Lutsen, Mn. 556122, kola0013@umn.edu	Dual-notice Comment Period
330	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.138.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Mike Kolasinski 607 Barker Lake Rad Lutsen, Mn. 556122, kola0013@umn.edu	Dual-notice Comment Period
331	All		I.139.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Robin Penner 4725 Chicago Bay Road Box 181 Hovland, MN 55606, robinpenner@me.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
332	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.139.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Robin Penner 4725 Chicago Bay Road Box 181 Hovland, MN 55606, robinpenner@me.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
333	All		I.140.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Robin Nicholson 313 2nd Ave. W Grand Marais, MN 55604, rcrwnicholson@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
334	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.140.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Robin Nicholson 313 2nd Ave. W Grand Marais, MN 55604, rcrwnicholson@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
335	All		I.141.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Gregory M. Gailen PO Box 914 Grand Marais, MN 55604, whtpinemn@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
336	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.141.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Gregory M. Gailen PO Box 914 Grand Marais, MN 55604, whtpinemn@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
337	All		I.142.	All Rules	"I object to the proposed following rules: 4410.0500 Subp.6. (RGU Selection Procedures) 4410.4300 (Mandatory EAW Categories) 4410.4400 (Mandatory EIS Categories) In addition, I question the legality of the proposed changes to 4410.4300 and 4410.4400 in regards to their compliance with existing law and court ruling"	Exhibit Q	All	Elizabeth Baker-Knuttila 12029 Far Portage Dr. Park Rapids, MN 56470, 2014looncall@gmail.com	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
338	All		I.143.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Eric Gagner 3540 Croftview Terrace Minnetonka MN 55345, ericgagner@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
339	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.143.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Eric Gagner 3540 Croftview Terrace Minnetonka MN 55345, ericgagner@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
340	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.144.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Opposes rules because it is neither necessary or reasonable. WCA fullfills each and every purpose of an EAW. Wetland rule should be deleted.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Tony Kwikas, Minnesota Chamber of Commerce, 400 Robert St. North, Suite 1500, St. Paul, MN 55101, tkwikas@mnchamber.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
341	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.145.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Paul Sandy, City of Brainerd, 501 Laurel Street, Brainerd, MN 56401, psandy@ci.brainerd.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
342	All		I.146.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Stacy and Dan Mader 14251 Arbore Lane N Hugo, MN 55038, madersm@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
343	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.146.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Stacy and Dan Mader 14251 Arbore Lane N Hugo, MN 55038, madersm@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
344	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.47.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Krysten Saatella Foster, Lake and Cook Counties, 601 Third ave, Two Harbors, MN 55616, Krysten.Foster@co.lake.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
345	All		I.47.	Hearing Request/Wetland change	*Requests a public hearing due to Wetland change	N/A	N/A	Krysten Saatella Foster, Lake and Cook Counties, 601 Third ave, Two Harbors, MN 55616, Krysten.Foster@co.lake.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
346	All		I.148.	Hearing Request	*Similar as previous comment (Exhibit I.128.)	N/A	N/A	Charles Perrin 19635 Southfork Drive Prior Lake, MN 55372, whatisreality@integra.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
347	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.148.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar as previous comment (Exhibit I.128.)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Charles Perrin 19635 Southfork Drive Prior Lake, MN 55372, whatisreality@integra.net	Dual-notice Comment Period
348	All		I.149.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Robert Tamanaha 3911 18th Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55407, rjruler@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
349	4410.4300, subpart 37		I.149.	Recreational Trails Mandatory Category	*Similar comment as Jo Haberman, Line 278 (Rec Trails)	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Robert Tamanaha 3911 18th Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55407, rjruler@yahoo.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
350	All		I.150.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Peter Hovde 17300 Horseshoe Ln Bagley MN 56621, hovde@cord.edu	Dual-notice Comment Period
351	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.151.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	Chris Byrd, Benton County, 7752 Hwy 25 N., PO Box 247, Foley MN 56329, highway@co.benton.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
352	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.151.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Chris Byrd, Benton County, 7752 Hwy 25 N., PO Box 247, Foley MN 56329, highway@co.benton.mn.us	Dual-notice Comment Period
353	All		I.152.	Hearing Request	*Requests a public hearing in multiple parts of the state.	N/A	N/A	Nicolette Slagle, Honor the Earth, nicolette@honorearth.org	Dual-notice Comment Period
354	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.153.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as line 245, Chrissy Bartovich, U.S. Steel Corporation	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Scott Gischia, Cleveland-Cliffs, Inc, 10 Outer Drive, Silver Bay, MN 55614, scott.gischia@clevelandcliffs.com	Dual-notice Comment Period
355	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.154.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Julie M. Long, City of Bloomington, 700 West 98th Street, Bloomington, MN 55431	Dual-notice Comment Period
356	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.155.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Kevin F. Voracek & Timothy C Murray, City of Faribault, 208 First Ave. NW., Faribault, MN 55021	Dual-notice Comment Period
357	4410.0200, subpart 5a	4410.4300, subpart 22, item B	I.156.	EAW highway category	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 1)	Exhibit Q	pages 17, pages 39-41	James Foldesi, St. Louis County, 4787 Midway Road, Duluth, MN 55811, AndrewsC@stlouiscountymn.gov	Dual-notice Comment Period
358	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.156.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	James Foldesi, St. Louis County, 4787 Midway Road, Duluth, MN 55811, AndrewsC@stlouiscountymn.gov	Dual-notice Comment Period
359	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.156.	Hearing Request	*Requests a Hearing	N/A	N/A	James Foldesi, St. Louis County, 4787 Midway Road, Duluth, MN 55811, AndrewsC@stlouiscountymn.gov	Dual-notice Comment Period
360	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.157.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Similar comment as Mark R. Sehr (lines 2-16)	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	John Gorder, City of Eagan, 3830 Pilot Knob, Eagan MN, 55122	Dual-notice Comment Period
361	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.158.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	*Opposes any amendment to MN Rules 4410.4300, subpart 27	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Board of Commisioners, Mille Lacs County, MN 635 2nd Street SE, Milaca, MN 56353	Dual-notice Comment Period
362	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.158.	Hearing Request	*Requests a Hearing/Wetlands	N/A	N/A	Board of Commisioners, Mille Lacs County, MN 635 2nd Street SE, Milaca, MN 56353	Dual-notice Comment Period
363	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.159.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	"Throughout the document, it is noted that the DNR may possibly be the RGU if it is believed the DNR has similar or greater expertise. The RRWMB is concerned with the lack of clarity on how the EQB will designate who is the RGU if there is similar or greater expertise in analyzing the potential impacts on flood damage reduction projects by watershed districts within the RRWMB."	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Robert L. Sip Executive Director Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period
364	4410.4300, subpart 27		I.159.	EAW Wetlands and Public Waters	Regarding Wetlands and Public Waters (Part 4410.4300, subpart 27), the RRWMB has concerns about lowering the standard for wetlands from 2.5 acres to 1 acre. First, this is inconsistent with the EQB's draft mandatory categories changes. The EQB has stated throughout the document that the rule changes would be consistent with the Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act (WCA). This change takes the draft rules out of line with the WCA. Minnesota Rules Chapter 8420.0100 already achieves the intended purpose of minimizing impacts to wetlands. Second, flood damage reduction projects in the Red River Basin have demonstrated that more wetlands can be created than are impacted.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Robert L. Sip Executive Director Red River Watershed Management Board	Dual-notice Comment Period
365	General			General Comment	*Purpose of advisory panel is to review the proposed rules ahead of formal rulemaking process	Exhibit Q	n/a	Carol Overland	5-31-2019 Hearing
366	4410.4300, subpart 3			Wind Projects	*There is no environmental review for wind projects.	Exhibit Q	pages 23-24	Carol Overland	5-31-2019 Hearing
367	4410.4300, subpart 6			Tranmission lines	*4410.4300, subpart 6, looks like it requires a petition to get an EAW on this.	Exhibit Q	pages 25-26	Carol Overland	5-31-2019 Hearing
368	4410.4300, subpart 6			Transmission lines	*Language of 4410.4300, subpart 6 ought to be clearer that it does not require an act of the PUC or a petition to get that done.	Exhibit Q	pages 25-26	Carol Overland	5-31-2019 Hearing

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
369	44105.0500, subpart 6			RGU determination	*Opposes 4410.0500, subpart 6 i. Chair making decision ii. Time limit should be 30 days	Exhibit Q	pages 22-23	Rita Chamblin	5-31-2019 Hearing
370	4410.4300, subpart 4			Petroleum refineries	*4410.4300, subpart 4 – need a stronger rule, should also include major rebuilds and expansion of capacity	Exhibit Q	pages 49-50	Rita Chamblin	5-31-2019 Hearing
371	4410.4300, subpart 10	b-d, g		Storage facilities	*4410.4300, subpart 10, b-d: important to keep the phrase “designed for or capable of storing” before the quantity of 1,000,000 gallons. 4410.4300, subpart 10, g, expansion of facilities and increasing storage tanks should require a mandatory EAW or EIS as well.	Exhibit Q	pages 31-33	Rita Chamblin	5-31-2019 Hearing
372	General			General Comment	*a. WQ stds for Fond Du Lac are not in the SONAR b. 1854 Treaty isn’t in SONAR	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Sherry Couture	5-31-2019 Hearing
373	General			General Comment	*Biggest concern Husky Refinery Explosion	Exhibit Q	N/A	Debra Topping	5-31-2019 Hearing
374	General			General Comment	*a. EQB doesn’t have any authority to make decisions on ceded or reservation lands b. You need to speak to the people not elected officials	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Nany Beaulieu	5-31-2019 Hearing
375	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*10 to 25 mile change is in direct conflict with 1982 and 2004 SONAR	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jo Haberman	5-31-2019 Hearing
376	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*To increase the length from 10 miles to 25 miles is to intentionally increase the potential risk to resources as stated by the EQB itself in the 2918 and 2004 SONARs	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jo Haberman	5-31-2019 Hearing
377	4410.4300, subpart 37			General	"Administrative streamlining financial operation and the duration of procedural process concerns just supersedes specific established and environmentally justified environmental protection thresholds is counter to the very purpose of creating the Environmental Quality Board and the establishment of the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act."	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jo Haberman	5-31-2019 Hearing
378	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*Categorically adding recreational motorized traffic to any already motorized road without first considering the existing natural resources and wildlife along it and the impacts of adding additional motorized traffic volume is not an environmentally sustainable approach to creating motorized vehicle trails.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Jo Haberman	5-31-2019 Hearing
379	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	Use of the definition of "impact" will result in unintended consequences, allowing projects to be done to wetlands types 6 through 8 without environmental assessment. i. The problem with this definition is that projects that result in the loss of quantity, quality or biological diversity but do not result in wetlands filling, draining or conversion to non-wetland will not require an environmental review if done to types 6, 7 or 8. ii. We propose that the Board change the definition of "impact" to read: Impact means the loss in the quantity, quality or biological diversity of a wetland caused by draining or filling of wetlands wholly or partially or by the excavation of either permanently and semi-permanently flooded areas of types 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 wetlands as defined in subpart 75 and in all wetland types if excavation results in filling, draining or conversion to non-wetland	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Levi Gregg & Connor Lange	5-31-2019 Hearing
380	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	*As a consequence of the proposed rule change, a project can remove peat moss from the surface of a bog without an environmental review so long as it did not actually result in the draining or filling of the bog.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Levi Gregg & Connor Lange	5-31-2019 Hearing
381	General			General Comment	*There should be a more rigid review process for the refineries and any modifications. That there should be some oversights that can take into consideration their impact on the region in terms of climate change, in terms of air quality and so on and so forth, and that it should always be more rigid and that careful consideration one way or another in the language should be given to having oversight over chemicals that they use on sites such as hydrogen fluoride gas.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Jesse Peterson	5-31-2019 Hearing
382	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	"line 17.10 of subpart 37, Recreational Trails. It says if a project listed in items A through F will be built on state-owned land. Does this also include county tax court wetlands, which are actually state lands held in trust by the county? Can anybody answer that question?"	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Dan Wilm	5-31-2019 Hearing
383	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*Definition of a trail is vague. It should be defined as this. A trail is defined by it being specifically constructed for use. Simple use by OHVs does not define a trail.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Dan Wilm	5-31-2019 Hearing
384	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*Line 17:20, designating at least 25 miles of an existing trail for a new motorized recreational use other than snowmobiling. Again, invasive, nesting and hatching disruptions. This should be held to a much lower distance than 25 miles	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Dan Wilm	5-31-2019 Hearing
385	4410.4400, subpart 8			Metallic Mineral Mining	*a. EQB commended for withdrawing this proposed change (metallic mining). b. More rules must be promulgated to properly monitor and isolate radioactive materials	Exhibit Q	pages 51-52	George Crocker	5-31-2019 Hearing

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
386	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	*4410.4300 subpart 27 B we support the rule that is on the docket before you today.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Carol Andrews	5-31-2019 Hearing
387	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	*a. Agrees with Carol Andrews b. Can we add “or projects below the threshold in part 4410.4300, subart 22” to 4410.4300, subpart 27 B? c. The whole wetland category should be eliminated	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Dan Sauve	5-31-2019 Hearing
388	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*The statement of general reasonableness given by the EQB for the current proposed changes of items A and B under recreational trails is that because the legislature has requested these changes be made three separate times to support review efficiency and streamline the environmental process they are generally reasonable.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
389	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*I do not agree and do not think this justifies the changes proposed in items A and B. Proposed changes in items A and B would facilitate the degradation of the environment, waters, wildlife and not protect them. This does not fulfill the spirit, intent or reason or purpose for the creation of the Minnesota Environmental Review program and the Environmental Quality Board to protect our natural resources.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
390	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*I also take issue with the statement of need for these proposed changes regarding recreational trails. The stated need and desired outcome of these current proposed rule changes is to provide clarity and specificity, to reduce ambiguous and confusing application of the environmental rules. In my view, the language in item B remains vague and too broad.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
391	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*What exactly compromises an existing corridor in current use by motor vehicles? Does this mean that any public roadway could be used? A public road could be defined as an existing corridor in current use by motor vehicles.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
392	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*The language regarding road types in item B needs to be very specific and precise to not allow interpretation by the proposer to take advantage of this proposed vague wording and use roads that are known to be more environmentally damaging than other road types, such as all logging roads and unmaintained United States Forest Service Operational maintenance level 2 roads without requiring mandatory environmental review.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
393	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*The other stated need for the current proposed changes to a mandatory rule is that changes are needed to streamline the environmental review because the majority of the EAW and the EIS categories were established in the 1980s and 1990s and do not reflect the modern regulatory system or project types.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
394	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*In item B, the proposed rule changes historically established the mileage rule application with the potential to add significant unaccounted mileage to a route without an environmental review simply because the road already has motorized use.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
395	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*Assumes established motorized roads have no environmental impacts and therefore should not be counted in the proposed threshold. This is not true.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
396	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*To add another motorized use to a road like this with historically low traffic volume would expose these resources to increased fugitive dust, pollution and sedimentation that could have significant detrimental environmental impacts and even extricate sensitive cold water species that are pollution intolerant from streams such as brook trout.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
397	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*I strongly believe that today's science in road ecology and its material environmental advocates dictate that an added motorized use to such roads now demands an environmental review to protect natural resources. Therefore, I strongly believe all mileage for a new motorized use should count towards a threshold.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
398	4410.4300, subpart 37			Recreational Trails	*In conclusion, Your Honor, I strongly feel that items A and B should remain unchanged regarding the requirements for motorized recreational trails and mandatory review. This is in direct conflict with the 2017 Minnesota statute of environmental policy's stated purpose and the stated requirement of action by state agencies in Chapter 116D.	Exhibit Q	pages 46-48	Susan Schubert	5-31-2019 Hearing
399	General			General Comment	*I would like to also echo the need for --the whole basis of this rule change is economy and efficiency. These shouldn't be the guiding principles here.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	John Munter	5-31-2019 Hearing
400	General			General Comment, Oil facilities, wind facilities, refineries	*We should have mandatory EISs for oil facilities and wind facilities, because we are going to have a lot more wind facilities, as well as for Husky, and also rules for monitoring and isolation uranium. I also want to add in we should have a mandatory EIS for natural gas facilities as well.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	John Munter	5-31-2019 Hearing
401	General			General Comment	*Similar power as the statute law? Is that codified in law?	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Kathy Hollander	5-31-2019 Hearing

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
402	General			General Comment	*How does that apply in 2019 when it was a 2015 appropriation? Are we running biennium, so presumably that would apply to 2016 and 2017, but it wouldn't have the force of law now? So I questioned the underlying basis for this concept of we need to streamline environmental review. Because it's not based soundly in statute. Or at least it wasn't documented in statute in the SONAR.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Kathy Hollander	5-31-2019 Hearing
403	4410.4300, subpart 4			Petroleum refineries	*Stronger language in the petroleum refinery section 4410.4300 subpart 4 i. I would say that there is new evidence on the books that no longer supports the idea that a refinery increasing their capacity by 10,000 barrels per day should only be an EAW. I think it should be an EIS. The rule just talks about construction of a new refinery is an EIS or expansion is an EAW. So I think we need to have another category called major alteration, major rebuilds.	Exhibit Q	pages 24, 49-50	Kathy Hollander	5-31-2019 Hearing
404	4410.4300, subpart 10			Storage facilities	*I would also like to point out in the rule on hazardous materials storage 4410.4300, subpart 10B, C and D, again, I support keeping the language that exists there existing. The language is "designed for or capable of storing." Instead it's been substituted for "designed storage capacity." And my concern is that if someone puts on an EAW, well, it only has a designed storage capacity of 999,000, which is less than the 1,000,000 threshold but it actually has a larger storage capacity, that unless somebody is tracking very carefully that storage facility of hazardous materials could easily fall out of the category of the EAW. On the hazardous materials storage facilities rule 4410.4300, subparagraph 10B, C and D, I think it's important to keep the phrase "designed for or capable of storing" before the quantity of a 1,000,000 gallons. Otherwise, a new facility with a slated design of less than a 1,000,000 but capable of storing more could bypass an EAW altogether.	Exhibit Q	pages 31-33	Kathy Hollander	5-31-2019 Hearing
405	General			General Comment, Pipelines	*Similar*Similar*Similar proposed change.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16, 26-27	Andy Pearson	5-31-2019 Hearing
406	General			General Comment	*a. Law that gives the rights to nature b. Supports not changing the RGU to chair subpt 6 c. Supports wetland change	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16, 22-23	Sierra Erickson	5-31-2019 Hearing
407	General			General Comment	*EQB apparently wants to exempt itself from having to demonstrate exemplary improvement or achievement by the administration of its laws as prescribed by the Administrative Procedures Act, the SONAR makes no mention of whether or not the proposed changes will result in superior attainment of the objectives stated in MEPA.	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Willis Mattison	5-31-2019 Hearing
408	4410.0500, subpart 6			RGU determination	*I know you guys designate what is an RGU, what is a Responsible Governmental Unit. I think that we have got to look at the indigenous people as an RGU. They should be a recognized and Responsible Governmental Unit and recognized as such in all these things that are written now.	Exhibit Q	pages 22-23	Brian Pastarr	5-31-2019 Hearing
409	4410.0500, subpart 6			RGU determination	*4410.0500 subp 6: Propose we go to letting the whole Board make the decisions on things rather than just one person. Because the EQB chair is appointed by the governor. So when there is a change in governor, you know, there could be a change in political atmosphere and we could get somebody who is not so good with the environment. We've seen that with some of the administrations we have nationally.	Exhibit Q	pages 22-23	Brian Pastarr	5-31-2019 Hearing
410	4410.0500, subpart 6			RGU determination	*Why eliminate that time period? You know, perhaps you could make the time period 30 days so it matches the board meetings. And I would like to finally add that there were 44 comments out of the 190 that asked for this change to be discarded.	Exhibit Q	pages 22-23	Brian Pastarr	5-31-2019 Hearing
411	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	"I oppose Subpart 26 Stream Diversion Rule for two reasons. The first reason for my opposition is the vague nature of three key definitions (Diversion, Realignment and Channelization) that are listed in the rule, which triggers EAW compliance. Diversion and Channelization are relatively standard in the industry and specifically refer to flood control or drainage projects, however the term "Realignment" has many different meanings to regulators and causes confusion"	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Craig Wilson President Environmental Troubleshooters, Inc 3825 Grand Ave Duluth, MN 55807	Post Hearing Comment Period
412	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	"The Stream Diversion rule needs to provide clear definition of terms, especially "Realignment". The 1997 SONAR (Attachment 2, page 2) defines realignment as "often meaning straightening". The vague "Realignment" definition leaves this term open to wide and variable interpretation. Regulators are now starting to look up their own definitions for "Realignment" and apply it for require an EAW. This results in equal enforcement by regulators."	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Craig Wilson President Environmental Troubleshooters, Inc 3825 Grand Ave Duluth, MN 55807	Post Hearing Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
413	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	The second reason for my opposition to the Stream Diversion rule is the intent was to provide additional environmental review for projects that impact streams. These impacts may include dam construction, flood control, agriculture use, etc. This intent is clearly outlined in the 1982 SONAR (Attachment 3, Page 2), which states that flood control and drainage projects do not consider broad or long range environmental implications and an environmental review will facilitate a comprehensive analysis. The reason I oppose the Stream Diversion rule as written is it is now being applied to stream restoration projects. This rule was never intended to provide additional environmental review of a restoration project.	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Craig Wilson President Environmental Troubleshooters, Inc 3825 Grand Ave Duluth, MN 55807	Post Hearing Comment Period
414	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	*Similar project through the EAW process is unnecessary and redundant. The majority of these projects are funded by State or Federal tax dollar through the Grant process. This Grant selection process consists of the submittal of a competitive project proposal, a Grant presentation and applicant interview and an award by a Grant committee, so a submittal public review and determination has already taken place. These Grant projects have a set dollar amount that cannot be exceeded, so money used for additional reviews and approvals take away money budgeted for the actual restoration work, which waste valued taxpayer dollars. Stream Restoration is a very specialized field that most regulators do not understand how or why many aspects of a project need to be performed. This makes the approval process much longer than necessary."	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Craig Wilson President Environmental Troubleshooters, Inc 3825 Grand Ave Duluth, MN 55807	Post Hearing Comment Period
415	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	Language Changes to Solve the Problems Exempt all stream restoration project that are regulated by Department of Natural Resource and Army Corp of Engineer rules.	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Craig Wilson President Environmental Troubleshooters, Inc 3825 Grand Ave Duluth, MN 55807	Post Hearing Comment Period
416	4410.4300, subpart 7	4410,4400, subpart 24		Pipeline provision	Regarding the proposed wording by EQB, on Page 27 of the pdf file - Sonar - Proposed Revisions of Minnesota Rule Chapters for part 4410.4300 on Pipelines, I respectfully suggest that this additional statement be added to the EQB language. Pipeline replacement projects, pipeline abandonment projects, and new pipeline projects require an Environmental Impact Statement conducted by an independent 3rd party.	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Sharon Natzel, 13623 County 20, Park Rapids, MN 56470	Post Hearing Comment Period
417	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	Supports change to wetlands category introduced at May 31, 2019 Hearing	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Caleb Peterson, City of Cloquet, 1307 Cloquet Ave, Cloquet, MN 55720	Post Hearing Comment Period
418	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	Supports change to wetlands category introduced at May 31, 2019 Hearing	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Steven Bot, City Administrator, St. Michael, 118 Town Center Drive N.E. Suite 300, St. Michael MN 55376	Post Hearing Comment Period
419	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	Supports change to wetlands category introduced at May 31, 2019 Hearing	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Paul Sandy, City of Brainerd, 501 Laurel Street, Brainerd, MN 56401, psandy@ci.brainerd.mn.us	Post Hearing Comment Period
420	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	As proposed in the amendments, the amount of wetland impact is being reduced from 5 acres to 1 acre, and the types of wetland are now increased from type 3 though 8 to type 1 through 8. This will have a significant impact on the time and cost in our road projects and other large scale projects. Even accessing suitable building sites for residential properties will now require an EAW. Through the Wetland Conservation Act (WCA), all of these wetland activities are reviewed and must follow an approved process. We believe that this proposed language, with added and duplicative wetland scrutiny, is unnecessary	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Terry Neff, Environmental Services Director, Atkin County Environmental Services Planing and Zoning, 209 Second St. NW, Room 100, Aitkin, MN 56431	Post Hearing Comment Period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
421	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	Aitkin County strongly encourages deleting the proposed amendments to this subpart. If the language remains we suggest allowing counties with greater than 80% of their pre-settlement wetlands to use the existing rule standards.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Terry Neff, Environmental Services Director, Atkin County Environmental Services Planing and Zoning, 209 Second St. NW, Room 100, Aitkin, MN 56431	Post Hearing Comment Period
422	4410.4300, subpart 7			Pipeline provision	"These comments relate specifically to the proposed amendments to Minn. R. 4410.4300, subp. 7 ("Mandatory EAW Rule"), which establishes mandatory Environmental Assessment Worksheet ("EAW") categories for pipelines. Honor the Earth is aware that the EQB intends to strike the amendment of the Mandatory EAW Rule from this rulemaking, but believes that the following comments support this action and provide additional information on the history and source of conflicts related to MEPA review for pipeline projects, as well as the litigation risks that the proposed rule would create."	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Paul Blackburn Staff Attorney Honor the Earth PO Box 63, 607 Main Ave. Callaway, MN 56521	Post Hearing Comment Period
423	4410.4300, subpart 7			Pipeline provision	The Mandatory EAW Rule as written would illegally exempt and exclude pipeline projects from MEPA review and is contrary to the intent of establishing a "mandatory" rule. Therefore, the EQB should strike its proposed Mandatory EAW Rule and instead consider amendment of both the Mandatory EAW and EIS Rules so that they are fully in compliance with MEPA.	Exhibit Q	pages 26-27	Paul Blackburn Staff Attorney Honor the Earth PO Box 63, 607 Main Ave. Callaway, MN 56521	Post Hearing Comment Period
424	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	I am writing in support of the Proposed Revisions of MN Rules Ch. 4410 relating to the Mandatory Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) category for wetland impacts set out in Part 4410.4300 Subp. 27 as revised by the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) at its May 15 meeting to exclude certain highway projects listed in the existing rules in part 4410.4600, subpart 14.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	James Foldesi, St. Louis County, 4787 Midway Road, Duluth, MN 55811, AndrewsC@stlouiscountymn.gov	Post Hearing Comment Period
425	4410.4300, subpart 27			Wetlands	I am writing to indicate our organization's support for the Proposed Permanent Rules Relating to Environmental Review: Mandatory Category as proposed to be revised by the EQB at is May 15 meeting that will be heard on May 31, 2019 and June 26, 2019. Specially with the EQB's recommended revision to its proposed permanent rule at part 4410.4300, subpart 22 by adding at the end of the subpart "Item B does not apply to projects exempted by part 4410.4600, subpart 14." our organization is comfortable with the proposed changes. We specifically support the proposed changes in part 4410.4300, subpart 22 – the Mandatory EAW Categories for Highway Projects – and in part 4410.4600, subpart 14 – the Exemptions for Highway Projects.	Exhibit Q	pages 42-43	Keith Carlson, Executive Director, MN Inter-County Association	Post Hearing Comment Period (eComment)
426	All			General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request in rule would be that fossil fuel infrastructure be given the stricter level of environmental review possible and that climate change be central to any aspect of that review Would urge that whatever rules are made regarding expansion of petroleum refineries, oil and natural gas pipelines, hazardous materials and so on, that those have central to them climate considerations. When residential development is considered, that climate is central to that. Suggest that we move towards clarity of what aspects of this Board's responsibility that have impacts on climate and that those are vividly illustrated in the rules 	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Mr. Fribley	June 26, 2019 Hearing
427	All			General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Want to support the need for an EIS sooner, rather than moving projects from an EIS review to an EAW review. Projects like expansion of petroleum refineries, oil and gas pipeline projects, expansions of hazardous material storage sites should get EAWs There should be more projects that require an EIS review 	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Ms. Thelen	June 26, 2019 Hearing
428	All			General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need mandatory EISs for more categories of full projects with full considerations of climate impacts Any oil or gas line projects should be required to prepare an EIS Appreciate the rollback of the proposed rule changes for pipelines New information has come forward since the start of the rulemaking process, which means we need more scrutiny on the fossil fuel industry: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The superior refinery explosion showing dangers of hydrogen fluoride even in a relatively small refinery Recent climate change data that shows we have 11 years to transition all fossil fuels. 	Exhibit Q	pages 8-10, 15-16	Ms. Burrell	June 26, 2019 Hearing

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
429	4410.4400, subpart 8			Metallic Mineral Mining and Processing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under 4410.4400 subpart 8, the DNR should not be the RGU. 	Exhibit Q	pages 51-52	Ms. Hiemenz	June 26, 2019 Hearing
430	4410.4300, subpart 24		I.159.	Water Appropriations and impoundments	<p>*Similar subdivision.</p> <p>B.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The threshold of 160 acres in the Red River of the North river basin is far too small. A more practical and reasonable threshold taking into consideration the Red River Valley Flood Damage Reduction Mediation Agreement (MWG) would be 1000 acres or to not have a threshold at all for projects that are following the Mediation Agreement. The 1000 acres is reasonable threshold when you consider that the Mediation Process already provided a major public process for distributed water retention projects and the LTFS plan of the RRBC calls for a 20% reduction in peak flood flows with allocations to all major watersheds in the RRB to secure 1,000,000 acre feet of storage. Each WD has a comprehensive strategy to achieve their respective allocation and most every project will be far greater than 160 acres. It is an antiquated threshold. See the reference to appropriate documents above. Most of these water retention/detention projects also incorporate various natural resource enhancements for the benefit of fish; wildlife; recreation; birding; etc. The Mediation process provides for extensive involvement of citizens, landowners, state and federal agencies and various diverse interest groups as you can see by the membership on the Mediation Work Group. <p>C.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The threshold for the projects related to the Mediation Agreement should be eliminated or at a minimum the provision relate only to construction of a High Hazard Dam. 	Exhibit Q	N/A	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
431	4410.4300, subpart 26			Stream Diversion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the Red River valley the threshold is really not applicable since the major river systems in the RRV have been channelized by the Federal and State Government efforts in the 50's and 60's. Current efforts are restoring the altered and channelized streams to more natural stream corridors and meandering of the river systems. These types of restorations should not be required to go through the mandatory EAW process. Another way to address this issue is to interpret these channelized rivers and streams or "altered natural watercourses" as defined in 103G to be exempt from the mandatory EAW process. 103G.005 DEFINITIONS: Subd. 3. Altered natural watercourse. "Altered natural watercourse" means a former natural watercourse that has been affected by artificial changes to straighten, deepen, narrow, or widen the original channel. We should be encouraging the restoration of these channelized river and stream systems rather than putting unreasonable processes in place that can only make these projects more costly, but also act as a disincentive. The special reference to trout streams is fine. 	Exhibit Q	pages 41-42	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
432	4410.4300, subpart 36			Land use conversion	<p>*Similar reasoning for raising the threshold to 2000 acres or eliminating the category for all projects implemented consistent with the Mediation Agreement.</p>	Exhibit Q		Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
433	4410.4300, subpart 36a			Land use conversion in shoreland	<p>*Similar comments apply to this category as applies to 4410.4300 subp 36. For streams the shore impact zone is 50 feet and for stream restoration efforts for an existing channelized shoreland watercourse this mandatory category makes no practical sense and should be clarified to exempt impacts related to channelized/altered watercourses and should apply ONLY to shorelands on natural watercourses and exempt altered natural watercourses. 103G.005 DEFINITIONS: Subd. 13. Natural watercourse. "Natural watercourse" means a natural channel that has definable beds and banks capable of conducting confined runoff from adjacent land.</p> <p>C.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As with subps A and B this category is inappropriate for nonsensitive shoreland areas that are channelized watercourses. In many instances you have CRP land or in some instances RIM Reserve easement lands that would be altered with the restoration of the watercourse. In the end you will have far greater buffers and natural vegetation that exists today. Activities for nonsensitive areas in this category should be exempt for channelized shoreland watercourse areas or altered natural watercourses. 	Exhibit Q	pages 45-46	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
434	4410.4400, subpart 18			Water Appropriations and impoundments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For a project that is implemented consistent with the Mediation Agreement it would be appropriate to eliminate this or exempt this category. The Mediation Agreement process the involvement of the regulatory agencies and local interests certainly takes the place of the purposes of the EAW. In addition the DNR's rigor when a Class 1, High Hazard Dam, is proposed. These thresholds were established many years ago and since that time DNR rules and regulations have significantly changes also warranting changes to the EIS provisions. Again consider separating the impoundments from the appropriations provisions as separate subdivisions. 	Exhibit Q	N/A	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
435	4410.4400, subpart 27			Land use conversion in shoreland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This mandatory EIS category may be appropriate for sensitive shoreland areas that are lakes or public waters wetlands, however, in the RRV is has very little practical application as it relates to 80 acres or more of nonsensitive shoreland areas that are channelized rivers and streams. This type of provision can deter or be a disincentive for the restoration of altered and channelized stream and river systems back to the natural meandered and buffered conditions that enhance natural resources. These provisions should be clarified to eliminate the application to "altered natural watercourses" 	Exhibit Q	pages 45-46	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
436	4410.4600, subpart 15			Water impoundments	This exemption maybe redundant depending on the actions taken with respect to the mandatory categories. May also be appropriate to exempt all water impoundments, which include wetland restorations, of 1000 acres or less when done under the state and federal wetland restoration programs and those impoundment projects implemented consistent with the RRV FDR Mediation Agreement.	Exhibit Q	N/A	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
437	4410.4600, subpart 17			Ditch Maintenance	*Similar size and elevation, if the restoration or replacement does not impact a designated trout stream."	Exhibit Q	N/A	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
438	4410.4600, subpart 21			Construction projects	<p>C.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This provision seems to be subject to significant interpretation of when O & M can be done and what constitutes "substantial" impact. If you are doing maintenance and repair to a flood damage reduction project that requires some significant improvements to ensure the long-term sustainability of the structure, who determines if that is substantial or not? It might be more appropriate to just say "Operation, maintenance, or repair work to existing authorized projects and structures is exempt." Many water resource projects constructed under authorized state and federal permits require that the projects be properly operated and maintained. <p>D.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This provision seems to be missing one element of authorization in the exemption. The provision should include "maintenance and repair" in addition to "Restoration or reconstruction". It seems that this provision may be appropriate for historic buildings; however, the provision is clearly not appropriate to limit restoration and construction or maintenance and repair to water resources projects that have been lawfully permitted. In addition the permits require that the authorized projects be appropriately maintained. 	Exhibit Q	N/A	Ron Harnack, Red River Watershed Management Board	Post-hearing comment period
439	4410.4300, subpart 36			Land use conversion	*Similar*Similar in the state's eyes but this is no longer a tenable view, since one is overtaking the others to the detriment of all. Industrial agriculture, in particular, makes this very clear and creates the need to separate that designation from the others.	Exhibit Q	page 45-46	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com (ecomment 7/12/2019)	Post-hearing comment period
440	4410.4300, subpart 28			Forestry	4410.3600 Subp. 18. B. Currently lists public and private forest management projects, other than clear-cutting or applying pesticides, involving less than 20 acres as exempt. If enforcement of MN statutes were taken seriously this would be a reasonable exemption, but projects that involve clear-cutting and applying pesticides take place on a massive scale, regularly converting (without attention from RGUs) thousands of acres of forest to field, particularly in the sandy outwash plains of central MN like the Pineland Sands Area.	Exhibit Q	pages 43-44	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com (ecomment 7/12/2019)	Post-hearing comment period
441	4410.4300, subpart 8			Transfer Facilites	4410.4300 Subp. 8. Rule change would add sizable silica sand projects to the mandatory EAW category and name the PCA as the RGU. Long overdue, mystifying as to how this type of project could go so long without being added to the mandatory category. Places like the Kasota Prairie have been brutalized by silica sand mining at will.	Exhibit Q	pages 28-31	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com (ecomment 7/12/2019)	Post-hearing comment period

Comment	Rule Part	Rule Part	Exhibit	Comment Topic	Summary of comment (note: comments that are paraphrased are indicated with *)	EQB Response	Discussed on SONAR	Affiliation Name/Address	Comment Time
442	4410.4300, subpart 22			Highway Projects	4410.4300 Subp. 22. Mandatory EAW threshold would go from one to two miles, and auxiliary lanes are excluded. At a time when ecosystems along side roads are experiencing increasing negative effects from deicing chemicals, right-of-way ATV riders and exhaust it seems this is a step backwards in Environmental Review. If anything, the mandatory threshold project mileage should be reduced.	Exhibit Q	pages 39-41	Mike Tauber 2540 Co 41 Nw Backus Mn 56435, mjtauber42@outlook.com (ecomment 7/12/2019)	Post-hearing comment period